



October 6, 2022

The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

1789 S. Bagdad Road, Suite 104

Leander, Texas 78641

Attn.: Mr. Mike Siefert, P.E.

Re: Geotechnical Investigation
Pavement Recommendations - Addendum
George's Ranch
Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job No.: 21201100.010

Dear Mr. Siefert,

As requested by Mr. Bo Wiseheart, E.I.T. of Cude Engineers, we have reviewed the borings for the original report for this project with respect to determining concrete pavement thickness recommendations. Pavement thickness sections were developed using the computerized pavement analysis software program called "*Municipal Rigid Pavement Design System*" (MRPS).

RECOMMENDATIONS - PAVEMENT THICKNESS SECTIONS

Concrete paving shall consist of thickness as given in Table 1. Concrete pavement shall meet the requirements of Section 404.2800 of the Kendall County's "Development Rules and Regulations" ⁽¹⁾. The concrete should develop a minimum 28-day flexural strength of 500 psi with 4 to 6 percent entrained air.

Contraction, control, and expansion joint details should be determined in accordance with guidelines published by the American Concrete Institute, the Portland Cement Association ⁽²⁾, or accepted local practice that has been proven to work satisfactorily in similar circumstances. Contraction joint spacing should not exceed 20 feet on center without engineering consultation.

Full depth, full width isolation joints with bituminous fiber or preformed joint filler should be installed at all rigid structure interfaces.

TABLE 1 : Recommended Rigid Pavement Section Thickness, Inches

Street Classification	Subgrade Material	JRPCC, inches	CLB, inches
Residential Collector (1000 ADT)	Subgrade PI < 20	6	-
	Subgrade PI > 20	6	-

Notes:

- Abbreviations: CLB - Crushed Limestone Base, JRPCC - Jointed, Reinforced Portland Cement Concrete
- Inadequate drainage of the pavement system will accelerate pavement distress and result in increased maintenance costs. Adequate drainage should be provided for the pavement system. Adequate drainage consists of a curb and gutter or a shoulder and bar ditch system. The final pavement cross section and drainage should be reviewed by the geotechnical engineer.
- These pavement thickness designs are intended to transfer the load from the anticipated traffic conditions. Deep seated soil swelling or settlement of fill materials may cause long wave surface roughness.
- The recommendations above are intended to reduce maintenance costs and increase the serviceable lifespan of the pavement system.

All other recommendations as contained in our original report dated July 2021 shall apply.

REFERENCES

1. Kendall County's "Development Rules and Regulations", Latest Adopted Revision.
2. Design and Construction of Joints for Concrete Streets, Portland Cement Association, Arlington Heights, Illinois, 1992.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact our office.

Sincerely,

MLA Geotechnical TBPE FIRM # F-2684

Geotechnical Engineering and Construction Materials Testing
"put us to the test"



Timothy R. Weston, P.E.
President

10/6/22

Enclosures: Appendix D - MRPS



APPENDIX D

MRPS COMPUTER OUTPUT

MM	MM	RRRRRRRR	PPPPPPPP	SSSSS	11
MMM	MMM	RRRRRRRRR	PPPPPPPPP	SSSSSSS	111
MMMM	MMMM	RR	RR	PP	PP
SS	SS	1111			
MMMMMMMMMM	RR	RR	PP	PP	SS
					11
MM	MM	MM	RRRRRRRRR	PPPPPPPPP	SSSSSS
					11
MM	M	MM	RRRRRRRRR	PPPPPPPPP	SSSSSS
					11
MM	MM	RR	RR	PP	SS
					11
MM	MM	RR	RR	PP	SS
					11
MM	MM	RR	RR	PP	SSSSSSS
					111111
MM	MM	RR	RR	PP	SSSSS
					111111

MUNICIPAL RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM
VERSION 1.0, SEPTEMBER 1983

NOTICE --

THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM REPRESENTS AN ADAPTATION OF THE ORIGINAL TEXAS STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM (RPS-3) FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSIDERATION OF LIFE-CYCLE COSTS OF MUNICIPAL STREETS AND THOROUGHFARES IN AUSTIN, TEXAS. THIS PROGRAM WAS DEVELOPED BY ARE, INC (512/327-3520) FOR SOLE USE BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN. BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MRPS-1 PROGRAM AND CERTAIN BUILT-IN REGIONAL FACTORS, USE BY ANY OTHER CITY OR AGENCY REQUIRES A THOROUGH UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROGRAM OPERATION AND ITS INHERENT ASSUMPTIONS.

CAUTION IS RECOMMENDED IN APPLYING THIS FIRST VERSION OF THE MUNICIPAL RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM. THE USER SHOULD ACCEPT ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THE INPUTS AND THE VALIDITY OF THE RESULTS.

MRPS-1 MUNICIPAL RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
 ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT RPS-3 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
 BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
 21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Collector (1000 vpd)

***** NEW PAVEMENT *****

TOTAL NUMBER OF LANES IN THE FACILITY	2
TOTAL NUMBER OF CONCRETE CURBS	2
NUMBER OF SUBBASE TYPES	1
PROJECT LENGTH (MILES)30
LANE WIDTH (FEET)	13.50
CURB HEIGHT (INCHES)	6.00
CONCRETE CURB CONSTRUCTION COST (\$/LF)	2.00

***** CONCRETE SLAB *****

MINIMUM SLAB THICKNESS (INCHES)	6.00
MAXIMUM SLAB THICKNESS (INCHES)	12.00
SLAB THICKNESS INCREMENT (INCHES)50
CONCRETE PLACEMENT COST (\$/CY)	98.00
ADDITIONAL CONCRETE PAVEMENT COST (\$/SY)00
CONCRETE SALVAGE VALUE (PERCENT)	30.00
CONCRETE FLEXURAL STRENGTH (PSI)	500.0
CONCRETE TENSILE STRENGTH (PSI)	379.0
CONCRETE ELASTIC MODULUS (PSI)	3220000.

MRPS-1 MUNICIPAL RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
 ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT RPS-3 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
 BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
 21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Collector (1000 vpd)

***** SUBGRADE *****

SWELLING PROBABILITY.	1.00
SWELLING RATE CONSTANT.12
POTENTIAL VERTICAL RISE (INCHES).	2.50
SUBGRADE EXCAVATION COST (\$/CY)	7.50
ADDITIONAL SUBGRADE COST (\$/SY)00
SUBGRADE ERODABILITY FACTOR	3.00
FRICITION FACTOR BETWEEN SLAB AND SUBGRADE90
SUBGRADE K-VALUE (PCI).	100.0

***** ASPHALT CONCRETE OVERLAY *****

MINIMUM AC OVERLAY THICKNESS (INCHES)	1.50
MAXIMUM TOTAL AC OVERLAY THICKNESS (INCHES)	3.00
AVERAGE AC OVERLAY LEVEL-UP THICKNESS (INCHES).50
AC OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION COST (\$/CY)	55.00
ADDITIONAL OVERLAY COST (\$/SY).00
AC OVERLAY SALVAGE VALUE (PERCENT).	30.0
TAPERING COST FOR FIRST OVERLAY (\$/LF).00
EDGE MILLING COST (\$/LF).00
AC OVERLAY ELASTIC MODULUS (PSI).	40000.
AC PRODUCTION RATE (CY/HOUR).	40.0

***** DESIGN CONSTRAINTS *****

CONFIDENCE LEVEL (PERCENT).	90.00
ANALYSIS PERIOD (YEARS)	20.0
MINIMUM TIME TO FIRST OVERLAY (YEARS)	20.0
MINIMUM TIME BETWEEN OVERLAYS (YEARS)	5.0
MAXIMUM THICKNESS OF SLAB AND SUBBASE (INCHES).	30.00
MAX. FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR INITIAL CONST. (\$/SY).	50.00
DISCOUNT RATE (%)	5.00

***** PERFORMANCE *****

SERVICABILITY AFTER INITIAL CONSTRUCTION.	4.20
TERMINAL SERVICABILITY.	1.50
SERVICABILITY AFTER AC OVERLAY.	4.00

MRPS-1 MUNICIPAL RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
 ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT RPS-3 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
 BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
 21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Collector (1000 vpd)

***** MAINTENANCE *****

COMPOSITE LABOR WAGE (\$/HOUR)	9.00
COMPOSITE EQUIPMENT RENTAL RATE (\$/HOUR).	6.00
COST OF MATERIALS (\$/UNIT OPERATION).	4.00

***** TRAFFIC *****

AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC GROWTH RATE (% / YEAR). . .	3.50
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION FACTOR (%)	50.00
LANE DISTRIBUTION FACTOR (%)	100.00
PERCENT TRUCKS IN INITIAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC .	2.90
18-KIP EQUIVALENCY FACTOR FOR AVERAGE CITY TRUCK.	.530
INITIAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES/DAY). . .	1000.

***** TRAFFIC DELAY *****

DETOUR MODEL NUMBER	3
NUMBER OF OPEN LANES THROUGH RESTRICTED ZONE:	
IN OVERLAY DIRECTION	1
IN NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION	2
AVERAGE APPROACH SPEED TO OVERLAY ZONE (MPH). . .	40.
AVERAGE SPEED THROUGH RESTRICTED ZONE:	
IN OVERLAY DIRECTION	15.
IN NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION	40.
DISTANCE TRAFFIC IS SLOWED (MILES):	
OVERLAY DIRECTION.	1.00
NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION.00
DETOUR DISTANCE AROUND OVERLAY ZONE (MILES)00
NO. OF HOURS PER DAY OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION OCCURS.	7.00
BEGINNING TIME OF OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION.	800.
ENDING TIME OF OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION	1600.

MRPS-1 MUNICIPAL RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT RPS-3 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Collector (1000 vpd)

```
*****  
*                                     *  
*      OUT OF ALL OVERLAY STRATEGIES      *  
*              THAT WERE TRIED              *  
*      NO OVERLAY STRATEGY              *  
*              MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS        *  
*                                     *  
*      PROGRAM PARTIALLY CONTINUED          *  
*****
```

MRPS-1 MUNICIPAL RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
 ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT RPS-3 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
 BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
 21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Collector (1000 vpd)

SUMMARY OF DESIGNS IN INCREASING ORDER OF TOTAL COST

DESIGN NUMBER	1

PAVEMENT TYPE	JCP
SUBBASE TYPE	1

SLAB THICKNESS	6.00
SUBBASE THICKNESS	.00
INITIAL LIFE	27.94
TOTAL PERFORMANCE LIFE	27.94
SPACING TRANS. JOINTS	40.00
SPACING LONG. JOINTS	13.50

COST OF SUBG. PREPARATION	1.250
COST OF CONCRETE	16.333
COST OF CURB AND GUTTER	1.333
COST OF SUBBASE	.000
COST OF JOINTS	.000
INITIAL CONST. COST	18.917
COST OF EDGE TAPERING	.000
COST OF EDGE MILLING	.000
OVERLAY CONST. COST	.000
TRAFFIC DELAY COST	.000
MAINTENANCE COST	6.682
SALVAGE RETURNS	-1.847

TOTAL COST PER SQ YARD	23.752

MRPS-1 MUNICIPAL RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT RPS-3 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Collector (1000 vpd)

INITIAL DESIGN ANALYSIS

OUT OF A TOTAL OF 13 INITIAL POSSIBLE DESIGNS,
0 WERE REJECTED DUE TO MAX. INITIAL THICKNESS

RESTRAINT

OUT OF 13 DESIGNS THUS LEFT
12 DESIGNS WERE REJECTED SINCE THEY ARE OVERDESIGNS OF
INITIAL DESIGNS WHICH LAST THE ANALYSIS PERIOD

OUT OF 1 DESIGNS THUS LEFT,
0 DESIGNS WERE REJECTED DUE TO THEIR LIVES BEING LESS
THAN THE MINIMUM ALLOWABLE TIME TO THE FIRST OVERLAY

OUT OF 1 DESIGNS THUS LEFT,
0 DESIGNS WERE REJECTED DUE TO THE RESTRAINT OF

MAXIMUM

INITIAL FUNDS AVAILABLE

OUT OF 1 DESIGNS THUS LEFT,
1 DESIGNS WERE ACCEPTABLE INITIAL DESIGNS WITH LIVES
MORE THAN THE ANALYSIS PERIOD

AND THUS 0 DESIGNS WERE PASSED TO THE OVERLAY SUBSYSTEM

TO

FORMULATE THE POSSIBLE OVERLAY STRATEGIES