

# Subsurface Exploration, Pavement Recommendations, And Preliminary Foundation Recommendations Contessa Tract East of Schoenthal Road New Braunfels/San Antonio, Comal County, Texas

**Terradyne Project No.: A241121-R1** 

Ms. Tonda Alexander-Director of Land Development Meritage Homes 2722 West Bitters Road, Suite 200 San Antonio, Texas

August 14, 2024



1608 Royston Lane, Building 2 • Round Rock, Texas 78664 Ph 512-252-1218 • www.terradyne.com





TERRADYNE ENGINEERING, INC. 1608 Royston Lane, Building 2 Round Rock, Texas 78664 Phone: 512.252.1218 www.terradyne.com

August 14, 2024

Meritage Homes 2722 West Bitters Road, Suite 200 San Antonio, Texas 78248

Attn: Ms. Tonda Alexander- Director of Land Development

Re: Subsurface Exploration, Pavement Recommendations, and Preliminary Foundation Recommendations Contessa Tract East of Schoenthal Road New Braunfels/San Antonio, Comal County, Texas Terradyne Project No.: A241121-R1

Dear Ms. Alexander:

Terradyne Engineering, Inc. Terradyne has completed a soil and foundation engineering report at the above referenced project site. The results of the exploration are presented in this report.

We appreciate and wish to thank you for the opportunity to service you on this project. Please do not hesitate to contact us if we can be of additional assistance during the Construction Materials Testing and Quality Control phases of construction.

Respectfully Submitted,

Terradyne Engineering, Inc. Texas Firm Registration No. F-6799 John A. Gunter, M.S., P.E. Chief Engineer

ulain

Lana AL Dulaimy, E.I.T. Geotechnical Project Engineer

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	
2.0	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES	1
2.1	Site Description	1
3.0	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION	2
3.1	Field Tests and Measurements	2
3.2		
3.3	6 6	
3.4		
4.0	FOUNDATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	
5.0	DESIGN ENGINEERING ANALYSIS	5
6.0	FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS	6
6.1	Stiffened Grid Type Beam and Slab Foundations	6
6.2		
6.3	Utilities	7
6.4	Contraction, Control or Expansion Joints	7
6.5	Lateral Earth Pressure	7
7.0	PAVEMENT GUIDELINES	
7.1	General	8
7.2	Subgrade Design Considerations	9
7.3	Pavement Sections	9
7.4	Base Course	.11
7.5	Asphaltic Concrete	.11
7.6	Lime Stabilized Subgrade	.11
7.7	Perimeter Drainage	.11
8.0	CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	.12
8.1	Site Drainage	.12
8.2	Site Preparation	.12
8.3	Groundwater	.12
8.4	Earthwork and Foundation Acceptance	.12
9.0	DRAINAGE AND MAINTENANCE	
10.0	SHORING	.14
11.0	LIMITATIONS	.14

APPENDIX Site Latitude/Longitude Boring Location Plan Boring Logs Key to Boring Logs

# Page

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The soil conditions at the site of the proposed residential structures and roadways for Contessa Tract located to the east of Schoenthal Road in New Braunfels/ San Antonio, Comal County, Texas were explored by drilling 29 borings to a maximum depth of approximately 15 feet below the existing ground surface elevation. The remaining 11 borings were not drilled due to that portion of the site being heavily wooded. However, it is anticipated that the soil condition at that area is similar to the rest of the site. This investigation is preliminary in nature and based on a very limited number of borings. The foundation design parameters presented in this report are for informational and comparative purposes only and should not be used for actual foundation design.

The results of the exploration, laboratory testing, and engineering evaluation indicate the soils underlying this site have low to high expansive characteristics. Following is a summary of the results:

- The boring locations were selected by the geotechnical project manager and are shown on Figure 1-B. A potential vertical movement on the order of one (1) to two and one half (2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) inches was estimated at the existing grade level.
- 2) The Design Plasticity Index values are 14 to 30.
- 3) The borings generally encountered Fat Clay, Sandy Fat Clay (CH), Lean Clay, Sandy Lean Clay, Lean Clay with Sand (CL), Poorly Graded Gravel with Sand (GP), Clayey Gravel with Sand (GC) and Limestone .
- 4) Groundwater seepage was not encountered in the borings at the time of the field exploration.

This report presents preliminary stiffened beam and slab foundation design parameters for the site prior to any cut and fill operations or soil modification procedures. Final design values after site work have occurred can be expected to vary.

Detailed descriptions of subsurface conditions, engineering analysis and design recommendations are included in this report.

This summary does not contain all the information that is included in the full report. The report should be read in its entirety to obtain a more complete understanding of the information provided. Any amendment and/or revisions per request **must** be issued in writing by Terradyne.

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the preliminary subsurface exploration and foundation analysis for the proposed residential structures and roadways for Contessa Tract located to the East of Schoenthal Road in New Braunfels/San Antonio, Comal County, Texas. The services of Terradyne, were authorized on July 16, 2024 by Ms. Tonda Alexander, Director of Land Development by approving Terradyne proposal No: AP241134 dated July10, 2024.

# 2.0 <u>PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES</u>

The purpose of the preliminary geotechnical investigation was to evaluate the subsurface materials and groundwater conditions of the site and provide geotechnical-engineering recommendations for the design and construction of new residential structures and roadways. The scope of services includes the following:

- 1) Drilling and sampling of (40) borings to a maximum depth of approximately 15 feet below the existing ground surface elevation;
- 2) Observation of the groundwater conditions during drilling operations;
- 3) Performing laboratory tests such as Atterberg limits and moisture content tests;
- 4) Review and evaluation of the field and laboratory test programs during their execution with modifications of these programs, when necessary, to adjust to subsurface conditions revealed by them;
- 5) Compilation, generalization and analysis of the field and laboratory data in relation to the project requirements;
- 6) Estimation of potential vertical movement;
- 7) Development of recommendations for the design, construction, and earthwork phases of project; and
- 8) Consultations with the Prime Professional and members of the design team on findings and recommendations; and preparation of a written geotechnical engineering report for use by the members of the design team in their preparation of design, contract documents, and specifications.

The Scope of Services did not include any environmental assessment for the presence or absence of wetlands and/or hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, surface water, groundwater, or air, in the proximity of this site. Any statements in this report or on the bore hole logs regarding odors, colors or unusual or suspicious items or conditions are strictly for the information of the client.

# 2.1 Site Description

The subject property is located on the southeast side of Montanio Drive and Schoenthal Road North in New Braunfels, Comal County, Texas. The subject site slopes moderately down towards the east with small trees and barren ground. Borings B-1 through B-18, B-20-22, B-24, B-31, B-32, B-35

through B-38 were drilled at/near the following GPS location (Lat. 29.674168, Long. - 98.287509). An aerial map of the GPS location is included in Figure 1-A.

# 3.0 <u>GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION</u>

The field exploration to determine the engineering characteristics of the subsurface materials included a reconnaissance of the project site, drilling the borings, and recovering samples. A total of 29 borings were drilled to a maximum depth of 15 feet at the project site. The remaining 11 borings were not drilled due to that portion of the site being heavily wooded. However, it is anticipated that the soil condition at that area is similar to the rest of the site. Borings B-1, B-5, B-7B-9 through B-18, B-20 through B-22, B-24, B-31, B-32 and B-35 were terminated early due to shallow limestone encountered.

The soil borings were performed with a drilling rig equipped with a rotary head. Conventional solid stem continuous augers were used to advance the hole and samples of the subsurface materials were sampled using a two-inch O.D. split barrel sampler (ASTM D 1586), TEX 132-E Texas Cone Penetration Test (TCP), and Hand Auger. The samples were identified according to depth, encased in polyethylene plastic wrapping to protect against moisture loss, and transported to the laboratory in special containers.

# 3.1 Field Tests and Measurements

<u>Penetration Tests</u>: During the sampling procedures, standard penetration tests were performed in the borings in conjunction with the split-barrel sampling. The standard penetration value (N) is defined as the number of blows of a 140-pound hammer, falling thirty inches, required to advance the split-spoon sampler one-foot into the soil. The sampler is lowered to the bottom of the drill hole and the number of blows recorded for each of the three successive increments of six inches penetration. The "N" value is obtained by adding the second and third incremental numbers. The results of the standard penetration test indicate the relative density and comparative consistency of the soils, and thereby provide a basis for estimating the relative strength and compressibility of the soil profile components. The TCP values are either the total number of blows necessary to drive the cone 12 inches or the distance the cone advances, in inches, in 100 blows. The results of the tests indicate the relative strength and comparative consistency of the soils and comparative consistency of the soils, and thereby provide a basis for estimating the relative strength cone advances the relative density and comparative the cone 12 inches or the distance the cone advances, in inches, in 100 blows. The results of the tests indicate the relative strength and comparative consistency of the soils, and thereby provide a basis for estimating the relative strength and comparative consistency of the soils, and thereby provide a basis for estimating the relative strength and compressibility of the soil profile components. The sampling type and results of each test are indicated on the boring logs in the Illustration Section.

*Water Level Measurements:* Water level observations were made during the excavation operations and the results are noted on the boring logs. In relatively pervious soils, such as sandy soils, the indicated elevations are considered reliable groundwater levels. In relatively impervious soils, an accurate determination of the groundwater elevation may not be possible even after several days of

observation. Seasonal variations, temperature and recent rainfall conditions may influence the level of the groundwater table and the volume of water encountered will depend on the permeability of the soils.

# 3.2 Boring Logs

A field log was prepared for each boring. The logs include information concerning the samples attempted and recovered, indications of the presence of material (such as calcareous clays, sandy clay, etc.) and groundwater observations. It also includes an interpretation of the subsurface conditions between samples. Therefore, these logs include both factual and interpretive information.

The final logs represent the interpretation of the contents of the field logs for the purpose delineated by the client. The final logs are included on Figures 2 through 29 in the Illustration Section. A key to classification terms and symbols used on the logs is presented on Figure 30.

# 3.3 Laboratory Testing Program

In addition to field exploration, a supplemental laboratory-testing program was conducted to determine additional pertinent engineering characteristics of the subsurface materials necessary in evaluating the design parameters of the soil. All phases of the laboratory testing program were conducted in general accordance with the indicated applicable ASTM Specifications as presented in <u>Table No. 1</u>.

Laboratory Test	Applicable Test Standard				
Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, & Plasticity Index of Soil	ASTM D-4318				
Moisture Content	ASTM D-2216				
Material Finer than No. 200	ASTM D-1140				

# Table No. 1 – Laboratory Testing Summary

In the laboratory, each sample was examined and classified by a geotechnical engineer. As a part of this classification procedure, the natural water content of the soil samples was determined. Atterberg limit tests were performed on representative soil samples to determine the plasticity characteristics of the soil strata encountered. The results of these tests are presented on the appropriate boring logs and in the Illustrations.

# 3.4 General Subsurface Conditions

A review of the *Geologic Atlas of Texas, San Antonio Sheet*<sup>1</sup>, indicates that this site is naturally underlain with the soils/rocks of Buda Limestone (Kbu), Del Rio Clay (Kdr) formation and Edwards Limestone (ked). The Buda Limestone (Kbu) formation can range from a relatively hard, thick, massive limestone to a thin "cap" of extremely weathered, soft, blocky limestone. Del Rio Clay (Kdr) formation is calcareous and gypsiferous, medium gray, weathers to light gray to yellowish gray, highly expansive clay. Edwards limestone (Ked) formation is fine to course grained, abundant chert, medium gray to grayish brown, fossils are rudistids as reefs and individuals.

The soils underlying this site may be grouped into five (5) generalized strata. The soil stratigraphy information and the engineering properties of the underlying soils based Terradyne's professional engineering experience are presented on the Boring Logs, Figures 2 through 30.

During the field investigation, subsurface water was not encountered in the borings during drilling. In addition, the soil samples were considered moist. Based upon this information and past projects in the surrounding areas of the site, groundwater is not anticipated to be major concern during construction activities. However, groundwater condition can fluctuate due to seasonal and climatic variations and may be encountered at shallow depths during high precipitation seasons.

# 4.0 FOUNDATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

*Lot Drainage:* How a lot is graded affects the accumulation of surface water around the slab. Most builders are aware of the importance of grading the soil away from structures so that rainwater does not collect and pond adjacent to the foundation. If allowed to accumulate next to the foundation, water may infiltrate the expansive soils underlying the foundation, which could cause the foundation to settle. Similarly, runoff from surface water drainage patterns and swales must not collect adjacent to foundation.

<u>Topography</u>: As it swells, soil heaves perpendicularly to the ground surface or slope, but as it shrinks, it recedes in the direction of gravity and gradually moves downslope in a sawtooth fashion over several shrink-swell cycles. In addition to this shrink-swell influence, soil will exhibit viscoelastic properties and creep downhill under the steady influence of the weight of the soil. Therefore, to avoid a structure constructed on a slope from moving downhill with the soil, it must be designed to compensate for this lateral soil influence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: <u>United States Geological Survey</u>, <u>Geologic Atlas of Texas [San Antonio Sheet]</u>, <u>Bureau of Economic</u> <u>Geology</u>, <u>Texas Natural Resource Information System</u>; <u>http://txpub.usgs.gov/DSS/texasgeology/</u> (Reprinted 1981).

<u>*Pre-Construction Vegetation:*</u> No vegetation was on a site prior to construction. Constructing over a desiccated soil can produce some dramatic instances of heave and associated structural distress and damage as it becomes wet.

<u>Post-Construction Vegetation</u>: The type, amount, and location of vegetation that has grown since construction can cause localized desiccation. Planting trees or large shrubs near a building can result in the loss of foundation support as the vegetation robs moisture from the foundation soil. Conversely, the opposite effect can occur if flowerbeds or shrubs are planted next to foundations and these beds are kept well-watered or flooded. This practice can result in swelling of the soil around the perimeter where the soil remains wet.

<u>Summation</u>: It is beyond the scope of this investigation to do more than point out the factors that may influence the amount and type of swell a slab-on-grade foundation may be subjected to during its lifetime. The design engineer must be aware of these factors in developing his design, using his engineering experience and judgment as a guide.

# 5.0 <u>DESIGN ENGINEERING ANALYSIS</u>

*Foundation Design Considerations:* Review of the borings and test data indicates that the following factors will affect the foundation designs and construction at this site:

- 1) The site at shallow depths is underlain by subsurface soils of low to high expansiveness in character. Structures supported at shallow depths will be subjected to potential vertical movement of one (1) to two and one half  $(2\frac{1}{2})$  inches.
- 2) The strengths of the underlying soils are adequate to support the proposed structures.
- 3) Groundwater seepage was not encountered in the borings during drilling.

<u>Vertical Movements</u>: The potential vertical movement (PVR) for slab-on grade construction at this site has been estimated using the general guidelines presented in a) the Texas Department of Transportation Test Method TXDOT-124-E and b) based on Terradyne's experience with the swelling characteristics of the clays that are like those at the project site. The Texas Department of Transportation method utilizes the liquid limits and plasticity indices for soils in the seasonally active zone, estimated to be about twelve (12) to fifteen (15) feet in the project area.

The estimated PVR value provided is based on the proposed floor system applying a sustained surcharge load of approximately one pound per square inch on the subgrade materials. Potential vertical movement of one (1) to two and one half  $(2\frac{1}{2})$  inches was estimated for average soil moisture conditions at the finish grade elevation. The PVR value is based on the current site grades. Higher PVR values than the above-mentioned value will occur in areas where water can pond for extended periods.

If the existing grade of the structures must be raised to attain finish grade elevation, select structural fill should be used, placed in lifts, and compacted as recommended under the section titled Select Structural Fill provided in this report.

#### 6.0 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

This investigation is a preliminary investigation and is based on a very limited number of borings. The design values provided in the report are for comparative purposes only and should not be used for actual design.

#### 6.1 **Stiffened Grid Type Beam and Slab Foundations**

A stiffened grid type beam and slab foundation may be considered to support the proposed buildings provided the anticipated vertical movement will not impair the performance of the structures.

It is desirable to design the foundation systems using an assumption that the beams carry the loads. An allowable bearing pressure of 1,500 pounds per square foot should be used for beams founded at a minimum depth of 12 inches below the existing undisturbed soils. If the existing grade of the structure must be raised to achieve design grade, select structural fill should be placed, compacted, and tested. An allowable bearing pressure of 2,000 pounds per square foot should be used for beams bearing on a minimum of 12 inches of compacted select structural fill. Beams should be at least 12 inches deep and 10 inches wide to prevent local shear failure of the bearing soils. Design plasticity index values were evaluated at the boring locations and are presented below in Table No. 2.

Contessa Tract								
Borings	PVR(inches)	Design Plasticity Index						
B30	2"	15						
B31	1"	14						
B32	1"	14						
B35	1"	14						
B36	21/2"	30						
B37	21/2"	30						
B38	1"	14						

**Table No. 2 - Recommended Design Plasticity Indecies** 

#### 6.2 **Post-Tensioned Beam and Slab Foundation**

A post-tensioned slab-on-grade foundation may also be considered to support the structures provided the anticipated movement will not impair the performance of the structures. Pertinent design parameters were evaluated and are presented in the following paragraphs.

Differential vertical movements should be expected for shallow type foundations at this site due to the expansive soil conditions that were encountered. Differential vertical movements have been

estimated for both the center lift and edge lift conditions for post-tensioned slab-on grade construction at this site. These movements were estimated using the procedures and criteria discussed in the Post-Tensioning Institute Manual entitled "Design and Construction of Post-Tensioned Slabs-on-Ground", 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. This procedure uses the soils data obtained from both the field and laboratory tests performed on the soil samples.

Differential vertical movements have been estimated for the center lift and edge lift conditions. The PTI Design Parameters are presented in Table No. 3. Refer to the Stiffened Grid Type Beam and Slab Foundation section for allowable bearing capacities.

	Differential Ver	rtical Movement,	Edge Moisture Variation Distance,				
Design Plasticity Index/PVR (inches)	y <sub>m</sub> Inche	es	e <sub>m</sub> Feet				
index/1 v ix (inclus)	Center Lift	Edge Lift	Center Lift	Edge Lift			
30/21/2"	1.5	1.7	7.5	3.4			
15/2"	1.4	1.6	7.5	3.4			
14/1"	0.8	1.2	7.5	3.4			

# Table No. 3-PTI 3rd Edition

# 6.3 Utilities

Utilities, that project through slab-on-grade floors, should be designed with either some degree of flexibility or with sleeves to prevent damage to these lines should vertical movement occur.

# 6.4 Contraction, Control or Expansion Joints

Contraction, control and/or expansion joints should be designed and placed in various portions of the structure. Properly planned placement of these joints will assist in controlling the degree and location of material cracking that normally occurs due to soil movements, material shrinkage, thermal affects, and other related structural conditions.

# 6.5 Lateral Earth Pressure

Some retaining walls may be needed at the site. The equivalent fluid density values were evaluated for various backfill materials. These values are presented in <u>Table No. 4</u>.

Backfill Material	Equivalent Fluid Density PCF							
Dackini Wiateriai	Active Condition	At Rest Condition	<b>Passive Condition</b>					
a. Crushed Limestone	40	60	530					
b. Clean Sand	40	60	360					
c. Select Fill (PI $\leq 15$ )	65	85	265					

# Table No. 4 – Lateral Design Parameters

These equivalent fluid densities do not include the effect of seepage pressures, surcharge loads such as construction equipment, vehicular loads, or future storage near the walls.

If the basement wall or cantilever retaining wall can tilt forward to generate "active earth pressure" condition, the values under active condition should be used. For rigid non-yielding walls which are part of the buildings, the values "at rest condition" should be used. The compactive effort should be controlled during backfill operations. Over compaction can produce lateral earth pressures more than at rest magnitudes. Compaction levels adjacent to below-grade walls should be maintained between 95 and 98 percent of standard Proctor (ASTM D698) maximum dry density.

The backfill behind the wall should be drained properly. The simplest drainage system consists of a drain located near the bottom of the wall. The drain collects the water that enters the backfill and this may be disposed of through outlets along the base of the wall. To ensure that the drains are not clogged by fine particles, they should be surrounded by a granular filter. Despite a well-constructed toe drain, substantial water pressure may develop behind the wall if the backfill consists of clays or silts. A more satisfactory drainage system, consisting of a back drain of 12 inches to 24 inches width gravel may be provided behind the wall to facilitate to drainage.

The maximum toe pressure for wall footings founded a minimum depth of 12 inches into the existing soils should not exceed 1,100 pounds per square foot. An adhesion value of 800 pounds per square foot should be used to check against sliding for wall footings bearing on existing soil.

# 7.0 <u>PAVEMENT GUIDELINES</u>

# 7.1 General

Terradyne understand current plans are that the roadways will likely consist of flexible pavements. Therefore, we have prepared the following recommendations for the design and construction of flexible pavement systems. Terradyne understand the proposed pavements for these subdivision units will be designed and constructed in general accordance with Comal County design standards. These guidelines require that streets be designed using the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) "Guide for Design of Pavement Structures".

# 7.2 Subgrade Design Considerations

Based on the subsurface information obtained at the soil borings drilled along the alignment of the proposed streets, the pavement subgrade soil profile generally consists of Fat Clay, Fat Clay with Sand, Sandy Fat Clay (CH), Lean Clay, Lean Clay with Sand(CL), Clayey Gravel with Sand (GC) or Poorly Graded Gravel with Sand (GP). As a part of any pavement design, the strength of the underlying subgrade must be taken into consideration. This is often accomplished by performing a laboratory California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test to approximate a soil resilient modulus which is utilized in the design. Based upon the findings of the exploratory borings and results of laboratory testing, Terradyne's experience with similar soils in nearby subdivision units, Terradyne have used a design CBR of approximately 2.0 for the pavements within this development. Traffic information was not available from the county documentation; therefore, Local Streets are designed for a minimum traffic application of 40,000 18-kip ESALs. The following pavement design parameters were utilized in the design.

Flexible Pavement Design Parameters								
Reliability, Local Street, percent	80							
Reliability, Collector Streets, percent	90							
Initial Serviceability Index	4.2							
Terminal Serviceability Index, Local	2.0							
Terminal Serviceability Index, Collector	2.5							
Standard Deviation	0.45							

Table No. 5

# 7.3 Pavement Sections

Based on the data provided to Terradyne, the results of the field and laboratory testing, and the Comal County guidelines, Terradyne have developed the following minimum structural numbers and structural design values for the pavement sections planned for this project.

Required Structural Number (SN) - Local Streets	= 2.64
Required Structural Number (SN) - Collector Streets	= 3.36
Design CBR Value	= 2.0
Item 340, Asphaltic Concrete Layer Coefficient	= 0.44
Item 247, Type A, Grade 1 or 2 Base Layer Coefficient	= 0.14
Item 260, Lime Stabilized Subgrade Layer Coefficient	= 0.08

Using the above information, the AASHTO design method, and Terradyne's understanding of the Comal County Flexible Pavement Design Criteria, the following engineered flexible pavement

sections are recommended. Options with and without geogrid subgrade reinforcement are presented.

# <u>Table No. 6- Design ESALs: 40,000</u> <u>Recommended Flexible Pavement Sections- Local Streets</u>

Flexible Pavement Section	Thickness in Inches						
	Alt 1	Alt 2					
Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete, Type D	2.0	2.0					
Aggregate Base	8.0	8.0					
Lime Stabilized Subgrade	8.0						
Tensar HX5.5 Geogrid	No	Yes					

# <u>Table No. 7- Design ESALs: 100,000</u> <u>Recommended Flexible Pavement Sections- Collector Streets</u>

Flexible Pavement Section	Thickness in Inches				
	Alt 1	Alt 2			
Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete, Type D	3.0	3.0			
Aggregate Base	10.0	10.0			
Lime Stabilized Subgrade	8.0				
Tensar HX5.5 Geogrid	No	Yes			

The pavement sections containing geogrid are considered to meet the indicated structural capacity. However, if these options will be considered, the County should first be consulted to determine their acceptance of the structural contribution of the geogrid in the pavement sections.

In any areas where pavements are to be constructed, vegetation and all loose or organic material should be stripped and removed from the site. After stripping operations, the subgrade should be proof-rolled with heavy sheep's-foot roller compactor a minimum of **three (3)-passes** to identify soft zones. Any soft zone detected should be removed to a firm subgrade soils and replaced with compacted suitable soils to reach subgrade level. Upon the acceptance of proof-rolling operations the subgrade should be scarified to a depth of six (6) inches, moisture conditioned and compacted to a 95 percent of maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 698, between optimum and three (3) percentage points above of optimum moisture content. The exposed subgrade should not be allowed to dry out prior to placing structural fill.

# 7.4 Base Course

Based on the survey of available materials in the area, a base course of crushed limestone aggregate or gravel appears to be the most practical material for asphalt pavement project. The base course should conform to Texas State Department of Highways and Public Transportation Standard Specification, Item 247, Type A, Grade 1 - 2. The overbuild of the base should be extended three (3) feet beyond the curb.

The base course should be moisture conditioned within  $\pm 2$  percentage points of optimum moisture content placed in lifts not exceeding 8-inches loose measure and compacted to at least 95 percent of maximum dry density as determined by test method TXDOT-113-E.

# 7.5 Asphaltic Concrete

The asphaltic concrete surface course should conform to Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Standard Specifications, Item 340, Type D the secondary asphalt course should consist of TxDOT Item 340, Type C. The asphaltic concrete should be compacted to between 92 and 97 percent of the theoretical density as determined by ASTM D 2041.

# 7.6 Lime Stabilized Subgrade

The lime stabilization of the subgrade should meet the performance standards found in TxDOT Item 260. In addition, graduation requirements outlined in Item 260, the lime stabilized clay should also have a minimum of 60 percent, on a weight basis, of the stabilized soil passing the No. 4 sieve at moisture content at or above optimum. The lime stabilized clay soil should have a **plasticity index equal to or less than 20** based on a dry method of sample preparation, ASTM D 421. The lime stabilized subgrade should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density ASTM D 698 between optimum and two (2) percentage points of optimum moisture content. Lime content of **six (6) percent** of the dry unit weight of the clays to be stabilized may be used for planning purposes (it should be verified by performing a lime series test at the time of construction). Using a value of 98 pcf for dry unit weight of clays, **35 lbs per square yard for eight (8) inches** depth stabilization is required. **Prior to the use of lime, the exposed subgrade should be tested for sulfate contents to determine the levels of sulfates are low enough for the use of lime.** 

# 7.7 **Perimeter Drainage**

Perimeter protection must be provided so that water will not infiltrate into the pavement's flexible base or immediate subgrade. If this protection is not provided, Terradyne does not assume responsibility for the pavement integrity. Terradyne recommends one (1) of the following options for accomplishing this:

- Option 1 Extended curb bearing a minimum of six (6) inches into the subgrade
- Option 2 –Minimum 10 mil polyurethane plastic placed over the subgrade prior to base placement that allows for the plastic to be placed in a manner to protect the base layer after curb has been placed.

• Option 3 – Moisture barrier that extends a minimum of six (6) inches into the subgrade that consists of a minimum six (6) inch wide trench filled with flowable fill.

Failure to provide this protection may cause pavement issues including cracking and potholing. This risk should be discussed with the owner and Civil Engineer for final decision.

# 8.0 <u>CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS</u>

# 8.1 Site Drainage

Terradyne recommend that an effective site drainage plan be devised by others prior to commencement of construction to provide positive drainage away from the foundation perimeters and off the site, both during and after construction.

# 8.2 Site Preparation

In any areas where soil-supported floor slabs are to be constructed, vegetation and all loose or organic material should be stripped and removed from the site. After stripping operations, the subgrade should be proof rolled to identify soft zones. Any soft zone detected should be removed to expose firm soil or rock and replaced with compacted suitable soils to reach subgrade level.

Select fill material used at this site should be clayey sand (SC), lean clay with gravel (CL) or clayey gravel (GC) with maximum liquid limit of 35 percent and plasticity index (PI) between five (5) and 20. The fill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by TxDOT-113-E, within  $\pm 2$  percentage points of optimum moisture content.

# 8.3 Groundwater

In any areas where significant cuts (one foot or more) are made to establish final grades for building pads, attention should be given to possible seasonal water seepage that could occur through natural cracks and fissures in the newly exposed stratigraphy. Subsurface drains may be required to intercept seasonal groundwater seepage. The need for these, or other dewatering devices, on building pads should be carefully addressed during construction. Terradyne's office could be contacted to visually inspect final pads to evaluate the need for such drains.

Groundwater seepage may occur several years after construction if the rainfall rate or drainage changes in the vicinity of the project site. If seepage runoff occurs towards the residence, an engineer should be notified to evaluate its' effect and determine whether French Drains are required at the location.

# 8.4 Earthwork and Foundation Acceptance

Exposure to environment may weaken the soils at the foundation bearing level if the excavation remains open for long periods of time. Therefore, it is recommended that all foundation excavations are extended to final grade and the footings constructed as soon as possible to minimize potential damage to bearing soils or rock. The foundation bearing level should be free of loose soil; ponded

water or debris and should be inspected and approved by the geotechnical engineer or his representative prior to concreting.

Foundation concrete should not be placed on soils that have been disturbed by rainfall or seepage. If the bearing soils are softened by surface water intrusion during exposure or by desiccation, the unsuitable soils must be removed from the foundation excavation and replaced prior to placement of concrete.

Subgrade preparation and fill placement operations should be monitored by the soils engineer or his representative. As a guideline, at least one in-place density test should be performed for each 2,500 square feet of compacted surface per lift. Any areas not meeting the required compaction should be re-compacted and retested until compliance is met.

# 9.0 DRAINAGE AND MAINTENANCE

Final drainage is very important for the performance of the structure. Landscaping, plumbing, and downspout drainage is also very important. It is vital that all roof drainage be transported away from the building so that no water ponds around the building which can result in soil volume change under the building. Plumbing leaks should be repaired as soon as possible to minimize the magnitude of moisture change under the slab. Large trees and shrubs should not be planted in the immediate vicinity of the structures, since root systems can cause a substantial reduction in soil volume in the vicinity of the trees during dry periods.

Adequate drainage should be provided to reduce seasonal variations in moisture content of foundation soils. All pavement and sidewalks within 10-feet of the structure should be sloped away from the structure to prevent ponding of water around the foundation. Final grades within 10-feet of the structure should be adjusted to slope away from structures preferably at a minimum slope of three (3) percent. Maintaining positive surface drainage throughout the life of the structure is essential.

In areas with pavement or sidewalks adjacent to the new structure, a positive seal must be provided and maintained between the structure and the pavement or sidewalk to minimize seepage of water into the underlain supporting soils. <u>Post-construction movement of pavement and flat work is not uncommon.</u> Maximum grades practical should be used for paving and flatwork to prevent areas where water can pond. In addition, allowances in final grades should take into consideration post construction movement of flatwork particularly if such movement would be critical. <u>Normal maintenance should include inspection of all joints in paving and sidewalks, etc. as well as re-sealing where necessary.</u>

There are several factors, which relate to civil and architectural design and/or maintenance that can significantly affect future movements of the foundation and floor slab systems:

- 1) Where positive surface drainage cannot be achieved by sloping the ground surface adjacent to the building, a complete system of gutters and downspouts should carry runoff water a minimum of 10-feet from the completed structure;
- 2) Planters located adjacent to the structure should preferably be self-contained. Sprinkler mains should be located a minimum of five (5) feet from the building line;
- 3) Planter box structures placed adjacent to buildings should be provided with a means to assure concentrations of water are not available to the subsoil stratigraphy;
- 4) Large trees and shrubs should not be allowed closer to the foundation than a horizontal distance equal to roughly their mature height due to their significant moisture demand upon maturing;
- 5) Moisture conditions should be maintained "constant" around the edge of the slabs. Ponding of water in planters, in unpaved areas, and around joints in paving and sidewalks can cause slab movements beyond those predicted in this report; and
- Roof drains should discharge on pavement or be extended away from the structures.Ideally, roof drains should discharge to storm sewers by closed pipe.

Trenches backfill for utilities should be properly places and compacted as outlined in this report and in accordance with requirements of local City Standards. Since granular bedding backfill is used for most utility lines, the backfilled trench should be prevented from becoming a conduit and allowing an access for surface or subsurface water to travel toward the new structure. Concrete cut-off collars or clay plugs should be provided where utility lines cross building lines to prevent water traveling in the trench backfill and entering beneath the structure.

The PVR values estimated and stated under "Vertical Movements" are based on the provision that positive drainage shall be maintained to divert water away from the building. If this drainage is not maintained, the wetted front may occur below the assumed fifteen feet depth, and the resulting PVR may be two (2) to three (3) times greater than the stated values shown in this report. Utility leaks may also cause similar high movements to occur.

# 10.0 <u>SHORING</u>

Shoring of excavations and design of shoring systems are governed by federal, state, and local regulations. The design of shoring systems on this project is beyond the scope of Terradyne's services. The owner or the contractor should retain a shoring design professional to design shoring systems for excavations on this site.

# 11.0 <u>LIMITATIONS</u>

The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the 29 borings drilled at the site. This report is preliminary, and the values presented are for planning purposes only and should not be used for design. This report may not reflect the exact variations of the soil conditions across the site. The nature and extent of variations across the site may not become evident until construction commences. If variations appear evident, it will be necessary to re-evaluate Terradyne's recommendations after performing on-site observations and tests to establish the engineering significance of any variations. The project geotechnical engineer should review the final plan for the proposed building so that he may determine if changes in the foundation recommendations are required. The project geotechnical engineer declares that the findings, recommendations, or professional advice contained herein have been made and this report prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering practice in the fields of geotechnical engineering and engineering geology. No other warranties are implied or expressed.

This report is valid until site conditions change due to disturbance (cut and fill grading) or changes to nearby drainage conditions or for three (3) years from the date of this report, whichever occurs first. Beyond this expiration date, Terradyne shall not accept any liability associated with the engineering recommendations in the report, particularly if the site conditions have changed. If this report is desired for use for design purposes beyond this expiration date, Terradyne highly recommend drilling additional borings so that Terradyne can verify the subsurface conditions and validate the recommendations in this report.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Meritage Homes for the specific application of the proposed residential structures and roadways for Contessa Tract in New Braunfels/SA, Comal County, Texas.

APPENDIX



# Site Latitude and Longitude

Proposed Preliminary and Final Pavement Contessa Tract New Braunfels/San Antonio Comal County, Texas



# <u>TERRADYNE</u> AUSTIN, TEXAS

Prepared By:	Scale:	Project #
LD	Not to Scale	A241121
Verified By:	Date:	Figure #
JAG	August 2024	1-A



# Approximate Location of Exploratory Borings

Proposed Preliminary and Final Pavement Contessa Tract New Braunfels/San Antonio Comal County, Texas



# <u>TERRADYNE</u> <u>AUSTIN, TEXAS</u>

Prepared By:	Scale:	Project #
LD	Not to Scale	A241121
Base Plan By:	Date:	Figure #
Other	August 2024	1-B

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	<sup>s)</sup> July 26, 202		TERRADYNE												
F	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem	Flight			Engineers, Geolog	ists & Environme	ntal Scien	tists		Fotal Dep of Boreho	oth ble 7 fe	et bgs		
ſ	Drill Rig Type <b>B-4</b>										Approximate Surface Elevation Existing Ground Level					
	Groundwater Level and Date Measured Not Encountered					ered	Sampling Method(s) SPT, TCP									
		le 🖌	oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE B	LP								
ſ		Π									%					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log		RIAL DESCRIPT	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	FL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS	
			9 50/4"			Sandy Fat C roots - -	andy Fat Clay (CH) - brown, stiff, with ots - -				62	57	28	29		
	-	Limestone														
	5—		T=0"			-		-	15							
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]						Auger Refus	al @7-ft; End of	Borehole -								
bg4[Au:								_								
241121.	10 —	1				_		_								
Logs A2	-	1				-		-								
\Boring	-	11				-		-								
ackage	-	$\left  \right $				F		-								
Drilling F	-	4			-											
tract + [				_												
21\Con				-												
p\A241				-												
\Deskto					-											
Idulaimy	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
sers\LA	20 —															
D:C																

Terradyne Project Number: A241121

#### Date(s) Drilled July 26, 202 TERRADY Total Depth 10 feet bgs Drilling Method Solid Stem Flight ntal Scientists Geologists & Enviro Drill Rig **B-4** Approximate **Existing Ground Level** Surface Elevation Туре Groundwater Level and Date Measured Not Encountered Sampling Auger, SPT Method(s) Borehole Backfill Soil Cuttings Location SEE BLP % N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD) Passing #200 Sieve, Dry Unit Weight, pcf Water Content, % Sample Type Depth (feet) Graphic Log PP (tsf) UC, ksf % % % REMARKS AND Ļ ٦ ۲ Ę. OTHER TESTS MATERIAL DESCRIPTION Lean Clay with Sand (CL) - tannish brown, very stiff, with ferrous staining 19 12 78 49 23 25 and chalk 30 17 Fat Clay (CH) - tannish brown, hard, with ferrous staining and chalk 15 35 19 10 End of Borehole 15 20

Log of Boring B2

	lid Stem I	light			Engineers, Geologists & Envir	ronmental Sci	entists		Total Dep of Boreho		eet bg	s	
Drill Rig Type <b>B-</b>	4								Approxim Surface I	nate Elevatior	, Exist	ing Gro	ound Level
Groundwate and Date M	er Level easured No	ot Enc	ounte	red	Sampling Method(s) <b>Auger, SPT</b>								
Borehole Backfill	oil Cutting	gs			Location SEE BLP								
, Depth (feet) Sample Type	Sample Type       Sample Type <t< th=""><th>RIAL DESCRIPTION</th><th>Water Content, %</th><th>Dry Unit Weight, pcf</th><th>Passing #200 Sieve, %</th><th>LL, %</th><th>PL, %</th><th>PI, %</th><th>UC, ksf</th><th>REMARKS AI</th></t<>				RIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve, %	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AI
	18 27 26			stiff, with ferr	ous staining I) - tannish brown, very st staining	- 14 		96	56	19	37		

Terradyne Project Number: A241121

### Date(s) Drilled July 26, 202 TERRADY Total Depth 10 feet bgs Drilling Method Solid Stem Flight al Scientists eologists & Enviro Drill Rig **B-4** Approximate **Existing Ground Level** Surface Elevation Туре Groundwater Level and Date Measured Not Encountered Sampling Auger, SPT, TCP Method(s) Borehole Backfill Soil Cuttings Location SEE BLP % N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD) Passing #200 Sieve, Dry Unit Weight, pcf Water Content, % Sample Type Depth (feet) Graphic Log PP (tsf) UC, ksf % % % REMARKS AND Ļ P, Ę. OTHER TESTS MATERIAL DESCRIPTION Lean Clay (CL) - brownish tan, stiff, with ferrous staining 11 15 12 12 Fat Clay with Sand (CH) - brownish tan, very stiff 14 27 13 84 52 21 31 10 End of Borehole 15 20

C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]

Log of Boring B4

### Date(s) Drilled July 26, 202 TERRADY Drilling Method Solid Stem Flight Total Depth of Borehole **5 feet bgs** ntal Scientists Engineers, Geologists & Environ Drill Rig **B-4** Approximate **Existing Ground Level** Surface Elevation Туре Groundwater Level and Date Measured Not Encountered Sampling Method(s) SPT, TCP Borehole Backfill Soil Cuttings Location SEE BLP % N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD) Passing #200 Sieve, Dry Unit Weight, pcf Water Content, % Sample Type Depth (feet) Graphic Log PP (tsf) UC, ksf % % % REMARKS AND Ļ P, Ľ. OTHER TESTS MATERIAL DESCRIPTION 50/4 Lean Clay (CL) - brown, hard, with limestone fragments T=0" 6 Limestone 5 Auger Refusal @5-ft; End of Borehole 10 15 20

C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]

Log of Boring B5

Terradyne Project Number: A241121

### Date(s) Drilled July 26, 202 TERRADY Total Depth 10 feet bgs Drilling Method Solid Stem Flight ntal Scientists eologists & Enviro Drill Rig **B-4** Approximate **Existing Ground Level** Surface Elevation Туре Groundwater Level and Date Measured Not Encountered Sampling Method(s) Auger, SPT Borehole Backfill Soil Cuttings Location SEE BLP % N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD) Passing #200 Sieve, Dry Unit Weight, pcf Water Content, % Sample Type Depth (feet) Graphic Log PP (tsf) UC, ksf % % % REMARKS AND Ļ P, Ľ. OTHER TESTS MATERIAL DESCRIPTION Lean Clay (CL) - brown to tan, very stiff to hard, with chalk 25 15 47 8 90 39 16 23 Fat Clay (CH) - tan to tannish brown, hard 7 40 11 10 End of Borehole 15 20

Figure 7

Log of Boring B6

C:\Users\LAIdulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tp]

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 26, 202				TAND	RAD	VA	IE						
ſ	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geolo	gists & Environme	ntal Scien	tists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ble 7 fe	et bgs		
	Drill Rig Type	В-	4								Г.	Approxim Surface E	nate	E		ound Level
Γ	Ground	wate ie M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s)	, TCP								
- H			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE I	BLP			1					
ſ											%					
	o Depth (feet)	Sample Type		PP (tsf)	Graphic Log		RIAL DESCRIP		Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	_	Ν	50/1"		H	Lean Clay (C Limestone	L) - brown, har	d, stiff	12							
	-					-			-							
	-		T=0"			-			4							
	-					-										
	5—		T=0"			—		-	3							
	-															
.tpl]	-					Auger Refus	al @7-ft; End of	Borehole								
emplate	-					-										
4[Aus T	-					-										
1121.bg	10 —					_		-								
gs A24	-					-			-							
oring Lo	-					-										
ckage\B	-					-										
illing Pa	-					-										
act + Dr	15—	$\left  \right $				_		-								
1\Contra	-					-										
A24112	-					-										
Desktop/																
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]	-					-										
ers/LAId	20—															
C:\Use																

Drilling Nethod	, So	lid Stem I	Flight				alogists & Environn		tists		otal Dep Boreho	oth ble 10 f	eet bgs	6	
Drill Riq ype	<sup>д</sup> в-	4								4	Approxim Surface E	ate			ound Level
	lwate ite M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	red	Sampling Method(s) Au	ıger, SPT								
Boreho Backfill	<sup>le</sup> S	oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE	BLP								
Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCRI	PTION	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve, %	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS A OTHER TES
0—		37			Lean Clay (( with chalk -	CL) - tannish bi	_ 10 _								
5 <b>—</b>		33			- Fat Clay (Cł -	I) - tannish bro	own, hard	- 14 - 12 -		89	55	20	35		
10-		41			- End of Bore -	nole		- 12 -							
15 <b>—</b>					- - -			-							
20 <b>–</b>	-				-										

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 26, 202				TED	RAD	VA	IF						
Ī	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geol	logists & Environme	ntal Scier	tists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ble 5 fe	et bgs		
	Drill Rig Type	<sup>ј</sup> В-	4									Approxim Surface E	nate	E.d. d		ound Level
ſ	Ground	wate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) <b>SP</b>	Т, ТСР								
			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE	BLP								
ſ							1				%					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log				Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	., %	-, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND
	ă 0-	š	z⊭t	Ы	Ū		RIAL DESCRII		≥	ā	l ä	Ľ.	Ŀ	4	Ď	OTHER TESTS
			20 T=0"			stiff - - Limestone		17		73	64	26	38			
	-	1				-										
	5-					Auger Refus	al @5-ft; End c	of Borehole								
						-										
[]	-					-			-							
nplate.t	-	$\left  \right $				-			_							
Nus Ten	-					-										
1.bg4[/	10 —					_		-								
A24112						_										
g Logs	-					_										
ge\Borin																
Packaç	-	$\left  \right $				-										
Drilling	-					-										
ntract +	15 <b>—</b>	1				—		-								
121/Co						-										
p\A241	-					-										
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]	-	$\left  \right $				-										
Idulaim	-	$\left  \right $				_										
sers/LA.	20 —															
C:U																

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 26, 202				TER	RAD	VA	IF						
Γ	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight				ogists & Environm	A 1	tists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ble 5 fe	et bgs		
	Drill Rig Type	в-	4								7	Approxim Surface I	nate	Exist	ing Gro	ound Level
	Ground	lwate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s)	I, TCP								
			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE	BLP								
ſ					Τ						%					
			N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)						%	t, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,					
	et)	ype	t (SP 100 b		bo				Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	200 S					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	lows/f ches/ )	tsf)	Graphic Log				er Co	Unit V	sing #	%	%	<i>.</i>	ksf	
		San	N=b T=in (THI	PP (tsf)	Grag	MATE	RIAL DESCRIF	PTION	Wat	Dry	Pas	Ľ,	ΡĽ	PI, %	ПĊ,	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	0-	N	16			Fat Clay with stiff	Sand (CH) - b	rown, very	12		74	51	23	28		
	-	Þ				-										
	-	1				-			-							
	-		T=0"			-			_							
	-	Π	1-0			Limestone			-							
	5-	$\left  \right $			╞┯┷	Auger Refus	al @5-ft; End o	f Borehole								
		$\left  \right $				-			-							
_	-	$\left  \right $				-			_							
plate.tp	-					-			_							
us Tem	-					-			_							
1.bg4[A	10 —					_		_								
424112	-					_										
g Logs /																
e\Borin	-								1							
Packag	-	1				-			1							
Drilling	-	1				F			1							
ntract +	15 —					<b>–</b>		-	1							
121/Coi									1							
p\A241									1							
\Deskto	-	$\left  \right $				F			-							
C:\Users\LAIdulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tp]	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
sers/LAI	20 —															
C:\Us																

	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 26, 202				TERI	200	VA	E						
Ī	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geologi	THE ADDRESS THE ADDRESS OF	ntal Scier	tists		Fotal Dep of Boreho	oth ble 5 fe	et bgs		
ľ	Drill Rig Type		4							-		Approxim Surface I	nate	Existi	ng Gro	ound Level
_ L	1,90			ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) <b>SPT,</b>	ТСР			Ť					
- H			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE B	LP								
ſ	Daokini	П									%					
	t)	pe'	(SPT) 00 blows		g				itent, %	ʻeight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPT	ION	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #2	нг, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	0		50/4"			Fat Clay with with limeston	Sand (CH) - bro e fragments	wn, hard,	9							
	-		T=0"			-		-	3							
	- 5—	Π	1-0			Limestone		-	5							
	-					Auger Refus	al @5-ft; End of I	Borehole								
.tpl]	-					-		-								
s Template	-					-		-								
121.bg4[Au	10—					_		_								
Logs A241	-					-		-								
ige/Boring	-					-		-								
illing Packa	-					-		-								
intract + Dr	15 <b>—</b>	$\left  \right $				-		_								
241121/Co	-					-		-								
\Desktop\A	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
C:\Users\LAIdulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
:\Users\I	20 —				1			<u>.</u>		I	1	I	1	1	I	

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Jul	ly 26, 202				TEP	RAD								
Ī	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem	Flight			Engineers, Geo	ologists & Environm	ental Scie	ntists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ble 5 fe	et bgs		
	Drill Rig Type	в-	4							-	- E	Approxim Surface I	nate	E.d.d	ing Gro	ound Level
		wate	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) <b>SP</b>	T, TCP								
			oil Cuttin				Location SEE	BLP			I					
ſ	Dackilli										%					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log		RIAL DESCRI		Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve, <sup>6</sup>	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
C:\Users\LAdulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tp]			<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	44		Fat Clay (CH roots - - Limestone	RIAL DESCRI	, hard, with				83	34	<u>a</u> 49		OTHER TESTS
::\Users\LA	20—															

Date Drille	(s) ed	Jul	y 26, 202				TER	RAD								
Drillir Meth	ng Iod	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Ge	eologists & Environn	ental Scier	ntists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ble 5 fe	et bgs		
Drill I Type		В-4	4									Approxim Surface E	nate			ound Level
Grou	ndw	vate	r Lovol	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s)	РТ, ТСР								
			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEI	E BLP			<b>I</b>					
											%					
Depth (feet)		Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCR	RIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		N	50/4"			Fat Clay (CH roots			7							
	T=0"								- 4							
ŧ	5 <b></b> -		T=0"			Auger Refus	al @5-ft; End	of Borehole	3							
us Template.tpl]	-					-										
C:\Users\LAIdulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4(Aus Template.tpl)	) -					-			-							
ickage\Boring Loc	-					-			-							
ntract + Drilling Pa	- 5					-			-							
top\A241121\Coi							-									
LAIdulaimy\Desk	-					-										
20 C:\Nsers/I	) <b></b>			I	1					1	1	1	1		<u> </u>	

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 26, 202				TER	RAL		JE						
Γ	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geo	logists & Environ		ntists		Total De of Boreh	<sup>pth</sup> 5 fe	et bgs		
	Drill Rig Type	в-	4								- D	Approxin Surface I	nate	Exist	ing Gro	ound Level
		wate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s)	T, TCP								
Ţ	Boreho Backfill	<sup>le</sup> s	oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE	BLP			I					
ſ							1				%					
		e	SPT) 00 blows						ent, %	eight, pcf	00 Sieve,					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCRI	PTION	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	_0_	Ň	5, 50/0"			Fat Clay (CH	l) - dark brown		18						_	
	-	P	-,			stiff, with roo Limestone	ts		_							
	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
	-		T=0"		H	-			- 3							
	5—				H		al @5-ft; End @	of Porobolo								
	-					- Auger Refus	ai @5-it, Eliu (									
	-					_										
ate.tpl]																
Templa	-	$\left  \right $				-										
g4[Aus	-	1				-										
41121.b	10 —	1				_			_							
ogs A24	-					-			-							
3oring L	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
ickage\E	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
illing Pa	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
act + Dr	15 <b>—</b>	$\left  \right $				_			_							
1\Contra									-							
A24112 <sup>.</sup>									4							
∋sktop\/	-	$\left  \right $				_										
aimy\D€	-					-										
C:\Users\LAIdulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tb]	20 <b>—</b>															
C:\User	20-															

Da	ate(s) illed	Ju	y 26, 202				TEP	RAD								
Dr M	illing ethod	So	lid Stem	Flight			Engineers, Geol	logists & Environ	nental Scie	ntists		Total Dep of Boreh	<sup>pth</sup> 5 fe	et bgs		
Dr	'ill Rig ∕pe	<sup>,</sup> B-	4								. D	Approxin Surface I	nate	E.J.A		ound Level
G	round	wate te M	er Level easured No	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) <b>SP</b>	Г, ТСР								
			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE	BLP								
		Π									%					
			SPT) ) blows						nt, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,					
	feet)	s Type	/s/ft (S es/100		c Log				Contei	it Wei	g #20(					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCRI	PTION	Water Content, %	Dry Un	Passinę	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	0-	N	8, 50/2"			Fat Clay (CH stiff, with roo	) - dark brown, ts	medium	18			74	27	47		
	-	Ν				Limestone										
	-					-			1							
	-		T=0"			-			1							
	-	Π				-			-							
	5—					Auger Refus	al @5-ft; End c	f Borehole								
	-					-			-							
[ld	-					-			-							
mplate.	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
[Aus Te	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
121.bg4	10 —					_			_							
gs A241	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
oring Lo	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
ckage\B(	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
lling Pac	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
act + Dri	15 <b>—</b>	$\left  \right $				-			_							
1\Contra	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
A24112 <sup>.</sup>	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
)esktop\	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
llaimy\C	-					-										
C:\Users\LAIdulaimy\Desktop\A24121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A24121.bg4{Aus Template.tp1	20 —															
C:\Use																

	ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 29, 202	4			TER	PAD		IE						Ì
Diffinition     Bat     Approximate Surface Elevation     Existing Ground Level       Groundwater Level and Date Measured     Not Encountered     Sampling Method(s)     SPT, TCP       Borehole Backfill     Soil Cuttings     Location SEE BLP		Drilling Method	So	lid Stem	Flight			Engineers, Geol	ogists & Environn	ental Scie	ntists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ble 7 fe	et bgs		
Groundwater Level and Date Measured Bachdill     Not Encountered Method(s)     Sempling Method(s)     SPT, TCP       Borehole Bachdill     Soil Cuttings     Location SEE BLP		Drill Rig	в-	4							-		Approxim	nate	E.J.A	ng Gro	ound Level
Backhill     Soll Cuttings       Location SEE BLP			wate		ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) <b>SP</b>	Г, ТСР								
T=0" T=0"					gs							I					
Image: second constraints     I	(	Dackilli			-							8					
50/1*     Poorly Graded Gravel with Sand (GP) - dark brown, very dense     29       T=0*     Limestone       5     T=0*       4     Auger Refusal @7-ft; End of Borehole       10     4		Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCRIF	PTION	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve, <sup>9</sup>		PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
T=0"		0— - -	Z				_ dark brown, v	ed Gravel with s very dense	Sand (GP) -	29							
The second secon		-					-			-							
	[]	-		1=0"			- Auger Refus	al @7-ft; End o	fBorehole	-							
10-       -	j4[Aus Template.tp	-					-			-							
	g Logs A241121.bg	10 <b>—</b> -					-			-							
	ng Package\Borinç	-					-										
	t + Drilli	15 —	$\left  \right $				_										
	Contrac	-					_			1							
	41121																
	ktop\A2	-															
	my/Des.	-	1				-			1							
	-Aldulai	-	1				-			1							
	\Users\I	20 —				1						I	1	1	1	<u> </u>	

Project: Pr	oposed Prelimin	ary and Final P	Pavement
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------

Project Location: Contessa Tract, East of Schoenthal Road, New Braunfels, Comal County, Texas

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 29, 202	4			TER	RAD	VA	E						
	Drilling Method		lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geol	logists & Environme	_	tists		Fotal Dep of Boreho	oth ble 7 fe	et bgs		
ſ	Drill Rig Type	<sup>,</sup> B-	4							-		Approxim Surface E	nate		ng Gro	ound Level
		wate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	red	Sampling Method(s)	T, TCP								
			oil Cuttin				Location SEE				•					
ſ											%					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log		RIAL DESCRII		Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-		19			Poorly Grade brown, mediu	ed Gravel with a um dense to ve		-		1	70	29	41		
	-		T=0"			-			7							
	5 —		T=3"			_		-	5							
	-					-			-							
.tpl]	-					Auger Refus	al @7-ft; End c	f Borehole	-							
[emplate	-					-										
g4[Aus ]	-					-			-							
241121.b	10 —					_		-								
l Logs A	-	1				-										
ge\Boring	-					-										
g Packa	-					_										
t + Drillin	15 <b>—</b>					_		_								
\Contract	-					_										
4241121	-					_			_							
Desktop/	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
Jsers/LAI	20 <b>—</b>															
أة	_															

	ate(s) rilled	Ju	ly 29, 202	4			TEP	RAD								
	rilling 1ethod	So	lid Stem	Flight			Engineers, Ger	ologists & Environm	ental Sciel	ntists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ole 7 fe	et bgs		
	orill Rig		4							-	. E	Approxin Surface I	nate	E de la di		ound Level
Ģ	Ground	wate	vr Lovial	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s)	РТ, ТСР								
E			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE	BLP			•					
ſ		Π									%					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	(sf)	Graphic Log				Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	%	%	.0	ksf	
		Sam	N=blo T=ine (THC	PP (tsf)			RIAL DESCR	IPTION	Wate	Dry L	Pass	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, I	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	0-		50/4"		00.00000000000000000000000000000000000	Poorly Grade yellowish tan	ed Gravel with , very dense	Sand (GP) -	25							
	-					Limestone										
	-		T=0"			_			12							
	5—		T=0"		H	_		-	11							
	-					-			-							
e.tpl]	-					Auger Refusa	al @7-ft; End	of Borehole	-							
s Templat	-					-										
21.bg4[Au	10 —					_										
Is A24112	-					-			-							
toring Log	-					_			-							
Package\E	-					_			-							
+ Drilling F	-					_			-							
Contract +	15 —							-	]							
4241121/	-					-			_							
\Desktop\	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
C:\Users\LAtdulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4{Aus Template.tp1	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
C:\Users\L	20 —									1	I	1	1	I		l

Date Drill							TER	RAL		~							
Drill Met	ing hod	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, G	eologists & Environ	mental S	icien	tists		Fotal Dep of Boreho	<sup>pth</sup> 5 fe	et bgs		
Drill Typ	Rig	В-4	1								-		Approxim				ound Level
		vate e Me	r Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) S	РТ, ТСР									
Bore Bac	ehole	ŝ	oil Cuttin	gs			Location SE	E BLP				•					
		Τ										%					
Danth (faat)	applie (read)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCF	RIPTION	Water Content %		Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]			50/1" T=0"				el with Sand	(GC) - brown,				41	49	26	23		
:\Users\LAldulaimy\	20					-			-								

Dat Drill							THE	RAD								
Drill Met	ling hod	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, G	eologists & Environ	nental Scie	ntists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ole 7 fe	et bgs		
Drill Typ	l Rig	B-4	4									Approxim Surface E	nate	Exist	ing Gro	ound Level
Gro	und	wate e Me	r Level Ne	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) S	PT, TCP								
			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SE	E BLP								
											%					
Donth (foot)	ے تحوران (افعد)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log		RIAL DESCF		Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	rr, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tp]]			T=0" T=0"				el with Sand (	(GC) - brown,								
C:\User	~															

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	illed Sulf 30, 2024					TER	RAD	VA							
ſ	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geolo			tists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ole 7 fe	et bgs		
ſ	Drill Rig Type	<sup>ј</sup> В-	4							-	1	Approxim Surface E	nate	Exist	ng Gro	ound Level
		wate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s)	, TCP								
Ī	Boreho Backfill	<sup>le</sup> S	oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE E				•					
ſ											%					
			N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)						%	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,					
	et)	Type	/ft (SF /1001		-og				Water Content, %	Weigł	#200 :					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	olows, nches ID)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log				ter Co	Unit	sing a	%	%	%	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND
		Sar	T=i T=i	РР	Gra		RIAL DESCRIP	TION	Wa	Dry	Pas	ĽĽ,	PĽ,	PI, %	nc	OTHER TESTS
	-	N	21			Fat Clay (CH	) - brown, stiff		13		96	69	26	43		
		P														
	-					-										
	-		T=0"			- Limestone			7							
	-					-										
	5—		T=0"		耴	_		-	4							
	-	$\left  \right $			Ħ	-										
[]	-	$\left  \right $			╞╍┶	Auger Refus	al @7-ft; End of	Borehole								
nplate.t	-	$\left  \right $				-										
Aus Ter	-	$\left  \right $				-			-							
21.bg4[/	10—					_		-								
A2411:	-					-										
ng Logs	-					-										
ge\Bori	-					-										
g Packa	-															
<ul> <li>Drilling</li> </ul>																
- ntract	15 —	1				—		-								
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]	-	1				-			1							
op\A24	-	1				F			1							
y/Deskt	-	$\left  \right $				-										
<u> Vdulaim</u>	-	$\left  \right $				-										
lsers/LA	20 —															
اج																

	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 30, 202	4			TERR		VA	E						
	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geologis	A DOUGH THE ADDRESS	ntal Scier	tists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ole 5 fe	et bgs		
	Drill Rig Type		4							-		Approxim Surface E	nate	Existi	ng Gro	ound Level
		lwate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) <b>SPT, 1</b>	СР								
			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE BL	P								
ſ											%					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTI	ON	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	0		7, 50/2"			Fat Clay (CH - -	) - brown, mediun	n stiff -	26							
	-		T=0"			Limestone		-	15							
	5 <b>—</b>	$\left  \right $				Auger Refus	al @5-ft; End of B	orehole								
	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
te.tpl]	-	1				-		-								
s Templat	-					-		-								
1.bg4[Au	10-					_		_								
s A24112	-					-		-								
oring Log:	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
ackage\B(	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
Drilling P	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
ontract + [	15 <b>—</b>	$\left  \right $				-		_								
41121/Co	-							-								
esktop\A2	-					-		-								
C:/Users/LAIdulaimy/Desktop/A241121/Contract + Drilling Package/Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tb]	-					-		-								
ers/LAlc	20 <b>—</b>															
C:\Us																

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Jul	y 30, 202	4			TER	200	VA	E						
	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geologi	sts & Environme	ntal Scien	tists		Fotal Dep of Boreho	oth ole 7 fe	et bgs		
	Drill Rig Type	в-	4									Approxim Surface E	nate	Existi	ng Gro	ound Level
	Ground	wate	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) <b>SPT,</b>	ТСР								
ŀ			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE BI	LP								
ſ	Dackill										%					
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log				Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve, '	, %	-, %	, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND
	ے 0_	ő	ΪĻΓ	Ы	Ū		RIAL DESCRIPT		8	D	Å	Ľ.	Ŀ	Ē	n	OTHER TESTS
	-		14			- -	ay (Cn) - Diowii,		12		70	65	30	35		
	-		T=0"			Limestone										
	5-					_		_								
	-		T=0"			-		-								
e.tpl]	-	1				Auger Refusa	al @7-ft; End of E	Borehole								
[emplat	-	1				-		-								
j4[Aus <sup>-</sup>	-	1				-		-								
1121.bg	10 —					_		_								
ogs A24	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
oring Lo	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
ckage/E	-	$\left  \right $				F		-								
illing Pa	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
act + Dr	15 —	$\left  \right $				<b>—</b>		_								
1\Contra	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
A24112	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
lesktop/.	-	$\left  \right $				-		-								
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tp]	-					-		-								
rs/LAIdL	20—															
C:\Use																

	Date(s) Drilled	Jul	y 30, 202	4			TER	200	VA	E						Ì
	Drilling /lethod	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geolog	gists & Environme	ntal Scien	tists		Fotal Dep of Boreho	oth ble <b>4 fe</b>	et bgs		
	Drill Rig Type	в-	4							-	1	Approxim Surface E	nate	Existi	ing Gro	ound Level
		wate te Me	r Level Ne	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s)	ər								
			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE B									
ſ		П									%					
	et)	_ype	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)		-og				Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,					
	ິ Depth (feet) ໄ	Sample Type	N=blows/ T=inches (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log		RIAL DESCRIP	TION	Water Co	Dry Unit \	Passing ∉	нг, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-	ĺ				Fat Clay (CH - Limestone	) -brown, hard	-	5							
	-					-		-								
	- 5—				╞╼┷	Auger Refus	al @4-ft; End of	Borehole	7							
	-					-		-								
emplate.tpl]	-					-		-								
21.bg4[Aus T	- 10—					-		-								
ig Logs A2411	-					-		-								
<sup>p</sup> ackage\Borir	-					-		-								
C:\Users\LAIdulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tb]	- 15—					-		-								
241121/Contr	-					-		-								
ny/Desktop\A2	-					-		-								
sers\LAldulaim	- 20—					-										
C:\U																

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 30, 202	4			TED	RAD	VA	E						
	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem	Flight			Engineers, Geolo	ogists & Environme	ntal Scier	tists		Total Dep of Boreho	oth ble 5 fe	et bgs		
Г	Drill Rig Type	в-	4									Approxim Surface I	nate	Eviati	ng Gro	ound Level
Г	Ground	wate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) <b>SPT</b>	, TCP								
F		le 🖕	oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE	BLP			I					
ſ							I				%					
	o Depth (feet)	🚺 Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log		RIAL DESCRIF		2 Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		T=0"			Limestone	al @5-ft; End of									
C:\Users\	20 —			I						I					I	

ſ	Date(s) Drilled	Ju	ly 30, 202	4			Engineers, Geologists & Environmental Scientists											
	Drilling Method	So	lid Stem I	Flight								Total Depth of Borehole 7 feet bgs						
ľ	Drill Rig Type	в-	4								1	Approximate Surface Elevation Existing Ground Level						
		wate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) SPT, TCP											
Ī	Boreho Backfill	<sup>le</sup> s	oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE BLP											
ſ											%							
			N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)						, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,							
	et)	Type	/ft (SF /1001		-og				Water Content, %	Weigł	#200							
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	olows/ nches ID)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log				ter Co	Unit	sing #	%	%	%	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND		
		Sar	N=t T=ii (TH	ЧЧ	Gra					Dry	Pas	LL, e	PĽ,	PI, %	nc	OTHER TESTS		
	-	Ø	13			Lean Clay (C medium stiff	L) - tannish brov to hard, with roc	wn, ots _	14		93	48	19	29				
	-	P																
	-	1				-		-										
	-	Ц				-		-										
	-		32			-	-		11									
	5-	5 T=0" Limestone						9										
	-	$\left  \right $			H	-		-										
0]	-	$\left  \right $			┝╍┶	Auger Refus	al @7-ft; End of	Borehole										
nplate.t	-	$\left  \right $				-		-										
Aus Ten	-	$\left  \right $				-		-										
1.bg4[/	10—					_		_										
A24112	-					_		-										
g Logs	-					_		_										
je\Borin	-							_										
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]	-	]				-		-										
Drilling	-	1				-		-										
ntract +	15 —	1				<b>—</b>		_										
121/Coi	-	$\left  \right $				-		-										
p\A241	-	$\left  \right $				-		-										
\Deskto	-	$\left  \right $				-		-										
dulaimy	-	$\left  \right $				-		-										
ers/LAk	20 —																	
C:\Us																		

rilling lethod	So	lid Stem F	Flight			Engineers, Geologists & Environmental Scientists of Borehole 15 feet bgs									
rill Riç ype	B-	4					A S	Approximate Surface Elevation Existing Ground Level							
nd Da	te M	er Level easured No	ot Enc	ounte	red	Sampling Method(s) Auger, SPT									
oreho ackfill	<sup>le</sup> S	oil Cutting	gs			Location SEE BLP									
Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve, %	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AI OTHER TES	
0— - -		11				el with Sand (GC) - light nish brown, medium dense e	12		40	48	18	30			
- 5—		21				th Sand (CL) - tannish	- 15 - 15 -								
10—		44			- - -		- 14 								
52 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15			ole	- 12											
					-										

Project Location: Contessa Tract, East of Schoenthal Road, New Braunfels, Comal County, Texas

letitou		lid Stem F	light			Engineers, Geologists & Environmental Scientists									
vrill Rig ype						Approximate Surface Elevation Existing Ground Le								ound Level	
nd Date	e Me	easureu	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s) Auger, SPT									
orehole ackfill	° S	oil Cutting	gs			Location SEE BLP									
, Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATE	FERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve, %	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS A OTHER TES	
0 - -		23			Clayey Grav brown to tan to dense	el with Sand (GC) - reddi nish brown, medium den	sh se 19 -								
5		42				ith Sand (CL) - tannish stiff to hard	- - - 10		73	41	12	29			
- 10		27			- - -		_ 								
		40			- - End of Boreł -	nole	- 15								
-					-		-								

Project: Proposed Preliminary	and Final Pavement
-------------------------------	--------------------

Project Location: Contessa Tract, East of Schoenthal Road, New Braunfels, Comal County, Texas

	Date(s) Drilled July 30, 2024						TER										
	Drilling Nethod	So	lid Stem I	Flight			Engineers, Geo	ologists & Environm	ental Scier	itists		otal Dep Boreho	oth ole 5 fe	et bgs			
	Drill Rig ype	ј в-	4										Approximate Surface Elevation Existing Ground Level				
		wate te M	er Level easured	ot Enc	ounte	ered	Sampling Method(s)										
			oil Cuttin	gs			Location SEE BLP										
ſ											%						
	(feet)	Sample Type	Sample Type N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)		Graphic Log				Water Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Passing #200 Sieve,				sf		
	Depth (feet)	Samp	N=blo T=inct (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graph	MATE	RIAL DESCRI	IPTION	Water	Dry UI	Passir	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS	
	0-	50/2" Clayey Gravel with Sand (GC) - brown, very dense, with limestone fragments				29											
	-					Limestone											
	-		T=0"			-			4								
	5 <b>—</b>						al @5-ft; End	of Porobolo	_								
						- Auger Refus	ai @5-it, End		_								
	-					-			_								
nplate.tpl	-	$\left  \right $				-			-								
I[Aus Ter	-	$\left  \right $				-			-								
1121.bg <sup>2</sup>	10—	$\left  \right $				_		-									
Logs A24	•					-			-								
e\Boring	-	1				-			-								
g Packag						-			]								
t + Drillin	15 <b>—</b>					_		-									
1\Contrac						-			_								
\A24112	-	$\left  \right $				-			-								
y\Desktor	-	$\left  \right $				_			-								
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tp]	-	$\left  \right $				_			-								
C:\Users\I	20 —							-	_1	L	1	I	1	I	L		

	(feet)	e Type	N=blows/ft (SPT) T=inches/100 blows (THD)	(	c Log	Engineers, Geolog	gists & Environ			g #20				Į			
	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	N=blow T=inch (THD)	PP (tsf)	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIP	TION	Water Conte	Dry Unit We	Passing #20	LL, %	PL, %	PI, %	UC, ksf	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS		
	1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		
	COLU	MN	DESCRI	PTION	<u>s</u>												
	1 De 2 Sa 5 N= 4 PF 5 Gi 6 M/ 1 te; 7 W 8 Dr 6 SPT::: 7 HD:	epth amp own =blc adv enet aph iccou ATE aph iccou ATE aph iccou a i i aph iccou a i i aph iccou aph iccou aph iccou aph iccou aph iccou aph iccou aph iccou aph iccou aph iccou i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	(feet): De le Type: T n. ws/ft (SPT ration in ir ration in ir f): The Re rometer ir nic Log: Gi intered. RIAL DES nclude cor Content, ntage of d nit Weight ured in lab	epth in ype of T) T=in samp nches c elative tons/s raphic SCRIP SCRIP SCRIP SCRIP SCRIP RATOF RATOF Detration of Trans	feet b soil s ches/ of THI Consi quare depict TION: ter co pht of Ory we y, in p <b>RY TE</b>	ion of the subsurface material Description of material encour oisture, color, and other descri intent of the soil sample, express sample. eight per unit volume of soil sar ounds per cubic foot.	of blows T: 12 13 14 Pocket 14 ntered. ptive ssed as nple	200 Siev LL, %: L PL, %: F PI, %: P UC, ksf: REMAR	ve) in th iquid Li Plastic L lasticity Uncont KS ANI g drillin g drillin	e samp mit, exp imit, exp index, fined cc O OTHE g or sain g or sain ercent , percer	ole. pressed presse expres ompress ER TES mpling	l as a w d as a v sed as sive stre STS: Co	vater co water c a water ength. mment	ntent ontent. conter s and c	sing the No. nt. observations d personnel.		
ite.tpl]							U	C: Uncon	fined co	mpress	sive stre	ength te	est, Qu,	in ksf			
us Templa						<u>C SYMBOLS</u> D, SANDY CLAY (CH)	C A	Clay	ey GRA	VEL (G	SC)						
Logs A241121.bg4[Aus Template.tpl]						ND, SANDY CLAY (CL)	0000		ly grade			SP)					
		1						Lime	stone								
e\Boring	TYPIC	AL	SAMPLE	R GR	APHIC	SYMBOLS				<u>01</u>	HER G	RAPH	IC SYN	BOLS			
ackage	Au	ger	sampler			Grab Sample	Texas (	Cone Pen	etrome	ter –	≚ Wate	r level (a	it time of	drilling,	ATD)		
rilling P	Bul	lk S	ample			2.5-inch-OD Modified California w/ brass liners	Shelby fixed he	Tube (Th	in-walle	ed, —		r level (a		-	) erties within a		
act + D	🖌 3-ir		-OD Califo rings	ornia w/	′	Pitcher Sample		Judy		$\neg$	stratu	m					
\Contra	_		0			2-inch-OD unlined split						Ū			etween strata		
C:\Users\LAldulaimy\Desktop\A241121\Contract + Drilling Package\Boring	CME Sampler 2-inch-OD unlined split spoon (SPT) -?- Queried contact between strata <b>GENERAL NOTES</b> 1: Soil classifications are based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive, and actual lithologic changes may be gradual. Field descriptions may have been modified to reflect results of lab tests. 2: Descriptions on these logs apply only at the specific boring locations and at the time the borings were advanced. They are not warranted to be representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.												anges may be				
C:\Users\L																	