

Integrated Testing and Engineering Company of San Antonio, L.P. Geotechnical & Environmental Engineering • Construction Services • Geologic Assessment

May 28, 2024

Pulte Homes of Texas, LP

1718 Dry Creek Way, Suite 120 San Antonio, Texas 78259

Attention:

Mr. Sean Miller

Email:

sean.miller@pultegroup.com

Re:

Subsurface Exploration and Pavement Analysis

Proposed New Streets

324 Acre Biedenharn Tract

Corley Road

Boerne, Texas

InTEC Project No. S201216-P-A3

Ladies & Gentlemen:

Integrated Testing and Engineering Company of San Antonio (InTEC) completed a subsurface exploration and pavement thickness evaluation report (InTEC Project No. S201216-P dated September 23, 2020) at the above referenced project site. As requested, full depth asphalt sections are presented in this addendum. All other recommendations remain the same as in the original report.

We appreciate and wish to thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If we can be of additional assistance during the foundations explorations, and materials testing-quality control phase of construction, please call us.

Sincerely,

InTEC of San Antonio

Murali Subramaniam, Ph. D., P.E.

Vice President



05/28/2024



Table No. 1 City of Boerne Pavement Guidelines, 2021

Street Classification →	Local Street
ESAL	250,000
Reliability Level	R-90
Initial and Terminal Serviceability	4.2 and 2.0
Standard Deviation	0.45
Service Life	20 years
Minimum & Maximum Pavement Structural Number	3.20 & 4.10
Minimum HMAC	2.0 inches
Minimum Aggregate Base	8 inches Crushed Limestone; or 5 inches Asphalt Treated Base Course
Minimum Base Compaction (Tex 113e at Moisture content between -2 and +3 percent of optimum moisture content)	100 %
Minimum Subgrade Compaction (Tex 114e)	95 %



Table No. 2 – Minimum Flexible Pavement Recommendations – CBR = 2.5 **

Street Classification	Asphalt Thickness, Inches		Aggregate Base Thickness, Inches	Subgrade	Structural Number
	HMAC, Type D	HMAC, Type B			
Local Street	2.00	8.00	-	*	3.60

Subgrade Notes (*):

- As requested, pavement section is presented with no subgrade stabilization
- Subgrade soils should be scarified to a minimum depth of 8 inches and compacted per City of Boerne subgrade compaction guidelines.

General Notes (**):

- Input parameters are shown in Table No. 1. Please call us to provide pavement recommendations, if needed, for different input values.
- If repetitive truck or heavy truck traffic is anticipated, please contact us for revised pavement recommendations.
- Pavement section recommendations are based on a subgrade CBR value of 2.5. The pavement recommendations are not based on the shrink / swell characteristics of the underlying soils. <u>The</u> <u>pavement can experience cracking and deformation due to shrinkage and swelling characteristics of the soils as described in the Vertical Movements section of this report. Use of geogrid will help reduce the shrink / swell related pavement cracking.
 </u>
- If water is allowed to get underneath the asphalt or if moisture content of the base or subgrade changes significantly, then pavement distress will occur. Exterior moisture barrier, such as curbs extending a minimum of 3 inches into subgrade, will help reduce moisture getting underneath the pavement.

Fill Material:

• If fill is used to raise the grade, approved fill material should be used to raise the grade. The fill material should be free of deleterious material with a minimum CBR value of 2.5 and **conform to City of Boerne requirements**. The material should be placed as per applicable city or county guidelines.

Subgrade Verification:

• The project geotechnical engineer should verify the pavement subgrade at the time of construction.

All applicable guidelines as presented in "City of Boerne Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction" should be followed.

Calculations					
CI	BR = 2.5				
Subsurface Exploration and Pavement Analysis					
Subsurface Exploration and Pavement Analysis Proposed New Streets 324 Acre Biedenharn Tract Corley Road Boerne, Texas	InTEC Project Number: S201216-P-A3	Date: 04/10/2024			

Asphalt Pavement Design Analysis



Design	Reference		
Project	Location		
Customer	Designer	Murali Subramaniam	
Company InTEC	Date	May 28, 2024	

Results





Unstabilized

	Thickness	Coeff.	SN
HMA layer 1	2 in	0.440	0.880
HMA layer 2	6 in	0.340	2.040
Aggregate base (NX750)	8 in	0.271	2.168
Structural number (SN)			5.888

	Thickness	Coeff.	SN
HMA layer 1	2 in	0.440	0.880
HMA layer 2	8 in	0.340	2.720
Structural number (SN)			3,600

Parameters

Project Information

Target ESALs	Subgrade resilient modulus	Reliability	Standard deviation	Serviceability	
	11.0			Initial	Terminal
250,000	3,750 psi	90%	0.45	4.2	2

This report was proported using Tempor G (1711):

Limitetions of this Repor

This control de to 100y are the Tensor's influence toot and its pulsars control or evaluate the appropriate way properly and study according to the Tensor advance of the pulsars control or and the pulsars of any pulsars of the control or any pulsars of the puls

Subsurface Exploration and Pavement Analysis Proposed New Streets
324 Acre Biedenharn Tract
Corley Road
Boerne, Texas

Local

InTEC Project Number: **S201216-P-A3**

Date: 04/10/2024

Appendix				
Subsurface Exploration and Pavement Analysis Proposed New Streets 324 Acre Biedenharn Tract Corley Road Boerne Texas	InTEC Project Number: S201216-P-A3	Date: 04/10/2024		

Important Information about This

Geotechnical-Engineering Report

Subsurface problems are a principal cause of construction delays, cost overruns, claims, and disputes.

While you cannot eliminate all such risks, you can manage them. The following information is provided to help.

The Geoprofessional Business Association (GBA) has prepared this advisory to help you - assumedly a client representative - interpret and apply this geotechnical-engineering report as effectively as possible. In that way, you can benefit from a lowered exposure to problems associated with subsurface conditions at project sites and development of them that, for decades, have been a principal cause of construction delays, cost overruns, claims, and disputes. If you have questions or want more information about any of the issues discussed herein, contact your GBA-member geotechnical engineer. Active engagement in GBA exposes geotechnical engineers to a wide array of risk-confrontation techniques that can be of genuine benefit for everyone involved with a construction project.

Understand the Geotechnical-Engineering Services Provided for this Report

Geotechnical-engineering services typically include the planning, collection, interpretation, and analysis of exploratory data from widely spaced borings and/or test pits. Field data are combined with results from laboratory tests of soil and rock samples obtained from field exploration (if applicable), observations made during site reconnaissance, and historical information to form one or more models of the expected subsurface conditions beneath the site. Local geology and alterations of the site surface and subsurface by previous and proposed construction are also important considerations. Geotechnical engineers apply their engineering training, experience, and judgment to adapt the requirements of the prospective project to the subsurface model(s). Estimates are made of the subsurface conditions that will likely be exposed during construction as well as the expected performance of foundations and other structures being planned and/or affected by construction activities.

The culmination of these geotechnical-engineering services is typically a geotechnical-engineering report providing the data obtained, a discussion of the subsurface model(s), the engineering and geologic engineering assessments and analyses made, and the recommendations developed to satisfy the given requirements of the project. These reports may be titled investigations, explorations, studies, assessments, or evaluations. Regardless of the title used, the geotechnical-engineering report is an engineering interpretation of the subsurface conditions within the context of the project and does not represent a close examination, systematic inquiry, or thorough investigation of all site and subsurface conditions.

Geotechnical-Engineering Services are Performed for Specific Purposes, Persons, and Projects, and At Specific Times

Geotechnical engineers structure their services to meet the specific needs, goals, and risk management preferences of their clients. A geotechnical-engineering study conducted for a given civil engineer will <u>not</u> likely meet the needs of a civil-works constructor or even a different civil engineer. Because each geotechnical-engineering study is unique, each geotechnical-engineering report is unique, prepared *solely* for the client.

Likewise, geotechnical-engineering services are performed for a specific project and purpose. For example, it is unlikely that a geotechnical-engineering study for a refrigerated warehouse will be the same as one prepared for a parking garage; and a few borings drilled during a preliminary study to evaluate site feasibility will <u>not</u> be adequate to develop geotechnical design recommendations for the project.

Do <u>not</u> rely on this report if your geotechnical engineer prepared it:

- for a different client;
- for a different project or purpose;
- for a different site (that may or may not include all or a portion of the original site); or
- before important events occurred at the site or adjacent to it;
 e.g., man-made events like construction or environmental remediation, or natural events like floods, droughts, earthquakes, or groundwater fluctuations.

Note, too, the reliability of a geotechnical-engineering report can be affected by the passage of time, because of factors like changed subsurface conditions; new or modified codes, standards, or regulations; or new techniques or tools. *If you are the least bit uncertain* about the continued reliability of this report, contact your geotechnical engineer before applying the recommendations in it. A minor amount of additional testing or analysis after the passage of time – if any is required at all – could prevent major problems.

Read this Report in Full

Costly problems have occurred because those relying on a geotechnical-engineering report did not read the report in its entirety. Do <u>not</u> rely on an executive summary. Do <u>not</u> read selective elements only. *Read and refer to the report in full.*

You Need to Inform Your Geotechnical Engineer About Change

Your geotechnical engineer considered unique, project-specific factors when developing the scope of study behind this report and developing the confirmation-dependent recommendations the report conveys. Typical changes that could erode the reliability of this report include those that affect:

- · the site's size or shape;
- the elevation, configuration, location, orientation, function or weight of the proposed structure and the desired performance criteria;
- · the composition of the design team; or
- · project ownership.

As a general rule, *always* inform your geotechnical engineer of project or site changes – even minor ones – and request an assessment of their impact. *The geotechnical engineer who prepared this report cannot accept*

responsibility or liability for problems that arise because the geotechnical engineer was not informed about developments the engineer otherwise would have considered.

Most of the "Findings" Related in This Report Are Professional Opinions

Before construction begins, geotechnical engineers explore a site's subsurface using various sampling and testing procedures. *Geotechnical engineers can observe actual subsurface conditions only at those specific locations where sampling and testing is performed.* The data derived from that sampling and testing were reviewed by your geotechnical engineer, who then applied professional judgement to form opinions about subsurface conditions throughout the site. Actual sitewide-subsurface conditions may differ – maybe significantly – from those indicated in this report. Confront that risk by retaining your geotechnical engineer to serve on the design team through project completion to obtain informed guidance quickly, whenever needed.

This Report's Recommendations Are Confirmation-Dependent

The recommendations included in this report – including any options or alternatives – are confirmation-dependent. In other words, they are <u>not</u> final, because the geotechnical engineer who developed them relied heavily on judgement and opinion to do so. Your geotechnical engineer can finalize the recommendations *only after observing actual subsurface conditions* exposed during construction. If through observation your geotechnical engineer confirms that the conditions assumed to exist actually do exist, the recommendations can be relied upon, assuming no other changes have occurred. *The geotechnical engineer who prepared this report cannot assume responsibility or liability for confirmation-dependent recommendations if you fail to retain that engineer to perform construction observation.*

This Report Could Be Misinterpreted

Other design professionals' misinterpretation of geotechnicalengineering reports has resulted in costly problems. Confront that risk by having your geotechnical engineer serve as a continuing member of the design team, to:

- · confer with other design-team members;
- help develop specifications;
- review pertinent elements of other design professionals' plans and specifications; and
- be available whenever geotechnical-engineering guidance is needed.

You should also confront the risk of constructors misinterpreting this report. Do so by retaining your geotechnical engineer to participate in prebid and preconstruction conferences and to perform construction-phase observations.

Give Constructors a Complete Report and Guidance

Some owners and design professionals mistakenly believe they can shift unanticipated-subsurface-conditions liability to constructors by limiting the information they provide for bid preparation. To help prevent the costly, contentious problems this practice has caused, include the complete geotechnical-engineering report, along with any attachments or appendices, with your contract documents, *but be certain to note*

conspicuously that you've included the material for information purposes only. To avoid misunderstanding, you may also want to note that "informational purposes" means constructors have no right to rely on the interpretations, opinions, conclusions, or recommendations in the report. Be certain that constructors know they may learn about specific project requirements, including options selected from the report, only from the design drawings and specifications. Remind constructors that they may perform their own studies if they want to, and be sure to allow enough time to permit them to do so. Only then might you be in a position to give constructors the information available to you, while requiring them to at least share some of the financial responsibilities stemming from unanticipated conditions. Conducting prebid and preconstruction conferences can also be valuable in this respect.

Read Responsibility Provisions Closely

Some client representatives, design professionals, and constructors do not realize that geotechnical engineering is far less exact than other engineering disciplines. This happens in part because soil and rock on project sites are typically heterogeneous and not manufactured materials with well-defined engineering properties like steel and concrete. That lack of understanding has nurtured unrealistic expectations that have resulted in disappointments, delays, cost overruns, claims, and disputes. To confront that risk, geotechnical engineers commonly include explanatory provisions in their reports. Sometimes labeled "limitations," many of these provisions indicate where geotechnical engineers' responsibilities begin and end, to help others recognize their own responsibilities and risks. *Read these provisions closely*. Ask questions. Your geotechnical engineer should respond fully and frankly.

Geoenvironmental Concerns Are Not Covered

The personnel, equipment, and techniques used to perform an environmental study – e.g., a "phase-one" or "phase-two" environmental site assessment – differ significantly from those used to perform a geotechnical-engineering study. For that reason, a geotechnical-engineering report does not usually provide environmental findings, conclusions, or recommendations; e.g., about the likelihood of encountering underground storage tanks or regulated contaminants. *Unanticipated subsurface environmental problems have led to project failures*. If you have not obtained your own environmental information about the project site, ask your geotechnical consultant for a recommendation on how to find environmental risk-management guidance.

Obtain Professional Assistance to Deal with Moisture Infiltration and Mold

While your geotechnical engineer may have addressed groundwater, water infiltration, or similar issues in this report, the engineer's services were not designed, conducted, or intended to prevent migration of moisture – including water vapor – from the soil through building slabs and walls and into the building interior, where it can cause mold growth and material-performance deficiencies. Accordingly, proper implementation of the geotechnical engineer's recommendations will not of itself be sufficient to prevent moisture infiltration. Confront the risk of moisture infiltration by including building-envelope or mold specialists on the design team. Geotechnical engineers are not building-envelope or mold specialists.



Telephone: 301/565-2733

e-mail: info@geoprofessional.org www.geoprofessional.org

Copyright 2019 by Geoprofessional Business Association (GBA). Duplication, reproduction, or copying of this document, in whole or in part, by any means whatsoever, is strictly prohibited, except with GBA's specific written permission. Excerpting, quoting, or otherwise extracting wording from this document is permitted only with the express written permission of GBA, and only for purposes of scholarly research or book review. Only members of GBA may use this document or its wording as a complement to or as an element of a report of any kind. Any other firm, individual, or other entity that so uses this document without being a GBA member could be committing negligent or intentional (fraudulent) misrepresentation.