MLA Geotechnical "put us to the test"

A Division of MLA Labs, Inc.

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
PAVEMENT THICKNESS
RECOMMENDATIONS

George's Ranch
Boerne, Texas

Report For:

The Lookout Development Group, Inc.
1789 S. Bagdad Road, Suite 104
Leander, Texas 78641

July 2021

Engineer's Job # 21201100.010

MLA Geotechnical TBPE FIRM # F-2684
Geotechnical Engineering and Construction Materials Testing
"put us to the test"

Christopher P. Elliott
Vice President

Timothy R. Weston, P.E.
President

Matthew J. Rodriguez, P.E.
Senior Engineer 7/29/21

STATE OF TEXAS
MATTHEW J. RODRIGUEZ
128160
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

2800 Longhorn Boulevard, Suite 104 • Austin, Texas 78758 • 512/873-8899 • FAX 512/651-8486
TBPE# F-2684 Dallas/Fort Worth Austin San Antonio Houston Bryan/College Station Killeen

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
PAVEMENT THICKNESS RECOMMENDATIONS

George's Ranch
Boerne, Texas

BACKGROUND

The purpose of this investigation was to determine subsurface conditions relative to the establishment and design of pavement thickness sections for *George's Ranch* located in Boerne, Texas. Authorization to perform this exploration and analysis was by Agreement for Engineering Services signed by Mr. Mike Siefert of The Lookout Development Group, Inc. on June 24, 2021.

More specifically, the purposes of this investigation were to determine the soil profile, the engineering characteristics of the foundation soil and to provide criteria for use by the design engineers in preparing the pavement thickness designs for the subdivision streets. The scope included a review of geologic literature, a reconnaissance of the immediate site, the subsurface exploration, field and laboratory testing, and an engineering analysis and evaluation of the foundation materials.

Index and engineering properties of the different soil types encountered on this project were determined and used as a basis for assigning parameters for pavement thickness. Pavement thicknesses were then designed using the computerized procedure adopted by the City of Austin, March 24, 1988, "Municipal Pavement Structural Design and Life Cycle Cost Analysis System, MFPS [(1)]." Input data and assumptions as well as results are listed in later sections of this report. Output from the computer analysis is enclosed in ***Appendix C***.

The exploration and analysis of the subsurface conditions reported herein is considered in sufficient detail and scope to form a reasonable basis for the preliminary pavement thickness designs. The recommendations submitted are based on the available soil information and the assumed preliminary design for the proposed streets. Any revision in the plans for the proposed

street system from those stated in this report should be brought to the attention of the geotechnical engineer so that he may determine if changes in the recommendations are required.

MLA Geotechnical should be retained to monitor site work and construction so that these preliminary recommendations may be finalized, and so that deviations from expected conditions can be properly evaluated.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the client and their design professionals for specific application to the proposed project in accordance with generally accepted soils and pavement engineering practice. This report is not intended to be used as a specification or construction contract document, but as a guide and information source to those qualified professionals who prepare such documents.

FIELD AND LABORATORY INVESTIGATION

Sixty-eight test pits were excavated to various depths spaced at locations as shown on the enclosed Logs of Test Pits and Plan of Test Pits using a backhoe. Water was not introduced into the test pits. The field investigation included completing the soil test pits, performing field tests, and recovering samples. Representative soil samples were selected for laboratory index tests including Atterberg Limits and moisture content tests. The results of these tests and stratigraphy are presented on the Logs of Test Pits found in ***Appendix A***. A key to the Soil Classification and symbols is located behind the last Log of Test Pits. See ***Appendix B*** for details of field and laboratory procedures, as applicable.

SITE TOPOGRAPHY, DRAINAGE AND VEGETATION

The site is situated on variably sloping topography with natural slopes ranging from approximately 1 to 16 percent. The vegetation at this site consists native grasses and mature trees. Regionally this site drains towards the center of the site and to the south.

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS AND LOCAL GEOLOGY

Soil Profiles

The soil profile revealed in the test pits generally consists of an upper layer of dark brown moderate to high plasticity clay (CL-CH to CH) that is underlain by tan to brown low plasticity clay (CL). Further underlying these clay layers is severely weathered and intact limestone.

Geology

Geologic maps indicate the Upper Glen Rose Formation, *Kgru*, and the Lower Glen Rose Formation, *Kgrl*, beneath the subject site [2,3]. A description of each geology follows.

The Upper Glen Rose Formation, *Kgru,* and Lower Glen Rose Formation, *Kgrl*, are the youngest formation of the Trinity Group from Lower Cretaceous Period and its outcrop forms a narrow prairie in the Austin area from Mt. Bonnell northwest to Burnet. Its outcrop is characterized by steep canyons and terraced or "staircase" topography on hillsides.

The Glen Rose is predominantly a limestone formation, typically consisting of thin to massively bedded, hard limestone strata alternating with clay, argillaceous limestone, and thin sandstone strata. The formation was deposited under neritic or near shore conditions and the various strata represent different depositional environments such as mud flats, lagoons, beaches, and shallow water reefs. The alternating hard and soft layers cause the characteristic staircase topography of the Glen Rose.

The hard, massive limestone can be generally characterized as offering excellent foundation support for the proposed structures, but will present difficulty as far as excavation for utility lines and other site work. The softer argillaceous limestones again offer good foundation support and for the most part can be excavated with less difficulty.

Faults

Published geology maps do not indicate the presence of a fault on the project site and faulted conditions were not noted in the test pits.

Ground Water

Ground water was noted in Test Pits TP-35, TP-38, TP-46, and TP-57 during this investigation. Ground water is a transient problem and may be encountered at other locations and in varying quantities depending on antecedent rainfall conditions and changes in land use.

MFPS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Pavement thickness sections were developed using the computerized pavement analysis software called "*Municipal Pavement Structural Design and Life Cycle Cost Analysis*" also known as MFPS [(1)]. This program accepts a number of input variables and predicts the performance of the pavement section including the number and type of overlays required for the specified pavement design life. The different sections are ranked on total cost, overlay cost, user cost, routine maintenance cost, and salvage value.

In the absence of project specific data, the Kendall County guidelines for civil design information and traffic data were used. An estimate of anticipated traffic usage was made from the street classification inferred from the subdivision plat. Minimum layer thicknesses were taken from the Kendall County's *Development Rules and Regulations* [(4)]. Pavement layer properties and costs used are shown in ***Appendix C*** in the program output.

Flexible pavement thickness sections developed from MFPS were crosschecked with recognized minimum pavement thickness analysis to ensure that an adequate ultimate load carrying capacity was provided. The MFPS results are included in ***Appendix C***.

We assume that the pavements will be built at or near the existing grade and that the typical road cut will be on the order of 0 feet to 2 feet. Pavement options for the expected subgrade conditions are presented in the following table. Final pavement sections should be evaluated in the field by the Geotechnical Engineer.

Total Equivalent 18K Axle Loads

Street Classification	Design ESALs
Local Street	20,000
Residential Collector	80,000

RECOMMENDATIONS - PAVEMENT THICKNESS SECTIONS

The recommendations below constitute a pavement design intended to address the subsurface and traffic conditions for each street classification. This information is intended to be incorporated into a set of civil engineering plans such that the pavement cross sections (including curb and gutter details) and street classifications specific to each street (which are unknown at this time) can be appropriately addressed.

Street Classification	Subgrade Material	Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete, in	Two Course Surface Treatment	Crushed Limestone Base, in
Local Street (500 ADT)	Subgrade PI < 20	1.5	-	8
		-	X	13
	Subgrade PI > 20	1.5	-	12
		-	X	18
Residential Collector (1000 ADT)	Subgrade PI < 20	2.0	-	12
		-	X	19
	Subgrade PI > 20	2.0	-	18
		-	X	25

Notes:

1. Based on the "U.S. 7.5 Minute Series Topographic Map" in ***Appendix A***, a gravel pit is located in the vicinity of Test Pit TP-54. This area should be thoroughly investigated with additional borings or test pits in order to determine the depth, extent, and contents of the gravel pit prior to pavement or foundation construction.
2. The subgrade improvement should be extended 12 inches beyond the back of the curb line.
3. These pavement thickness designs are intended to transfer the load from the anticipated traffic conditions.
4. The responsibility of assigning street classification to the streets in this project is left to the civil engineer.
5. If pavement designs other than those listed above are desired, please contact MLA Geotechnical.

CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

Ground Water

Should ground water become a problem during excavation, or if surface water accumulates during a rainy period, saturated soil should be dried out and/or removed and replaced with crushed limestone base.

Pavement

1. Subgrade and Foundation Soil Preparation
    a. Strip and remove from construction area any top soil, organics and vegetation to a minimum depth of 6 inches below the existing natural ground surface.
    b. Fill sections may be composed of low PI (PI < 20) on-site material excluding top soil, vegetation, and organics. Fills should be compacted in lifts not exceeding 8 inches after compaction and meet Section 402.110 of the Kendall County's "Development Rules and Regulations."
    c. Compaction of cut areas, on-grade areas, and fill sections should be to 95 percent of TxDOT TEX-114-E. Compaction should be performed with the moisture content of the soil adjusted to within 3 percent of optimum for soils with a PI less than 20. If exposed limestone is suspected the geotechnical engineer should be notified to provide a field confirmation.
    d. Proof-roll the subgrade as per Kendall County's current "Development Rules and Regulations" Item No. 403.110 prior to placement of the first course of flexible base.
2. Base Course
    a. Base material shall meet the specifications outlined in Sections 403.140 and 403.160 of the Kendall County's "Development Rules and Regulations".
    b. Thickness of the base course should be as shown on the enclosed ***Recommendations - Pavement Thickness Sections***.

c. Base course compaction shall be 100 percent of TxDOT TEX-113-E using 13.26 ft. lbs./cu.in. compaction effort. The moisture content during compaction shall be maintained within 3 percent of optimum moisture content. Density control by means of field density determination shall be exercised.

d. After compaction, testing, and curing of the base material, the surface shall be primed using an Asphalt Emulsified Petroleum (AE-P) primer or other acceptable priming material as per Kendall County's "Development Rules and Regulations".

3. Surface Course Options

a. The recommended surfacing option consists of hot-mix asphalt. This surfacing shall consist of a hot-mix asphaltic concrete (HMAC) meeting the requirement of Item 404 of the current Kendall County's "Development Rules and Regulations". Thickness should be as shown on the included ***Recommendations - Pavement Thickness Sections***.

b. The Two Course Surface Treatment shall conform to Kendall County's "Development Rules and Regulations," Section 404.110.

4. General Conditions

a. Should at any stage in the construction of the street pavements a non-stable or weaving condition of the subgrade or base course be noted under loads of construction equipment, such areas should be delineated and the Geotechnical Engineer consulted for remedial action before completing the pavement section.

b. Seepage areas or unusual subgrade soil conditions should be similarly brought to the Geotechnical Engineer's attention before proceeding with pavement completion.

c. Where pavements are trenched for utilities, a thickness of compacted flexible sub-base should be placed below the new crushed stone base. The sub-base should be meet the specifications outlined by TxDOT Item 247. This sub-base

should be compacted in 8-inch lifts to 95 percent of TEX-113-E and be a minimum of 18 inches thick or twice the design base thickness (if greater).

d. Trenches beneath structures should be strategically backfilled with borrow or suitable material excavated from the trench and free of stone or rock over 8 inches in diameter. The backfill should be compacted to 95 percent of the maximum dry density when determined by TxDOT test method Tex-114-E. The moisture content should be within 2 percent of the optimum moisture content at the time of compaction. If stormwater trenches are backfilled with freely draining materials such as crushed stone, pea gravel or sand, the trench must be sloped a minimum of 0.5 percent to provide positive drainage to daylight.

e. If ground water or seepage is encountered at the time of construction, French drains may be required to drain or intercept the flow of water from the subsurface pavement materials. These drains should be sloped a minimum of 0.5 percent to provide positive drainage to daylight. French drains should be constructed in general accordance with ASTM D 2321 "Standard Practice for Underground Installation of Thermoplastic Pipe of Sewer and Other Gravity Flow Applications [(5)]." The French drain design should be reviewed by the geotechnical engineer prior to installation.

f. All pavements should be constructed with a curb and gutter system on all sides.

QUALITY ASSURANCE CONSIDERATIONS

Type of Work	Item	Sample Frequency	Sample Size	Minimum Testing
General Earthwork and Fill Material	Soil	1 per Soil Type	110 lbs.	♦ Sieve ♦ P.I. ♦ Moisture Density Relationship
Base Course	Compaction	1 per 5000 ft^2 per lift (min. of 3 per lift)	300 lbs.	♦ Field Density Test ♦ Proof rolling w/25 ton pneumatic roller
Subgrade	Compaction	----------		
Concrete or HMAC	Mix Design	1 per concrete class		♦ Review & approval with confirmatory cylinders/cores ♦ Plant & materials approval, testing, if questionable
	Aggregates (coarse & fine)	1 per 500 cu. Yd. Min. 1 per job	30 lbs.	Sieve, organic impurities, specific gravity
HMAC Surface Course	HMAC	1 per 2000 square yard single pass. Minimum 3 each days laydown		♦ 3 cores for density ♦ Extraction/gradation tests ♦ Stability tests ♦ Thickness ♦ Temperature

REFERENCES

1. "Municipal Pavement Structural Design and Life Cycle Cost Analysis", City of Austin, Austin, Texas, December 1992.

2. Local geologic maps published by The Bureau of Economic Geology. Austin, Texas including:
"Geologic Atlas of Texas" 15-minute quadrangles. March 9, 2004 geospatial data.
"Geologic Map of the Austin Area, Texas 1992" Geology of Austin Area Plate VII.
"Geologic Map of the West Half of Taylor Texas, 30 x 60 min quad. 2005. misc. map 43
"Geologic Map of the New Braunfels, Texas 30 x 60 min quad" 2000. misc. map 39

3. "The Geology of Texas, Volume I, Stratigraphy", The University of Texas Bulletin No. 3232: August 22, 1932, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas, 1981.

4. Kendall County's "Development Rules and Regulations", Latest Adopted Revision.

5. "ASTM D-2321-89 Standard Practice for Underground Installation of Thermoplastic Pipe Sewers and Other Gravity Flow Applications", ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, USA 19428-2959.

LIMITATION OF REPORT

Conditions of the site at locations other than the test pit locations are not expressed or implied, and conditions may be different at different times from the time of this investigation. Contractors or others desiring more complete information are advised to secure their own supplemental test pits. The analysis and recommendations contained herein are based on the available data as shown in this report and the writer's professional expertise, experience and training, and no other warranty is expressed or implied concerning the satisfactory use of these recommendations or data.

APPENDIX A

GEOTECHNICAL DATA



Approximate location of site in yellow
Kendall County Parcels (2019) in black

NAPP Aerial Photograph of Site – 1995

Source: TEXAS NATURAL RESOURCES INFORMATION SYSTEM
3.75-minute DOQQ. 1-meter ground resolution. apx. date 1995-6
(http://www.tnris.state.tx.us/digital.htm)



Approximate location of site in yellow
Kendall County Parcels (2019) in black

Aerial Photograph of Site – 2018

Source: TEXAS NATURAL RESOURCES INFORMATION SYSTEM
Apx. Date - 2018
(www.tnris.org)



Approximate location of site in blue

U.S. 7.5 Minute Series Topographic Map
Boerne Quadrangle, Texas
Contour Interval = 20 feet

Source: TEXAS NATURAL RESOURCES INFORMATION SYSTEM
(http://www.tnris.state.tx.us/digital.htm)



Approximate location of site in yellow

Geologic Setting of Site
Geologic Map of the New Braunfels, Texas, 30 x 60 Minute Quadrangle (2000)

Source: Bureau of Economic Geology, The University of Texas at Austin. Misc. Map 39

SCALE = N.T.S.

PLAN OF TEST PITS

George's Ranch
Boerne, Texas
Job. No.: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

LEGEND

TP-#	Test Pit Number
⌖	Approx. Test Pit Location

VICINITY MAP



SCALE = N.T.S.

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-1
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH		45
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL		18
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru		
		Termination Depth: 3.4 feet			



21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-2

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.9 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-3
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 7.0 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-4

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			40
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 2.3 feet				

0 20 40 60 80 100

5 10 15 20 25 30

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-5

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 2.0 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-6
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 17, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5			Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 7.0 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-7

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 17, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.8 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-8

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 17, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 2.8 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-9
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 17, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CL-CH		32
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL		20
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry — Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.7 feet			



21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-10

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
5		Termination Depth: 2.9 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-11

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.2 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-12

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL — LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 0.9 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-13

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			43
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			16
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 4.0 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-14
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.2 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-15

PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		...tan below 1.8'				
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.6 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-16
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:



21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-17
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH		46
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL-CH		29
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru		
		Termination Depth: 4.6 feet			
10					
15					
20					
25					
30					



21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-18

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry Kgru				
		Termination Depth: 0.7 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-19

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 4.9 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-20

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 17, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH		40
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL-CH		32
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru		
		Termination Depth: 4.1 feet			
10					
15					
20					
25					
30					



MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-21

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 17, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL — LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 0.5 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-22

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 17, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 1.3 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-23

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5			Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 7.0 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-24

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			43
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			13
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 4.5 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-25
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 4.3 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-26

PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 1.3 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-27

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 1.9 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-28

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 0.8 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-29

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			39
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 2.1 feet				

0 20 40 60 80 100

5 10 15 20 25 30

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-30

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 6.1 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-31

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry Kgru				
		Termination Depth: 5.9 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-32

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.1 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-33

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			46
5			Kgru			50
		Termination Depth: 7.0 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-34

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry Kgru				
		Termination Depth: 0.7 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-35

PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: 6.4 ft
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:



21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-36

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 4.1 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-37

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			39
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 2.2 feet				

0 20 40 60 80 100

5 10 15 20 25 30

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-38

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: 6.0 ft
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 6.8 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-39

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 4.7 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-40

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 5.7 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-41

PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 14, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			23
		...tan below 1.1'				10
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.2 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-42

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 6.1 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-43

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry Kgru				
		Termination Depth: 0.9 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-44

PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CL-CH			28
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			22
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 4.6 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-45

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 6.7 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-46

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: 6.9 ft
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5						
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 6.9 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-47

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 2.8 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-48

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL-CH			24
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry Kgru				
		Termination Depth: 1.1 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-49

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 2.0 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-50

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
5		Termination Depth: 3.2 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-51

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL — LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 0.6 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-52

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH		45
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL		11
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry — Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 3.9 feet			
10					
15					
20					
25					
30					



MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-53

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 2.7 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-54

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL — LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 0.3 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-55

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5			Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 7.0 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-56
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 2.2 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-57
PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: 3.5 ft
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 5.2 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-58

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH		46
5		...tan and brown below 4.9'	Kgrl		37
		Termination Depth: 7.0 feet			
10					
15					
20					
25					
30					

-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲
● Moisture Content, %
PL — LL
0 20 40 60 80 100

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-59

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 4.8 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-60

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
5		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 6.7 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-61

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgru			
		Termination Depth: 2.6 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-62

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH		57
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL		16
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl		
		Termination Depth: 6.6 feet			
10					
15					
20					
25					
30					



MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-63

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
5			Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 7.0 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-64

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
5		LIMESTONE, pale brown, severely weathered, dry				
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 7.0 feet				
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-65

PAGE 1 OF 1

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL — LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		...tan below 1.6'				
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 3.3 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-66

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 15, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um / -#200 / -#4 / Moisture Content, % / PL / LL	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			44
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 2.2 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

0 20 40 60 80 100

21201100.010 - GEORGE'S RANCH - LOGS.GPJ 7/30/21

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-67

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL (0 20 40 60 80 100)	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 2.1 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

MLA Geotechnical

"put us to the test"

LOG OF TEST PIT

Test Pit TP-68

Job Name: George's Ranch
Job Location: Boerne, Texas
Engineer's Job #: 21201100.010
Client: The Lookout Development Group, Inc.

Excavation Date: July 16, 2021 **Ground Elevation:** n/a

Ground Water Levels:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION: ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION: ---
AFTER EXCAVATION: ---

Notes:

DEPTH, ft.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY U.S.C.S.	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	-2um ★ -#200 □ -#4 ▲ ● Moisture Content, % PL LL 0 20 40 60 80 100	Plasticity Index, %
0		CLAY, dark brown, with limestone fragments, damp	CH			
		CLAY, tan, with limestone fragments, damp	CL			
		LIMESTONE, pale brown, medium to hard, dry	Kgrl			
		Termination Depth: 2.9 feet				
5						
10						
15						
20						
25						
30						

SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART

MAJOR DIVISIONS			SYMBOLS		TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS
			GRAPH	LETTER	
COARSE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	GRAVEL AND GRAVELLY SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN GRAVELS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
				GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		GRAVELS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
				GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND AND SANDY SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN SANDS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
				SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		SANDS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
				SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
FINE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
				CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
	CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		CH	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
SOILS OF MODERATE PLASTICITY				CL-CH	LOW PI CLAYS WITH APPRECIABLE HIGH PI MOTTLING, CLAY WITH BORDERLINE CLASSIFICATION
OTHER MATERIALS				FILL	MATERIAL NOT NATURALLY DEPOSITED
				LS	WEATHERED LIMESTONE INTACT LIMESTONE

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

Key to Terms and Abbreviations

Descriptive Terms Characterizing Soils and Rock	Standard Description Abbreviations and Terms	Symbols and Abbreviations for Test Data
Argillaceous – having appreciable amounts of clay in the soil or rock mass. Used most often in describing limestones, occasionally sandstones. **Calcareous** – containing appreciable quantities of calcium carbonate. Can be either nodular or "powder." **Crumbly** – cohesive soils which break into small blocks or crumbs on drying. **Evaporite** – deposits of salts and other soluble compounds. Most commonly calcium carbonate or gypsum. May be in either "powder" or visible crystal form. **Ferruginous** – having deposits of iron or nodules, typically oxidized and dark red in color. **Ferrous –** see Ferruginous **Fissured** – containing shrinkage cracks frequently filled with fine sand or silt, usually more or less vertical. **Fossiliferous –** containing appreciable quantities of fossils, fossil fragments, or traces of fossils **Laminated** – composed of thin layers of varying color or texture. Layers are typically distinct and varying in composition from sand to silt and clay. **Mottled** – characterized as having multiple colors organized in a marbled pattern. **Slickensided** – having inclined planes of weakness that are slick and glossy in appearance. **Varved** – see Laminated.	brn = brown dk = dark lt = light wx = weathered calc = calcareous sw = severely weathered cw = completely weathered n/a = not available b. = below **Engineering Units** pcf = pounds per cubic foot psf = pounds per square foot tsf = tons per square foot pF = picofarad psi = pounds per square inch kips = thousand pounds (force) ksf = kips per square foot	LL = Liquid Limit PL = Plastic Limit PI = Plasticity Index (LL-PL) NP = non-plastic γ_d = dry unit weight q_u = unconfined compressive strength q_c = confined compressive strength SPT = standard penetration test TCP = Texas cone penetration test (Texas Highway Department) N or N_{SPT} = blows per foot from SPT N_{TCP} = blows per foot from TCP SCR = standard core recovery RQD = rock quality designation RQI = see RQD

Terms Describing Consistency of Soil and Rock

COARSE GRAINED MATERIAL		SEDIMENTARY ROCK	
DESCRIPTIVE TERM	BLOWS/FT (SPT)	DESCRIPTIVE TERM	STRENGTH, TSF
very loose	0 – 4	soft	4 – 8
loose	4 – 10	medium	8 – 15
firm (medium)	10 – 30	hard	15 – 50
dense	30 – 50	very hard	over 50
very dense	over 50		

Describing Consistency of Fine Grained Soil

DESCRIPTIVE TERM	BLOWS/FT (SPT)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION, TSF
very soft	< 2	< 0.25
soft	2 – 4	0.25 – 0.50
medium stiff	4 – 8	0.50 – 1.00
stiff	8 – 15	1.00 – 2.00
very stiff	15 – 30	2.00 – 4.00
hard	over 30	over 4.00

Sample Type Key



Revised: October 2018

APPENDIX B

STANDARD FIELD AND LABORATORY PROCEDURES

STANDARD FIELD AND LABORATORY PROCEDURES

STANDARD FIELD PROCEDURES

Drilling and Sampling

Borings and test pits are typically staked in the field by the drillers, using simple taping or pacing procedures and locations are assumed to be accurate to within several feet. Unless noted otherwise, ground surface elevations (GSE) when shown on logs are estimated from topographic maps and are assumed to be accurate to within a foot. A Plan of Borings or Plan of Test Pits showing the boring locations and the proposed structures is provided in the Appendix.

A log of each boring or pit is prepared as drilling and sampling progressed. In the laboratory, the driller's classification and description is reviewed by a Geotechnical Engineer. Individual logs of each boring or pit are provided in the Appendix. Descriptive terms and symbols used on the logs are in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D-2487). A reference key is also provided. The stratification of the subsurface material represents the soil conditions at the actual boring locations, and variations may occur between borings. Lines of demarcation represent the approximate boundary between the different material types, but the transition may be gradual.

A truck-mounted rotary drill rig utilizing rotary wash drilling or continuous flight hollow or solid stem auger procedures is used to advance the borings, unless otherwise noted. A backhoe provided by others is used to place test pits. Test pits are advanced to the required depth, refusal (typically bedrock) or to the limits of the equipment. Samples of soil are obtained from the borings or test pit spoils for subsequent laboratory study. Samples are sealed in plastic bags and marked as to depth and boring/pit locations in the field. Cores are wrapped in a polyethylene wrap to preserve field moisture conditions, placed in core boxes and marked as to depth and core runs. Unless notified to the contrary, samples and cores will be stored for 90 days, then discarded.

Standard Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils (ASTM D-1586) (SPT)

This sampling method consists of driving a 2 inch outside diameter split barrel sampler using a 140 pound hammer freely falling through a distance of 30 inches. The sampler is first seated 6 inches into the material to be sampled and then driven an additional 12 inches. The number of blows required to drive the sampler the final 12 inches is known as the Standard Penetration Resistance. The results of the SPT is recorded on the boring logs as "N" values.

Thin-Walled Tube Sampling of Soils (ASTM D-1587) (Shelby Tube Sampling)

This method consists of pushing thin walled steel tubes, usually 3 inches in diameter, into the soils to be sampled using hydraulic pressure or other means. Cohesive soils are usually sampled in this manner and relatively undisturbed samples are recovered.

Soil Investigation and Sampling by Auger Borings (ASTM D-1452)

This method consists of auguring a hole and removing representative soil samples from the auger flight or bit at intervals or with each change in the substrata. Disturbed samples are obtained and this method is, therefore, limited to situations where it is satisfactory to determine the approximate subsurface profile and obtain samples suitable for Index Property testing.

Diamond Core Drilling for Site Investigation (ASTM D-2113)

This method consists of advancing a hole into hard strata by rotating a single or double tube core barrel equipped with a cutting bit. Diamond, tungsten carbide, or other cutting agents may be used for the bit. Wash water or air is used to remove the cuttings and to cool the bit. Normally, a 3 inch outside diameter by 2-1/8 inch inside diameter coring bit is used unless otherwise noted. The rock or hard material recovered within the core barrel is examined in the field and in the laboratory and the cores are stored in partitioned boxes. The intactness of all rock core specimens is evaluated in two ways. The first method is the Standard Core Recovery (SCR) expressed as the length of the total core recovered divided by the length of the core run, expressed as a percentage:

$$SCR = \frac{\text{total core length recovered}}{\text{length of core run}} \times 100\%$$

This value is exhibited on the boring logs as the Standard Core Recovery (SCR).

The second procedure for evaluating the intactness of the rock cores is by Rock Quality Designation (RQD). The RQD provides an additional qualitative measure of soundness of the rock. This index is determined by measuring the intact recovered core unit which exceed four inches in length divided by the total length of the core run:

$$RQD = \frac{\text{all core lengths greater than 4”}}{\text{length of core run}} \times 100\%$$

The RQD is also expressed as a percentage and is shown on the boring logs.

Vane Shear Tests

In-situ vane shear tests may be used to determine the shear strength of soft to medium cohesive soil. This test consists of placing a four-bladed vane in the undisturbed soil and determining the torsional force applied at the ground surface required to cause the cylindrical perimeter surface of the vane to be sheared. The torsional force sufficient to cause shearing is converted to a unit of shearing resistance or cohesion of the soil surrounding the cylindrical surface.

THD Cone Penetrometer Test

The THD Cone Penetrometer Test is a standard field test to determine the relative density or consistency and load carrying capacity of foundation soils. This test is performed in much the same manner as the Standard Penetration Test described above. In this test, a 3 inch diameter penetrometer cone is used in place of a split-spoon sampler. This test calls for a 170-pound weight falling 24 inches. The actual test in hard materials consists of driving the penetrometer cone and accurately recording the inches of penetration for the first and second 50 blows for a total of 100 blows. These results are then correlated using a table of load capacity vs. number of inches penetrated per 100 blows.

Pocket Penetrometer Test

A pocket penetrometer or hand penetrometer is a small device used to estimate the shear capacity or unconfined compressive strength of a soil sample. The device consists of a spring-loaded probe which measures the pressure required to penetrate the probe into a soil sample for specified depth. This test can only be performed on cohesive soil samples. This pressure is reported in tons per square foot (tsf) on the Logs of Boring. A hyphen (-) indicates that the soil sample was too loose or too soft to perform the test. This test is considered rudimentary and too inaccurate to be used for direct design parameters; however, this test is useful for correlations among soil strata and general stiffness descriptions.

Ground Water Observation

Ground moisture observations are made during the operations and are reported on the logs of boring or pit. Moisture condition of cuttings are noted, however, the use of water for circulation precludes direct observation of wet conditions. Water levels after completing the borings or pits are noted. Seasonal variations, temperatures and recent rainfall conditions may influence the levels of the ground water table and water may be present in excavations, even though not indicated on the logs.

STANDARD LABORATORY PROCEDURES

To adequately characterize the subsurface material at this site, some or all of the following laboratory tests are performed. The results of the actual tests performed are shown graphically on the Logs of Boring or Pit.

Moisture Content - ASTM D-2216

Natural moisture contents of the samples (based on dry weight of soil) are determined for selected samples at depths shown on the respective boring logs. These moisture contents are useful in delineating the depth of the zone of moisture change and as a gauge of correlation between the various index properties and the engineering properties of the soil. For example, the relationship between the plasticity index and moisture content is a source of information for the correlation of shear strength data.

Dry Density - ASTM D-7263

The dry density, γ_d, (bulk density or unit weight) of the samples is determined for selected samples at depths shown on the respective boring logs using Method B of the aforementioned ASTM standard. The in-situ density was determined from undisturbed SPT samples and the dry density was calculated using moisture content results. These dry density values are useful for calculating other characteristic values such as porosity, void ratio, and mass composition of soil. Additionally, these values can also be used to assess the degree of compaction or consolidation of fill materials.

Atterberg Limits - ASTM D-4318

The Atterberg Limits are the moisture contents at the time the soil meets certain arbitrarily defined tests. At the moisture content defined as the plastic limit, Pw, the soil is assumed to change from a semi-solid state to a plastic state. By the addition of more moisture, the soil may be brought up to the moisture content defined as the liquid limit, Lw, or that point where the soil changes from a plastic state to a liquid state. A soil existing at a moisture content between these two previously described states is said to be in a plastic state. The difference between the liquid limit, Lw, and the plastic limit, Pw, is termed the plasticity index, Iw. As the plasticity index increases, the ability of a soil to attract water and remain in a plastic state increases. The Atterberg Limits that were determined are plotted on the appropriate log.

The Atterberg Limits are quite useful in soil exploration as an indexing parameter. Using the Atterberg Limits and grain size analysis, A. Casagrande developed the Unified Soils Classification System (USCS) which is widely used in the geotechnical engineering field. This system related the liquid limit to the plasticity index by dividing a classification chart into various zones according to degrees of plasticity of clays and silts. Although the Atterberg Limits are an indexing parameter, K. Terzaghi has related these limits to various engineering properties of a soil. Some of these relationships are as follows:

1. As the grain size of the soil decreases, the Atterberg Limits increase.
2. As the percent clay in the soil increases, the Atterberg Limits increase.
3. As the shear strength increases, the Atterberg Limits decrease.
4. As the compressibility of a soil increases, the Atterberg Limits increase.

Free Swell Test - ASTM D-4546-96

The free swell test assesses the potential for swell of soil. This value is useful for the design of various structures such as slab-on-ground foundations, piers and piles, and underground utilities. Method B of the aforementioned ASTM standard determines the amount of swell (vertical heave) of a sample. This is done by placing the sample in a consolidometer under a seating load equal to the overburden pressure and giving the sample free access to water. The height is measured and the swell is calculated as the vertical displacement divided by the original height of the specimen. The results of these tests are presented on the Logs of Boring at the depth of the samples tested.

Swell Pressure Test - ASTM D-4546-96

The swell pressure test assesses the potential for swell of soil. This value is useful for the design of various structures such as slab-on-ground foundations, piers and piles, and underground utilities. Method C of the aforementioned ASTM standard determines the pressure required to keep a soil sample at equilibrium under swelling conditions. This is done by placing the sample in a consolidometer under a seating load and giving the sample free access to water. A constant height of the sample is maintained and the vertical pressure on the sample is adjusted until equilibrium is reached. The vertical pressure on the sample at equilibrium is reported as the swell pressure. The results of these tests are presented on the Logs of Boring at the depth of the samples tested.

Soil Suction Test - ASTM D-5298-94

Soil suction (potential) tests are performed to determine both the matric and total suction values for the samples tested. Soil suction measures the free energy of the pore water in a soil. In a practical sense, soil suction is an indication of the affinity of a given soil sample to retain water. Soil suction provides useful information on a variety of characteristics of the soil that are affected by the soil water including volume change, deformation, and strength.

Soil suction tests are performed using the filter paper method per ASTM D-5298. Results of these tests are shown graphically on the logs of boring and tabulated in summary sheet of laboratory data.

For matric suction values found using this method, it should be noted that when the soil is in a dry state adequate contact between the filter paper and the soil may not be possible. This lack of contact may result in the determination of total suction instead of matric suction.

Triaxial Shear Test - ASTM D-2850-70

Triaxial tests may be performed on samples that are approximately 2.83 inches in diameter, unless a smaller diameter sample was necessary to achieve a more favorable length:diameter (L:D) ratio. A minimum length to diameter ratio (L:D) of 2.0 is maintained to reduce end effects.

The triaxial tests are typically unconsolidated-undrained using nitrogen gas for chamber confining pressure. Confining pressures are selected to conform to in-situ hydrostatic pressure considering the earth to be a fluid of 120 pcf. In this test, undisturbed Shelby tube samples are trimmed so that their ends are square and then pressed in a triaxial compression machine. The load at which failure occurs is the compressive strength. The results of the triaxial tests and the correlated hand penetrometer strengths can be utilized to develop soil shear strength values. These test provide the confined compressive strength, q_c, which are presented on the Logs of Boring at the depth of the samples tested.

Unconfined Compressive Strength of Rock Cores - ASTM D-2938

The unconfined compressive strength, q_u, is a valuable parameter useful in the design of foundation footings. This value, qu, is related to the shearing resistance of the rock and thus to the capacity of the rock to support a load. In completing this test it is imperative that the length:diameter ratio of the core specimens are maintained at a minimum of 2:1. This ratio is set so that the shear plane will not extend through either of the end caps. If the ratio is less than 2.0 a correction is applied to the result.

Grain Size Analysis - ASTM D-421 and D-422

Grain size analysis tests are performed to determine the particle size and distribution of the samples tested. The grain size distribution of the soils coarser than the Standard Number 200 sieve is determined by passing the sample through a standard set of nested sieves, and the distribution of sizes smaller than the No. 200 sieve is determined by a sedimentation process, using a hydrometer. The results are given on the log of Boring/Pit or on Grain Size Distribution semi-log graphs within the report.

Slake Durability Test - ASTM D-4644

The slake durability test provides an index for the durability of a shale, or similar rock, considering the effects of wetting, drying, and abrasion. This index is used to quantify the strength of weak rock formations when exposed to natural wetting and drying cycles, especially in the context of underground tunneling and excavation. The index, $I_d(2)$, represents the percentage, by mass, of rock material retained after two wetting and drying cycles. These cycles are simulated by oven drying the sample followed by ten minutes of tumbling and soaking in water within a drum and trough apparatus. After tumbling and soaking, the sample is oven-dried and the mass of the sample is recorded. The results of these tests are presented on the Logs of Boring at the depth of the samples tested.

Brazilian Tensile Strength - ASTM D-3967

The Brazilian (splitting) tensile strength, σ_t, is useful in rock mechanics design, especially in regard to tunneling. This value is an indirect representation of the true uniaxial tensile strength. The Brazilian test is typically used more commonly than direct tensile strength tests because it is less difficult, more cost effective, and more represented of in-situ conditions. The test is conducted by mechanically compressing a rock core sample along its vertical diameter, causing the sample to fail due to tension along the horizontal diameter caused by the Poisson effect.

CERCHAR Abrasivity Index (CAI) Test - ASTM D-7625

The CERCHAR Abrasivity Index (CAI) is used to determine the abrasivity of rocks. This is particularly useful in assessing the potential wearing on cutting tools during excavation. The CAI of a rock is determined by the CERCHAR test, which consists of scraping steel pins across a rock surface and measuring the wear of each pin. The rock specimen is held in a mechanical vice, while a conical steel pin fastened to a 15-pound head is drug across the face of the specimen using a lever being pulled 1 centimeter in 1 second. The CAI is calculated based on the resultant diameter on the end of the pin.

APPENDIX C

MFPS COMPUTER OUTPUT

```
MM     MM  FFFFFFFFF  PPPPPPPP     SSSSS      11
MMM   MMM  FFFFFFFFF  PPPPPPPPP   SSSSSSS    111
MMMM MMMM  FF         PP     PP  SS     SS  1111
MMMMMMMMM  FF         PP     PP  SS           11
MM MMM MM  FFFFFFF    PPPPPPPPP   SSSSSS      11
MM  M  MM  FFFFFFF    PPPPPPPP     SSSSSS     11
MM     MM  FF         PP                SS    11
MM     MM  FF         PP          SS    SS    11
MM     MM  FF         PP           SSSSSSS   111111
MM     MM  FF         PP            SSSSS    111111
```

MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM
VERSION 1.0, SEPTEMBER 1983
MOVED TO MICROCOMPUTER OCTOBER 1985 (P.J.- BRE)

NOTICE --

THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM REPRESENTS AN ADAPTATION OF THE ORIGINAL TEXAS STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM (FPS-11) FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSIDERATION OF LIFE-CYCLE COSTS OF MUNICIPAL STREETS AND THOROUGHFARES IN AUSTIN, TEXAS. THIS PROGRAM WAS DEVELOPED BY ARE, INC (512/327-3520) FOR SOLE USE BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN. BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MFPS-1 PROGRAM AND CERTAIN BUILT-IN REGIONAL FACTORS, USE BY ANY OTHER CITY OR AGENCY REQUIRES A THOROUGH UNDESTANDING OF THE PROGRAM OPERATION AND ITS INHERENT ASSUMPTIONS.

CAUTION IS RECOMMENDED IN APPLYING THIS FIRST VERSION OF THE MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM. THE USER SHOULD ACCEPT ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THE INPUTS AND THE VALIDITY OF THE RESULTS.

```
MFPS-1  MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
        ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT FPS-11 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
        BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM        TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Local Streets


      *****  PAVEMENT  *****

      TOTAL NUMBER OF LANES IN FACILITY . . . . . .  2
      TOTAL NUMBER OF CURBS IN FACILITY . . . . . .  2
      NUMBER OF LAYERS CONSIDERED IN THIS PROBLEM . . .  2
      LANE WIDTH (FEET) . . . . . .  13.50
      CURB HEIGHT (INCHES). . . . . .   6.00
      CONCRETE CURB CONSTRUCTION COST ($/LF). . . . . .   5.50
      THICKENED EDGE FIXED COST ($/LF). . . . . .    .00
      THICKENED EDGE INCREMENTAL COST ($/IN/LF) . . . .    .00


      *****  LAYER  *****

                              MIN.  MAX.  THICK.              SALV.
LAYER LAYER      LAYER       DEPTH  DEPTH  INCR.  COST   COST  VALUE STIFF.
 NO.   CODE   DESCRIPTION    (IN.)  (IN.)  (IN.) ($/CY) ($/SY)  (%)   COEF.
----- ----- --------------- -----  -----  ------ ------ ------ ----- ------
  1     H              HMAC  1.50   4.00    .50  84.00    .00  30.0   .960
  2     F        FLEX. BASE  8.00  18.00   1.00  20.00    .00  20.0   .500


      *****  SUBGRADE  *****

      SWELLING PROBABILITY. . . . . .   1.00
      SWELLING RATE CONSTANT. . . . . .    .12
      POTENTIAL VERTICAL RISE (INCHES). . . . . .   2.50
      SUBGRADE EXCAVATION COST ($/CY) . . . . . .   7.50
      SUBGRADE COST ($/SY). . . . . .    .00
      SUBGRADE STIFFNESS COEFFICIENT. . . . . .    .180


      *****  AC OVERLAY  *****

      MINIMUM AC OVERLAY THICKNESS (INCHES) . . . . . .   1.50
      MAXIMUM ACCUMULATED OVERLAY THICKNESS (INCHES). .   3.00
      AVERAGE LEVEL-UP THICKNESS (INCHES) . . . . . .    .50
      OVERLAY COST ($/CY) . . . . . .  55.00
      OVERLAY COST ($/SY) . . . . . .    .00
      OVERLAY SALVAGE VALUE (%) . . . . . .  30.00
      AC OVERLAY STIFFNESS COEFFICIENT. . . . . .    .960
      OVERLAY EDGE TAPERING COST ($/LF) . . . . . .    .00
      OVERLAY EDGE MILLING COST ($/LF). . . . . .   3.25
      AC OVERLAY PRODUCTION RATE (CY/HR). . . . . .  40.0
```

```
MFPS-1  MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
        ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT FPS-11 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
        BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM        TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Local Streets


      *****  DESIGN CONSTRAINTS  *****

      CONFIDENCE LEVEL (%). . . . . .  90.00
      LENGTH OF ANALYSIS PERIOD (YEARS) . . . . . .  20.0
      MINIMUM TIME TO FIRST OVERLAY (YEARS) . . . . .  20.0
      MINIMUM TIME BETWEEN OVERLAYS (YEARS) . . . . .   5.0
      MAXIMUM THICKNESS OF INITIAL CONSTR. (INCHES) . .  22.00
      MAXIMUM FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR INITIAL CONSTR. ($) .  50.00
      DISCOUNT RATE (%) . . . . . .   5.00


      *****  PERFORMANCE  *****

      SERVICEABILITY INDEX AFTER INITIAL CONSTRUCTION .   4.20
      TERMINAL SERVICEABILITY INDEX . . . . . .   1.00
      SERVICEABILITY INDEX AFTER OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION .   4.00


      *****  MAINTENANCE  *****

      FIRST YEAR COST OF ROUTINE MAINTENANCE. . . . . .00
      ANNUAL INCREMENTAL INCREASE IN MAINTENANCE COST . 150.00


      *****  TRAFFIC  *****

      AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC GROWTH RATE (%) . . . . .   3.00
      DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION FACTOR (%) . . . . . .  50.00
      LANE DISTRIBUTION FACTOR (%). . . . . . . . . . 100.00
      PERCENT TRUCKS IN AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC . . . . .   2.00
      18-KIP EQUIVALENCY FACTOR FOR STD. CITY TRUCK . .    .40
      INITIAL ADT ON FACILITY (VPD) . . . . . .    500.


      *****  TRAFFIC DELAY  *****

      INDEX TO DETOUR MODEL . . . . . .  2
      NO. OF OPEN LANES THROUGH OVERLAY ZONE
           IN OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . .  1
           IN NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . .  1
      AVERAGE APPROACH SPEED TO OVERLAY ZONE (MPH). . .    15.
      AVERAGE SPEED THROUGH OVERLAY ZONE (MPH)
           IN OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . .    15.
           IN NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . .    15.
      DISTANCE OVER WHICH TRAFFIC IS SLOWED (MILES)
           IN OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . .    .20
```

```
          IN NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . .    .20
      DETOUR DISTANCE (MILES) . . . . . .   1.00
      NO. OF HOURS PER DAY OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION OCCURS.   7.00
      ADT ARRIVING EACH HOUR OF CONSTRUCTION (%). . . .  14.00


MFPS-1  MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
        ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT FPS-11 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
        BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM        TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Local Streets


SUMMARY OF THE BEST DESIGN STRATEGIES
IN ORDER OF INCREASING TOTAL COST

                           1
****************************
MATERIAL ARRANGEMENT    HF
****************************
SUBGRADE EXC. COST     1.98
CURB CONSTR. COST      3.67
THICKENED EDGE COST     .00
****************************
TAPERING COSTS          .00
MILLING COSTS           .00
****************************
INIT. CONST. COST     13.59
OVERLAY CONST. COST     .00
USER COST               .00
ROUTINE MAINT. COST    1.96
SALVAGE VALUE          -.73
****************************
TOTAL COST            14.82
****************************
LAYER DEPTH (INCHES)
    D(1)              1.50
    D(2)              8.00
****************************
OVERLAY POLICY(INCH)
(INCLUDING LEVEL-UP)
****************************
PERF. TIME (YEARS)
    T(1)             20.96
****************************
SWELLING CLAY LOSS
 (SERVICEABILITY)
   SC(1)               .77
****************************

THE TOTAL NUMBER OF FEASIBLE DESIGNS ENCOUNTERED WAS        66
```

```
MM     MM  FFFFFFFFF  PPPPPPPP     SSSSS      11
MMM   MMM  FFFFFFFFF  PPPPPPPPP   SSSSSSS    111
MMMM MMMM  FF         PP     PP  SS     SS  1111
MMMMMMMMM  FF         PP     PP  SS           11
MM MMM MM  FFFFFFF    PPPPPPPPP   SSSSSS      11
MM  M  MM  FFFFFFF    PPPPPPPP     SSSSSS     11
MM     MM  FF         PP               SS    11
MM     MM  FF         PP          SS   SS    11
MM     MM  FF         PP           SSSSSSS  111111
MM     MM  FF         PP             SSSSS  111111
```

MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM
VERSION 1.0, SEPTEMBER 1983
MOVED TO MICROCOMPUTER OCTOBER 1985 (P.J.- BRE)

NOTICE --

THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM REPRESENTS AN ADAPTATION OF THE ORIGINAL TEXAS STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM (FPS-11) FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSIDERATION OF LIFE-CYCLE COSTS OF MUNICIPAL STREETS AND THOROUGHFARES IN AUSTIN, TEXAS. THIS PROGRAM WAS DEVELOPED BY ARE, INC (512/327-3520) FOR SOLE USE BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN. BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MFPS-1 PROGRAM AND CERTAIN BUILT-IN REGIONAL FACTORS, USE BY ANY OTHER CITY OR AGENCY REQUIRES A THOROUGH UNDESTANDING OF THE PROGRAM OPERATION AND ITS INHERENT ASSUMPTIONS.

CAUTION IS RECOMMENDED IN APPLYING THIS FIRST VERSION OF THE MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM. THE USER SHOULD ACCEPT ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THE INPUTS AND THE VALIDITY OF THE RESULTS.

```
MFPS-1  MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
        ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT FPS-11 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
        BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM        TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
 21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Residential Collectors


      *****  PAVEMENT  *****

      TOTAL NUMBER OF LANES IN FACILITY . . . . . .  2
      TOTAL NUMBER OF CURBS IN FACILITY . . . . . .  2
      NUMBER OF LAYERS CONSIDERED IN THIS PROBLEM . . .  2
      LANE WIDTH (FEET) . . . . . .  18.50
      CURB HEIGHT (INCHES). . . . . .   6.00
      CONCRETE CURB CONSTRUCTION COST ($/LF). . . . . .   5.50
      THICKENED EDGE FIXED COST ($/LF). . . . . .    .00
      THICKENED EDGE INCREMENTAL COST ($/IN/LF) . . . .    .00


      *****  LAYER  *****

                              MIN.  MAX.  THICK.              SALV.
LAYER LAYER      LAYER       DEPTH  DEPTH  INCR.  COST   COST  VALUE STIFF.
 NO.   CODE   DESCRIPTION    (IN.)  (IN.)  (IN.) ($/CY) ($/SY)  (%)   COEF.
----- ----- --------------- -----  -----  ------ ------ ------ ----- ------
  1     H              HMAC  2.00   4.00    .50  84.00    .00  30.0   .960
  2     F         FLEX. BASE 10.00  18.00   1.00  20.00    .00  20.0   .500


      *****  SUBGRADE  *****

      SWELLING PROBABILITY. . . . . .   1.00
      SWELLING RATE CONSTANT. . . . . .    .12
      POTENTIAL VERTICAL RISE (INCHES). . . . . .   2.50
      SUBGRADE EXCAVATION COST ($/CY) . . . . . .   7.50
      SUBGRADE COST ($/SY). . . . . .    .00
      SUBGRADE STIFFNESS COEFFICIENT. . . . . .    .180


      *****  AC OVERLAY  *****

      MINIMUM AC OVERLAY THICKNESS (INCHES) . . . . . .   1.50
      MAXIMUM ACCUMULATED OVERLAY THICKNESS (INCHES). .   3.00
      AVERAGE LEVEL-UP THICKNESS (INCHES) . . . . . .    .50
      OVERLAY COST ($/CY) . . . . . .  55.00
      OVERLAY COST ($/SY) . . . . . .    .00
      OVERLAY SALVAGE VALUE (%) . . . . . .  30.00
      AC OVERLAY STIFFNESS COEFFICIENT. . . . . .    .960
      OVERLAY EDGE TAPERING COST ($/LF) . . . . . .    .00
      OVERLAY EDGE MILLING COST ($/LF). . . . . .   3.25
      AC OVERLAY PRODUCTION RATE (CY/HR). . . . . .  40.0
```

```
MFPS-1  MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
        ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT FPS-11 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
        BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM        TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
 21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Residential Collectors


      *****  DESIGN CONSTRAINTS  *****

      CONFIDENCE LEVEL (%). . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .  90.00
      LENGTH OF ANALYSIS PERIOD (YEARS) . . . . . . . . .  20.0
      MINIMUM TIME TO FIRST OVERLAY (YEARS) . . . . . . .  20.0
      MINIMUM TIME BETWEEN OVERLAYS (YEARS) . . . . . . .   5.0
      MAXIMUM THICKNESS OF INITIAL CONSTR. (INCHES) . .  22.00
      MAXIMUM FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR INITIAL CONSTR. ($) .  50.00
      DISCOUNT RATE (%) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .   5.00


      *****  PERFORMANCE  *****

      SERVICEABILITY INDEX AFTER INITIAL CONSTRUCTION .   4.20
      TERMINAL SERVICEABILITY INDEX . . . . . . . . . .   1.50
      SERVICEABILITY INDEX AFTER OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION .   4.00


      *****  MAINTENANCE  *****

      FIRST YEAR COST OF ROUTINE MAINTENANCE. . . . . .    .00
      ANNUAL INCREMENTAL INCREASE IN MAINTENANCE COST . 150.00


      *****  TRAFFIC  *****

      AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC GROWTH RATE (%) . . . . . .   3.50
      DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION FACTOR (%) . . . . . . .  50.00
      LANE DISTRIBUTION FACTOR (%). . . . . . . . . . . 100.00
      PERCENT TRUCKS IN AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC . . . . .   2.90
      18-KIP EQUIVALENCY FACTOR FOR STD. CITY TRUCK . .    .53
      INITIAL ADT ON FACILITY (VPD) . . . . . . . . . .   1000.


      *****  TRAFFIC DELAY  *****

      INDEX TO DETOUR MODEL . . . . . . . . . . . . . .  2
      NO. OF OPEN LANES THROUGH OVERLAY ZONE
           IN OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . . . . . . . .  1
           IN NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . . . . . .  1
      AVERAGE APPROACH SPEED TO OVERLAY ZONE (MPH). . .    15.
      AVERAGE SPEED THROUGH OVERLAY ZONE (MPH)
           IN OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . . . . . . . .    15.
           IN NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . . . . . .    15.
      DISTANCE OVER WHICH TRAFFIC IS SLOWED (MILES)
           IN OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . . . . . . . .    .20
```

```
          IN NON-OVERLAY DIRECTION . . . . . .    .20
      DETOUR DISTANCE (MILES) . . . . . .   1.00
      NO. OF HOURS PER DAY OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION OCCURS.   7.00
      ADT ARRIVING EACH HOUR OF CONSTRUCTION (%). . . .  14.00


MFPS-1  MUNICIPAL FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SYSTEM, VERSION 1.0, 8/83
        ADAPTED FROM TEXAS SDHPT FPS-11 PROGRAM FOR CITY OF AUSTIN
        BY ARE INC, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROBLEM        TITLE (DESCRIPTION)
 21201100.010 - George's Ranch, Residential Collectors


SUMMARY OF THE BEST DESIGN STRATEGIES
IN ORDER OF INCREASING TOTAL COST

                          1      2      3
*******************************************
MATERIAL ARRANGEMENT    HF     HF     HF
*******************************************
SUBGRADE EXC. COST     2.92   2.81   2.71
CURB CONSTR. COST      2.68   2.68   2.68
THICKENED EDGE COST     .00    .00    .00
*******************************************
TAPERING COSTS          .00    .00    .00
MILLING COSTS           .00    .00    .00
*******************************************
INIT. CONST. COST     16.93  17.43  17.94
OVERLAY CONST. COST     .00    .00    .00
USER COST               .00    .00    .00
ROUTINE MAINT. COST    1.43   1.43   1.43
SALVAGE VALUE         -1.03  -1.12  -1.21
*******************************************
TOTAL COST            17.32  17.74  18.16
*******************************************
LAYER DEPTH (INCHES)
    D(1)              2.00   2.50   3.00
    D(2)             12.00  11.00  10.00
*******************************************
OVERLAY POLICY(INCH)
(INCLUDING LEVEL-UP)
*******************************************
PERF. TIME (YEARS)
    T(1)             23.80  23.95  24.09
*******************************************
SWELLING CLAY LOSS
 (SERVICEABILITY)
   SC(1)                .79    .79    .79
*******************************************

THE TOTAL NUMBER OF FEASIBLE DESIGNS ENCOUNTERED WAS        42
```