### **GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT**

Windridge Subdivision - Streets
Highway 534
Kerrville, Texas

**PSI Project No. 0312-3304** 

PREPARED FOR:


Lennar 100 Northeast Loop 410, Suite 1155 San Antonio, Texas 78216

September 6, 2024

BY:

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. 3 Burwood Lane San Antonio, Texas 78216 Phone: (210) 342-9377







September 6, 2024

Lennar 100 Northeast Loop 410, Suite 1155 San Antonio, Texas 78216

Attn: Mr. Rogelio Olivarez Jr

RE: GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT Windridge Subdivision - Streets Highway 534 Kerrville, Texas **PSI Project No. 0312-3304** 

Dear Mr. Olivarez:

Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI), an Intertek company, is pleased to submit this Geotechnical Engineering Report for the above-referenced project. This report includes the results from the field and laboratory investigation along with recommendations for use in preparation of the appropriate design and construction documents for this project.

PSI appreciates the opportunity to provide this Geotechnical Engineering Report and looks forward to continuing participation during the design and construction phases of this project. PSI also has great interest in providing materials testing and inspection services during the construction of this project and will be glad to meet with you to further discuss how we can be of assistance as the project advances.

If there are questions pertaining to this report, or if PSI may be of further service, please contact us at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

### PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC.

Sous Ratcliffe

Texas Board of Professional Engineers Certificate of Registration # F003307

Louis Ratcliffe, E.I.T.

**Project Engineer** 

Philip L. Johnson, P.E.

Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Principal Consultant - Geotechnical Services

September 6, 2024

PHILIP LOUIS JOHNSON



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page No.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	•••••
1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1 Project Authorization	
1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
1.3 Purpose and Scope of Services	
2.0 SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	3
2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION	
2.2 FIELD EXPLORATION	
2.3 LABORATORY TESTING PROGRAM	4
2.4 SITE GEOLOGY	4
2.5 Subsurface Conditions	5
3.0 PAVEMENT DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS	7
3.1 PAVEMENT DESIGN PARAMETERS	7
3.2 PAVEMENT SECTION RECOMMENDATIONS	8
4.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	11
4.1 Initial Site Preparation Considerations	12
4.2 MOISTURE SENSITIVE SOILS/WEATHER RELATED CONCERNS	13
4.3 EXCAVATION OBSERVATIONS	
4.4 Drainage Considerations	13
4.5 EXCAVATIONS AND TRENCHES	13
5.0 REPORT LIMITATIONS	15
APPENDIX	

**Site Vicinity Map** 

**Boring Location Plan** 

**CBR Results** 

**Boring Logs** 

**Key to Terms and Symbols Used on Logs** 

# **INDEX OF FIGURES**

Page No.	٠.
Figure 3.1: Flexible Pavement Typical Section	9
INDEX OF TABLES	
Page No.	٠-
Table 1.1: Project Description	1
Table 2.1: Site Description	3
Table 2.2: Field Exploration Summary	3
Table 2.3: Field Exploration Description	
Table 2.4: Laboratory Testing Program	1
Table 2.5: Generalized Subsurface Profile Table	5
Table 3.1: Native Soil Test Summary	7
Table 3.2: Pavement Design Parameters and Assumptions (Rigid and Flexible)	7
Table 3.3: Flexible Pavement Section Options	)
Table 3.4: Pavement Design and Construction Recommendations	)
Table 3.5: Compaction and Testing Recommendations for Pavement Areas1	)
Table 4.1: Subgrade Preparation for Non-Structural - General Fill1	2
Table 4.2: Fill Compaction Recommendations Outside of Building and Pavement Areas1	2
Table 4.3: Considerations for Demolition, Abandoning Utilities and Tree Removal1	2

### 1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI), an Intertek company, has completed a field exploration and geotechnical evaluation for the proposed Windridge Subdivision - Streets project. Mr. Richard Mott, representing Lennar, authorized PSI's services on July 30, 2024, by signing PSI Proposal No. 430799-3. PSI's proposal contained a proposed scope of work, lump sum fee, and PSI's General Conditions.

#### 1.2 Project Description

Based on information provided by the Client and PSI's review of a site plan entitled "Acreage Layout Exhibit", dated July 13, 2023, prepared by KFW Engineers + Surveying, and the results of this geotechnical investigation, a summary of our understanding of the proposed project is provided below in the following Project Description table.

**TABLE 1.1: PROJECT DESCRIPTION** 

Project Items	Approximately 95 Acres of residential lots and approximately 18,000 lineal feet of subdivision streets
Pavement for Parking and Drives	Flexible Asphalt (HMAC)
Design Traffic Load	Residential Streets: 100,000 ESALs
	Collector Streets: 2,000,000 ESALs
	Arterial Streets: 3,000,000 ESALs

The geotechnical recommendations presented in this report are based on the available project information, structure locations, and the subsurface materials encountered during the field investigation. If the information presented above is incorrect, please inform PSI so that the recommendations presented in this report can be amended, as necessary. PSI will not be responsible for the implementation of provided recommendations if not notified of changes in the project.

#### 1.3 Purpose and Scope of Services

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the subsurface conditions at the site and develop geotechnical engineering recommendations and guidelines for use in preparing the design and other related construction documents for the proposed project. The scope of services included drilling soil borings, performing laboratory testing, and preparing this geotechnical engineering report.

This report briefly outlines the available project information, describes the site and subsurface conditions, and presents the following:

- General site development and subgrade preparation recommendations.
- Estimated potential soil movements associated with collapsing, shrinking and swelling soils and methods to reduce these movements.
- Recommendations for site excavation, fill compaction, and the use of on-site and imported fill material under pavements.
- Recommendations for the design of flexible asphaltic pavement systems for the proposed residential streets per the City of Kerrville Pavement Design Standards.



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

The scope of services for this geotechnical exploration did not include an environmental, mold nor detailed seismic/fault assessment for determining the presence or absence of wetlands, or hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, bedrock, surface water, groundwater, or air on or below, or around this site. Statements in this report or on the boring logs regarding odors, colors, and unusual or suspicious items or conditions are strictly for informational purposes. The report also does not include a detailed settlement analysis or slope stability analysis.



### 2.0 SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

#### 2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The following table provides a generalized description of the existing site conditions based on visual observations during the field activities, as well as other available information.

**TABLE 2.1: SITE DESCRIPTION** 

Site Location	Latitude: 30.0452°; Longitude: -99.1133°
Site History	Undeveloped Land
Existing Site Ground Cover	Grass, Trees, Brush
Existing Grade/Elevation Changes	Sloping down to the southeast
Site Geology	Glen Rose Limestone (Kgr)
(Geologic Atlas of Texas)	Gien Rose Limestone (Rgr)
Site Boundaries/Neighboring	North: Undeveloped
Development	West: Undeveloped
	East: Highway 534
	South: Hal Peterson Middle School
Ground Surface Soil Support Capability	
for Operational Stability and Site	Firm Enough for Field Equipment when Dry
Access	

#### 2.2 FIELD EXPLORATION

Field exploration for the project consisted of drilling a total of **twenty (20) borings**. The boring design element, approximate depths and drilling footage are provided in the following table.

**TABLE 2.2: FIELD EXPLORATION SUMMARY** 

Design Element	Number of Borings	Boring Depth (ft)	Drilling Footage (feet)
Streets	20	15	300
TOTAL:	20		300

The boring locations were selected by PSI personnel and located in the field using a recreational-grade GPS system. Elevations of the ground surface at the boring locations were not provided and should be surveyed by others prior to construction, if required. We have estimated ground surface elevations at the boring locations from the topographic survey provided (or from Google Earth) and estimate an approximate 1-foot accuracy. The references to elevations of various subsurface strata are based on depths below existing grade at the time of drilling. The approximate boring locations are depicted on the Boring Location Plan provided in the Appendix.



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

TABLE 2.3: FIELD EXPLORATION DESCRIPTION

Drilling Equipment	Truck-Mounted Drilling Equipment
Drilling Method	Continuous Flight-Auger
Field Testing	Standard Penetration Test (ASTM D1586)
Sampling Procedure	ASTM D1586
Sampling Frequency	Continuously to a Depth of 10 Feet and at 5-foot Intervals Thereafter
Frequency of Groundwater Level	During and After Drilling
Measurements	
Boring Backfill Procedures	Soil Cuttings
Sample Preservation and	General Accordance with ASTM D4220
Transportation Procedure	

During field activities, the encountered subsurface conditions were observed, logged, and visually classified (in general accordance with ASTM D2487). Field notes were maintained to summarize soil types and descriptions, water levels, changes in subsurface conditions, and drilling conditions.

#### 2.3 LABORATORY TESTING PROGRAM

PSI supplemented the field exploration with a laboratory testing program to determine additional engineering characteristics of the subsurface soils encountered. The laboratory testing program included:

**TABLE 2.4: LABORATORY TESTING PROGRAM** 

Laboratory Test	Procedure Specification
Visual Classification	ASTM D2488
Moisture Content	ASTM D2216
Atterberg Limits	ASTM D4318
Material Finer than No. 200 Sieve	ASTM D1140
California Bearing Ratio (CBR)	ASTM D1883
Soil-Lime Testing	TEX-121-E, Part III

The laboratory testing program was conducted in general accordance with applicable ASTM Test Methods. The results of the laboratory tests are provided on the Boring Logs in the Appendix. Portions of samples not altered or consumed by laboratory testing will be discarded 60 days from the date shown on this report.

#### 2.4 SITE GEOLOGY

We reviewed the **Llano Sheet of the Geologic Atlas of Texas** in an effort to determine the geologic setting of the project site and surrounding areas. The Geologic Atlas of Texas was developed by the Bureau of Economic Geology at The University of Texas using aerial photography, data from various oil and gas exploration companies, and very limited ground reconnaissance. Our review indicates that the project site is located within the **Glen Rose Formation** ( $K_{gr}$ ). The Glen Rose Formation generally consists of light gray to yellowish gray limestone, dolomite and marl in recessive beds forming stairstep topography and is approximately 900 feet thick.



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

### **2.5** SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The results of the field and laboratory investigation have been used to develop a generalized subsurface profile at the project site. The following subsurface descriptions highlight the major subsurface stratification features and material characteristics.

ω (%) -200 Sieve (%) LL (%) Ы Ν Top (ft) Bot. (ft) **Soil Type** Lean Clay with Sand Sandy Lean Clay 0 2.5 - 6.5Fat Clay with Sand 5 - 1732 - 7630 - 6611 - 507 - 68Clayey Sand with Gravel Clayey Sand

4 - 16

TABLE 2.5: GENERALIZED SUBSURFACE PROFILE TABLE

#### Note:

0 - 6.5

- 1.  $\omega$  = Moisture Content (%)
- 2. LL= Liquid limit (%)

15

- 3. PI = Plasticity Index
- 4. -#200 Sieve = % Passing the #200 Sieve
- 5. N = Standard Penetration Test blow count (blows/foot)

Limestone

The material properties for the limestone were obtained by laboratory testing, however, these tests were performed on grab samples from cuttings or Standard Penetration Test samples where the rock-like materials had been broken down to its finer constituent materials. Therefore, the reported properties reflect the nature of broken-down rock-like material, which was considered in the analysis and recommendations provided in this report.

The boring logs included in the Appendix should be reviewed for specific information at the boring locations. The boring logs include soil descriptions, stratifications, locations of the samples, and field and laboratory test data. The descriptions provided on the logs only represent the conditions at the specific boring location. The stratifications represent the approximate boundaries between subsurface materials. The actual transitions between strata may be more gradual and less distinct. Variations will occur and should be expected across the site.

#### 2.5.1 GROUNDWATER INFORMATION

Water level measurements were performed during drilling and after completion of drilling. Specific information concerning groundwater is noted on each boring log presented in the Appendix of this report. Groundwater was not encountered during the field investigation of this site.

Groundwater levels fluctuate seasonally as a function of rainfall, proximity to creeks, rivers and lakes, the infiltration rate of the soil, seasonal and climatic variations and land usage. In relatively pervious soils, such as sandy soils, the indicated depths are a relatively reliable indicator of groundwater levels. In relatively impervious soils, water levels observed in the borings may not provide a reliable indication of groundwater elevations, even after several days. If a detailed water level evaluation is required, observation wells or piezometers can be installed at the site to monitor water levels.



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

September 6, 2024

50/6" - 50/0"

PSI Project No: 0312-3304 September 6, 2024

The groundwater levels presented in this report were measured at the time of PSI field activities. The contractor should be prepared to control groundwater, if encountered during construction activities.



### 3.0 PAVEMENT DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 3.1 PAVEMENT DESIGN PARAMETERS

PSI understands that flexible pavements will be considered for this project. Therefore, pavement design recommendations based on the criteria presented in the *City of Kerrville Standard Specifications for Subdivision Construction* (adopted January 28, 2005) a traffic loading of 100,000 18-kip Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESALs) for flexible pavement was evaluated for a street classification of Secondary streets. A traffic load of 2,000,000 18-kip ESALs for flexible pavement was evaluated for a street classification of Collector streets. A traffic load of 3,000,000 18-kip ESALs for flexible pavement was evaluated for a street classification of Arterial streets. PSI utilized the "AASHTO Guide for Design of Pavement Structures" published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials to evaluate the pavement thickness recommendations in this report. This method of design considers pavement performance, traffic, roadbed soil, pavement materials, environment, drainage, and reliability. Each of these items is incorporated into the design methodology. PSI is available to provide laboratory testing and engineering evaluation to refine the site-specific design parameters and sections, upon request.

PSI collected bulk soil samples of the native soils encountered at the site to conduct Atterberg Limits, Percent Finer than the No. 200 Sieve, California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test, and Lime Series Testing. The results for the Moisture Density Relationship and the CBR Tests are presented in the Appendix. The following table presents the results from our laboratory testing performed on the native soil.

**TABLE 3.1: NATIVE SOIL TEST SUMMARY** 

Material	Liquid Limit (ASTM D4318)	Plasticity Index (ASTM D4318)	Percent Passing No. 200 Sieve	Laboratory CBR Value (ASTM D1883)	Lime Series (TxDOT TEX- 121-E)
Lean Clay (CL) with Sand	42	24	83	2.5	2%

Based on the results of the laboratory testing, PSI has provided recommended pavement sections for pavements constructed on an improved subgrade. Details regarding the basis for this design are presented in the table below.

TABLE 3.2: PAVEMENT DESIGN PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS (RIGID AND FLEXIBLE)

City of Kerrville Residential Streets		
Reliability, percent	70	
Initial Serviceability Index, Flexible Pavement	4.2	
Terminal Serviceability Index	2.0	
Design Traffic Loading, Flexible Pavement, without bus	300,000 equivalent single axle loads (ESALs)	
Standard Deviation, Flexible Pavement	0.45	
Subgrade California Bearing Ratio (CBR)	2.5	
Subgrade Modulus of Subgrade Reaction, k in pci	100	
City of Kerrville Collector Streets		
Reliability, percent 90		
Initial Serviceability Index, Flexible Pavement	4.2	
Terminal Serviceability Index	2.0	



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

Design Traffic Loading, Flexible Pavement	1,000,000 equivalent single axle loads (ESALs)
Standard Deviation, Flexible Pavement	0.45
Subgrade California Bearing Ratio (CBR)	2.5
Subgrade Modulus of Subgrade Reaction, k in pci	100
City of Kerrville A	rterial Streets
Reliability, percent	95
Initial Serviceability Index, Flexible Pavement	4.2
Terminal Serviceability Index	2.0
Design Traffic Loading, Flexible Pavement	2,000,000 equivalent single axle loads (ESALs)
Standard Deviation, Flexible Pavement	0.45
Subgrade California Bearing Ratio (CBR)	2.5
Subgrade Modulus of Subgrade Reaction, k in pci	100

Asphaltic concrete pavements founded on top of expansive soils will be subjected to PVM soil movements estimated and presented in this report. These potential soil movements are typically activated to some degree during the life of the pavement. Consequently, pavements can be expected to crack and require periodic maintenance to reduce damage to the pavement structure.

During the paving life, maintenance to seal surface cracks within asphalt paving should be undertaken to achieve the desired paving life. Perimeter drainage should be controlled to prevent or retard influx of surface water from areas surrounding the paving. Water penetration leads to paving degradation. Water penetration into base or subgrade materials, sometimes due to irrigation or surface water infiltration leads to pre-mature paving degradation. Curbs should be used in conjunction with asphalt paving to reduce potential for infiltration of moisture into the base course. Curbs should extend the full depth of the base course and should extend at least 3 inches into the underlying clayey subgrade. The base layer should be tied into the area inlets to drain water that may collect in the base.

Material specifications, construction considerations, and section requirements are presented in following sections.

The presented recommended pavement sections are based on the field and laboratory test results for the project, local pavement design practice, design assumptions presented herein and previous experience with similar projects. The project Civil Engineer should verify that the ESAL and other design values are appropriate for the expected traffic and design life of the project. PSI should be notified in writing if the assumptions or design parameters are incorrect or require modification.

### **3.2 PAVEMENT SECTION RECOMMENDATIONS**

PSI anticipated that the roadways and parking areas will be used primarily by passenger vehicles and delivery vehicles. PSI is providing parking and drive area sections based on experience with similar facilities constructed on similar soil conditions for the design traffic loading anticipated.

#### 3.2.1 FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT

Recommendations for flexible asphaltic concrete pavement for roadways and parking areas are provided below.



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

FIGURE 3.1: FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT TYPICAL SECTION



**TABLE 3.3: FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT SECTION OPTIONS** 

Material	Thicknesses		
Traffic Type	Local	Collector	Arterial
Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete	3"	4"	5"
Import Flexible Base	8"	12"	14"
Compacted Subgrade <sup>1, 2,3</sup>		8"	

- 1. Lime treatment of subgrade to a depth of 8-inches will be required for subgrade with a PI greater than 20.
- 2. Clay subgrade may be stabilized using 2% lime if compaction is not achieved using moisture conditioning.
- 3. Limestone subgrade does not require moisture conditioning or compaction.

#### **3.2.2** GENERAL PAVEMENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

**TABLE 3.4: PAVEMENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS** 

Minimum Undercut Depth	6 inches or as needed to remove roots
Reuse Excavated Soils	Must be free of roots and debris and meet material requirements of intended use
Exposed Subgrade Treatment	Proof-roll with rubber-tired vehicle weighing at least 20 tons. A representative of the Geotechnical Engineer should be present during proof-roll.
Proof-Rolled Pumping and Rutting Areas	Excavate to firmer materials and replace with compacted general or select fill under direction of a representative of the Geotechnical Engineer
General Fill	Materials free of roots, debris, and other deleterious materials with a maximum rock size of 4 inches with a CBR greater than 3
Minimum General Fill Thickness	As required to achieve grade
Maximum General Fill Loose Lift Thickness	9 Inches
Flexible Base	TxDOT Item 247, Type A, Grade 1-2
Maximum Flexible Base Loose Lift Thickness	9 Inches
Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete	TxDOT Item 340, Type D



TABLE 3.5: COMPACTION AND TESTING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PAVEMENT AREAS

Location	Material	Density Test Method	Soil Type	Percent Compaction	Optimum Moisture Content	Testing Frequency
Pavement	Subgrade, General Fill Soil, Low PI Material	Tex-114-E	PI ≥ 25 PI < 25	94% to 98% ≥ 95%	0 to +4% 0 to +4%	1 per 10,000 SF; min. 3 tests
Areas	Flexible Base Material	TEX-113-E	COSA Item 200	≥ 95%	<u>+</u> 3%	1 per 5,000 SF; min. 3 per lift

Notes: If limestone is encountered at the subgrade elevation, only a proof-roll is required.



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

### 4.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

**Geotechnical Engineer Involvement at the Time of Construction** — Foundation pad preparation recommendations on expansive clay sites in this area depend on the soil moisture conditions that exist due to the prevailing climate at the time of construction as well as the expansive properties of the clay.

It is recommended that the foundation pad recommendations presented in this report be confirmed immediately prior to construction by the Geotechnical-Engineer-of Record (GER). Wetter climate conditions near the time of construction can lead to a significant reduction in pad preparation requirements which can often be a substantial percentage of site development cost.

Having a Geotechnical Engineer retained to review the earthwork recommendations in the Construction Documents and be an active participant in team meetings near the time of construction can often result in project cost savings. Therefore, PSI recommends that an AASHTO accredited 3<sup>rd</sup> party laboratory with qualified professional engineers who specialize in geotechnical engineering be retained to provide observation and testing of construction activities involved in the foundations, earthwork, pavements and related activities of this project. As the GER, PSI's services can be retained as the 3<sup>rd</sup> party laboratory. PSI's participation would be advantageous to the project flow and value engineering during construction since we are most familiar with the existing soil conditions at the site.

The geotechnical engineer often does not have available all design information at the time of writing the original report since the report is done very early in the design process. The GER can be of great benefit immediately prior to construction since definitive information regarding the location of the building, surrounding flatwork, pavements, planned landscaping, and drainage features is available at that time. The GER can then write Supplement letters to the original geotechnical report often resulting in less risk and significant project cost savings.

PSI cannot accept responsibility for conditions which deviate from those described in this report, nor for the performance of the foundations or pavements if not engaged to also provide construction observation and materials testing for this project. The PSI geotechnical engineer of record should also be engaged by the Design Team during construction, even if periodic on-call testing is contracted with PSI Construction Services.



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

### **4.1** Initial Site Preparation Considerations

#### 4.1.1 SUBGRADE PREPARATION FOR SITE WORK OUTSIDE BUILDING PAD AND PAVEMENT AREAS

Grade adjustments outside of the foundation pad and pavement areas can be made using select or general fill materials. The clean excavated onsite soils may also be reused in areas not sensitive to movement.

TABLE 4.1: SUBGRADE PREPARATION FOR NON-STRUCTURAL - GENERAL FILL

Minimum Undercut Depth	6 inches or as needed to remove roots, organic and/or deleterious materials
Exposed Subgrade Treatment	Proof-roll subgrade with rubber-tired 20-ton (loaded) construction equipment Alternate Equipment can be used with Geotechnical Engineer Approval
Proof-Rolled Pumping and Rutting Areas	Excavate to firmer materials and replace with compacted general or select fill under direction of a representative of the Geotechnical Engineer
General Fill Type	Any clean material free of roots, debris and other deleterious material with a maximum particle size of 4 inches
Maximum General Fill Loose Lift Thickness	8 inches

TABLE 4.2: FILL COMPACTION RECOMMENDATIONS OUTSIDE OF BUILDING AND PAVEMENT AREAS

Location	Material	Test Method for Density Determination	Plasticity Index	Percent Compaction	Optimum Moisture Content	Testing Frequency
Outside of Structure /	General Fill	ASTM D698	PI ≥ 25	94% to 98%	0 to +4%	1 per 10,000 SF;
Pavement Areas	Generaliii	A311VI D030	PI < 25	≥ 95%	0 to +4%	min. 3 per lift

#### **4.1.2 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS**

The following table outlines construction considerations in consideration of demolition of existing paving, procedures for abandoning old utility lines and removing trees.

Table 4.3: Considerations for Demolition, Abandoning Utilities and Tree Removal

Existing Pa	avement
Former paving located within footing of proposed	Remove concrete and/or HMAC surface course and
structures	base entirely or review impact on case by case basis
Former paving located within footprint of proposed new	Remove concrete and/or HMAC surface course and
paving	evaluate if base can be reused
Abandoned	d Utilities
Utilities of former structures located within new footprint	Remove pipe, bedding and backfill and then replace
of proposed structure	with select fill placed using controlled compaction
Utilities of former structures located outside of footprint	Abandan in place using a grout plug
of proposed structure	Abandon in place using a grout plug
Tree Re	moval



PSI Project No: 0312-3304

Trees located within proposed building footprint; roadways, parking, and sidewalk areas; and within 15 feet of building area

Remove root system for full vertical and lateral extent and extend removal for at least 3 feet beyond presence of root fragments and replace void with compacted general fill or flowable fill

PSI Project No: 0312-3304

September 6, 2024

### 4.2 Moisture Sensitive Soils/Weather Related Concerns

Soils are sensitive to disturbances caused by construction traffic and changes in moisture content. During wet weather periods, increases in the moisture content of the soil can cause significant reduction in the soil strength and support capabilities. In addition, soils which become wet may be slow to dry and thus significantly retard the progress of grading and compaction activities. It will, therefore, be advantageous to perform earthwork, foundation, and construction activities during dry weather. A relatively all-weather compacted crushed limestone cap having a thickness of at least 6 inches should be provided as a working surface.

#### **4.3** Excavation Observations

Excavations should be observed by a representative of PSI prior to continuing construction activities in those areas. PSI needs to assess the encountered materials and confirm that site conditions are consistent with those discussed in this report. This is especially important to identify the condition and acceptability of the exposed subgrades under foundations and other structures that are sensitive to movement. Soft or loose soil zones encountered at the bottom of the excavations should be removed to the level of competent soils as directed by the Geotechnical Engineer or their representative. Cavities formed as a result of excavation of soft or loose soil zones should be backfilled with compacted select fill or lean concrete.

After opening, excavations should be observed, and concrete should be placed as quickly as possible to avoid exposure to wetting and drying. Surface run-off water should be drained away from the excavations and not be allowed to pond. Excavations left open for more than 48 hours should be protected to reduce evaporation or entry of moisture.

#### 4.4 DRAINAGE CONSIDERATIONS

Water should not be allowed to collect in or adjacent to foundation excavations, on foundation surfaces, or on prepared subgrades within the construction area during or after construction. Proper drainage around grade-supported sidewalks and flatwork is important to reduce potential movements. Excavated areas should be sloped toward one corner to facilitate removal of collected rainwater, groundwater, or surface runoff. Providing rapid, positive drainage away from the building reduces moisture variations within the underlying soils and will aid in reducing the magnitude of potential movements.

#### **4.5** EXCAVATIONS AND TRENCHES

Excavation equipment capabilities and field conditions may vary. Geologic processes are erratic and large variations can occur in small vertical and/or lateral distances. Details regarding "means and methods" to accomplish the work (such as excavation equipment and technique selection) are the sole responsibility of the project contractor. The comments contained in this report are based on small diameter borehole observations. The performance of large excavations may differ as a result of the differences in excavation sizes.



# The limestone is hard. Excavations penetrating the limestone and limestone removal as part of site grading will likely require high-powered, heavy-duty rock excavation equipment.

PSI Project No: 0312-3304

September 6, 2024

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Safety and Health Standards (29 CFR Part 1926, Revised October 1989), require that excavations be constructed in accordance with the current OSHA guidelines. Furthermore, the State of Texas requires that detailed plans and specifications meeting OSHA standards be prepared for trench and excavation retention systems used during construction. PSI understands that these regulations are being strictly enforced, and if they are not closely followed, the owner and the contractor could be liable for substantial penalties.

The contractor is solely responsible for designing and constructing stable, temporary excavations and should shore, slope, or bench the sides of the excavations as required to maintain stability of both the excavation sides and bottom. The contractor's "responsible person", as defined in 29 CFR Part 1926, should evaluate the soil exposed in the excavations as part of the contractor's safety procedures. In no case should slope height, slope inclination, or excavation depth, including utility trench excavation depth, exceed those specified in local, State, and Federal safety regulations.

PSI is providing this information as a service to the client. PSI does not assume responsibility for construction site safety or the contractor's or other parties' compliance with local, State, and Federal safety or other regulations. A trench safety plan was beyond the scope of our services for this project.



### **5.0 REPORT LIMITATIONS**

The recommendations submitted in this report are based on the available subsurface information obtained by PSI and design details furnished by the client for the proposed project. If there are revisions to the plans for this project, or if deviations from the subsurface conditions noted in this report are encountered during construction, PSI should be notified immediately to determine if changes in the foundation recommendations are required. If PSI is not notified of such changes, PSI will not be responsible for the impact of those changes on the project.

The Geotechnical Engineer warrants that the findings, recommendations, specifications, or professional advice contained herein have been made in accordance with generally accepted professional Geotechnical Engineering practices in the local area. No other warranties are implied or expressed. This report may not be copied without the expressed written permission of PSI.

After the plans and specifications are more complete, the Geotechnical Engineer should be retained and provided the opportunity to review the final design plans and specifications to check that the engineering recommendations have been properly incorporated in the design documents. At this time, it may be necessary to submit supplementary recommendations. If PSI is not retained to perform these functions, PSI will not be responsible for the impact of those conditions on the project.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Lennar for specific application to the proposed Windridge Subdivision - Streets to be constructed at Highway 534 in Kerrville, Texas.




PSI Project No: 0312-3304



# **APPENDIX**








3 Burwood Lane, San Antonio, Texas (210) 342-9377 FAX (210) 342-9401

# **Site Vicinity Map**

Windridge Subdivision Highway 534 Kerrville, Texas PSI Project No.: 0312-3304

**NOT TO SCALE** 






3 Burwood Lane, San Antonio, Texas (210) 342-9377 FAX (210) 342-9401

Highway 534 Kerrville, Texas PSI Project No.: 0312-3304







3 Burwood Lane, San Antonio, Texas (210) 342-9377 FAX (210) 342-9401

# **Site Boring Plan**

Windridge Subdivision Highway 534 Kerrville, Texas PSI Project No.: 0312-3304

**NOT TO SCALE** 





**Boring Logs** 



**BORING B-01** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		טכ	ININO D-01								LO	CAII	ON: See	30ring	Locati	on Pla	n ———	1
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND PE			6.0	UNCONF. COMP.	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
DEPT	SYM	WATER	Elevation:	MOISTURE	% RETA	% PASSI	SPT TCF VAL	Ж.	%R	LIQUIE	PLASTI	PLAST IND	PL 		VC <b>X</b> 40 .L	LL 60	UNCONE	UNIT DI
L	H	1	LIMESTONE, tan, hard															
	$\square$	XI		4			50/1"						<b>X</b>					
$L_{-}$	$\square$																	
		7																
		XI		4			50/0"						*					
	$\square$																	
L													}					
_ 5 _		/											1::1					
	Щ	XI		7			50/1"						<del> </del>					
	Ш	1																
L																		
	Щ	Λ																
L	田	XI		7			50/0"						*					
	₩	1																
L		-																
	$\Box$	Л		_									<u>.j.</u> ;					
L	$\Box$	\\		5			50/0"						*					
-10-	丗	1												<del></del>	+::::	+++	+	
<b> </b>																		
	Ш																	
<b> </b>																		
															1.1.1.1			
	Ш																	
<b> </b>	団	7																
	$\Box$	(l		5			50/0"						×		1			
45	$\square$	\																
—15— ————			Boring terminated at approximately 15														-	
			feet.															
	]																	
L																		
L																		
													[		ļ. į. į. į			
<b> </b>																		
-20-														: : :	<del></del>	<del>       </del>		
	COMI DATE		ION DEPTH: 15.0 Feet 6/24				DEP <sup>-</sup> SEE	TH PAG	TO E (ft.	GRO	)UN	ID W	VATER DUNTERE	D				

intertek

**BORING B-02** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

			, 0	ININO D-02								LO		ON: Se						
ОЕРТН, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND	PEN (TS	4.0 WC	INC CI	MP (TSF	CONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
				Elevation:	20	- %	- %				=	П.	_	2	20	40	60	)	S	5
				LEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, brown, very stiff to hard	6		0,	20						*						
					5	3	71	34			33	17	17	* •	•					
- 5 -  				EAT CLAY (CH) with SAND top hard	5			65						*						
				FAT CLAY (CH) with SAND, tan, hard	11	3	71	33			75	21	54	*						
				LIMESTONE, tan, hard	7			50/1"						*						
  15		M			9			50/0"						*						
				Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.																
	COM DATI			ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet 8/24										ATEF OUNTER						

intertek

**BORING B-03** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		ЬС	ININO D-03				1		1		LO	CAII	ON: See					
ОЕРТН, FT.	SYMBOL	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND	PEN (TSF .0 4	i) <b>(</b> UNC	C CMP (TSF) 6.0 LL 	NF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
DEPT	SYN	WA	Elevation:	MOIS	% RETA	% PASS	SPT TCF VAL	8	Ж.	LIQUIE	PLASTI	PLAS IN	P • 2	PL V • :	VC <b>X</b> 40	LL 60	UNCONI (T)	UNIT D
		$\langle$	FAT CLAY (CH) with SAND, brown, stiff to very stiff	12	7	60	14			66	16	50	*			•		
				11			24						*					
- 5 - 				9			27						*					
			LIMESTONE, tan, hard															
		$\langle  $		9			50/0"						*					
 -10-		X .		9			50/1"						*					
		$\langle$		8			50/1"						<b>*</b>					
—15— — — —			Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.															
	-																	
	1																	
			ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet				DEP <sup>-</sup>	<u> </u> тн :	ТО	GRO	DUN	ID W	VATER	: : : :   : : : : : 				
ı	DATE	: 8/1	3/24				SEE	PAG	E (ft.	): NC	NE E	ENCC	DUNTER	RED				

DATE: 8/13/24

intertek

**BORING B-04** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		ט	OKINO D-04								LO		ON: Se							
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	SOIL DESCRIPTION Elevation:	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND	PEN ( 2.0 	4.0 WC X 40	UNC 6	CMP (T 3.0  _L 	ΓSF)	UNCONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) with GRAVEL, brown, medium dense	14			18			56	23	33	*	•						
			LIMESTONE, tan, hard	10			50/6"						*							
- 5 -  				5			50/5"						*							
		M M		5			50/5"						<b>X</b>							
-10- 																				
  		M	Boring terminated at approximately 15	7			50/0"						*							
			feet.																	
 	COM	IPI F	ETION DEPTH: 15.0 Feet				DED	TU.	TO	CDC	) IV	ID /v	VATEF	2						
			ETION DEPTH: 15.0 Feet 8/16/24										VATEF DUNTEF							

intertek

**BORING B-05** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		ט	O.	TING D-03								LO		ON: Se							
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	WAIEK	SOIL DESCRIPTION  Elevation:	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND 2	PEN (T	4.0 WC X 40	JNC C	:MP (TS 0  L  •	SF)	UNCONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
				CLAYEY SAND (SC) with GRAVEL, brown, medium dense	16	22		14			45	23	22	*		•					
				LIMESTONE, tan, hard	13			50/5"						*							
- 5 -  				SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, very	10			50/5"						*							
		X X		stiff to hard	8	11	69	20			32	15	17	*							
 -10- 																					
					13			33						* *							
15 	-			Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.																	
	COM DATE			ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet /24										/ATEF		; 1 ;	<del>: : 1</del>		=1		

intertek

**BORING B-06** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

ОЕРТН, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	SOIL DESCRIPTION  Elevation:	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	C		C CMP (TSF 6.0 LL 	UNCONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) with GRAVEL, brown, very dense	13			68			51	27	24	* !				
  			LIMESTONE, tan, hard	6			50/1"						*				
		X X		7			50/6" 50/5"						* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			,	
		$\bigvee$		12			50/4"						*				
10  																	
  			Boring terminated at approximately 15	10			50/2"						*				
  			feet.														

DATE: 8/16/24

intertek

**BORING B-07** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		ΒO	RING B-07	•							LO	CATI	ON: See Boring Location Plan
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL SAMPI ES	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	ON: See Boring Location Plan  OHAND PEN (TSF)  UNC CMP (TSF)  UNC
DEPT	SYN	WA	Elevation:	MOIS	% RET	% PASS	SPT TC VAI	1%	₩	LIQUII	PLAST	PLAS	PL WC LL NOON NOON NOON NOON NOON NOON NOON
		\	CLAYEY SAND (SC) with GRAVEL, brown, medium dense to dense	11			40						*
		/		9			20						*
 - 5 - 		<u>/</u>		11	15	47	24			32	17	15	*-
		/ \	LIMESTONE, tan, hard	6			50/6"						*
  -10-		<u>/</u>		6			50/1"						*
  15-		/		5			50/0"						*
			Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.										
	COMF DATE:		ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet 6/24				DEP <sup>-</sup> SEE	TH TPAG	ΓΟ ( E (ft.	GRC	DUN DNE I	ID W	VATER DUNTERED

intertek

**BORING B-08** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

DEPTH, FT. SYMBOL SAMPLES WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE CONTENT	ED #4	#200				T	⊨			rse) 🕳 un	C CMP (TSF)	
		SIO	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	2.0 PL	4.0 WC	ion Plan  C CMP (TSF)  6.0  LL  ONCOND	(TSF) IIT DRY WT. LB/CU FT)
	Elevation:	ΣO	8	% P/	0)			=	ΡL⁄	П	20	40	60	5
	LEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, tan, very stiff	6	0	73	20			30	19	11	* • •			
	LIMESTONE, tan, hard	5			50/1"						*			
		7			50/2"						*			
		7			50/1"						*			
											*			
-15 	Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.	11			50/2"						*			

DATE: 8/16/24

intertek.

**BORING B-09** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		ЪС	11110 D-09								LO	CATI								_		
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	Он	2.	PEN (	(TSF)	.0 /C <b>X</b>	JNC 0	CMP ( .0 	TSF)	UNCONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
			Elevation:		%	%					а.				<u> </u>		<del></del>	J	<del></del>		n	
1		١/	LIMESTONE, tan, hard											:			: :	: :				
		XΙ		5			50/1"						¥	:	: :	: :	; ;					
		$\mathbb{N}$															1:::::	: :: :				
		$\exists$											H	:								
																 				; . ;		
L	Н												:  :	:								
		١/																				
		XI		7			50/3"						*	:					1			
	$\vdash$	/\											i	:								
		1											雪片									
<b>I</b>		_											;	:			: :	: :	: :			
<b>–</b> 5 –		VI		<sub></sub>									1	:			<del>                                     </del>			<u>: : :</u>		
	П	λl		11			50/3"						<b>\</b>	<b>(</b> :			; ;					
	Ш													\ ; \ ;					l. i. i			
													: :	Ï.	: :		: :					
			LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, firm to very stiff											1			; ;					
		γI		16			10						: :	*		: - : - · : : :				} · { · ·   ! !		
<b></b>		ΛΙ											: :	Î			: :					
		4												.: .				<del>.</del> .				
L														3								
		\/																				
		XI		17	5	92	7			43	18	25		*	-		•					
		/\												ij								
<del>-10-</del>													: :	-:-	: :	: :						
<b></b>														ä			: :		: :			
														÷								
													: :				: :					
														.;	;	 	;			<u> </u>		
L																						
														il.								
														ij								
		1											: :	4			; ;					
		γI		16			25						: :	×		: - : - · : : :			1	} · { · ·		
		ΛΙ					20							:			: :					
<del>-</del> 15-		4	Boring terminated at approximately 15										1 : :				H			: : <u>:</u>		
	-		feet.											:								
																	;;	;.		: : : : } - { - :		
L														:								
1														:								
	1												1	:::		: :						
<b>I</b>	1												: :	:	: :		: :					
<b> </b>	1													· į· ·			1					
<b> </b>														:			: :					
L																: : .;		;		; ; ; ; ; . ;		
L														:								
-20-				1													L					
		ᄓᄃ	ION DEPTH: 15.0 Feet	1			DEP	TU -	TC 4	CDC	) I I I	ID //	/ / T						تــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			
		E: 8/1										ENCC										

intertek

**BORING B-10** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		טכ	TAINO D-10								LO	CATI	ON: See Boring Location	Plan	
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	ON: See Boring Location  OHAND PEN (TSF) OUNC C  2.0 4.0 6.0  PL WC LL  20 40 60	MP (TSF)	(1SF) UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
	////		Elevation:		%	%					Δ.			, , , , <u>,</u> ⊃	
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, hard	17	6	54	45			45	22	23	**************************************		
		$\sqrt{}$	LIMESTONE, tan, hard	9			E0/2"								
 				9			50/3"						*		
- 5 -  				3			50/0"						*		
				4			50/3"						*		
  -10-				8			50/2"						*		
													1		
  15				12			50/0"						*		
	- - -		Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.												
	-														
  20	-														
	COM		ION DEPTH: 15.0 Feet 3/24		•		DEP <sup>-</sup> SEE	TH PAG	TO (	GRC	OUN ONE E	ID W	VATER DUNTERED		'

intertek

**BORING B-11** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		ВО	RING B-11								LO	CATI	ON: See Boi	ing Loc	cation Plan	
H, FT.	BOL	H H		URE	NED #4	NG #200	N) & (T) JES	EC	g	LIMIT	CLIMIT	TCITY EX	O HAND PEN (	4.0	UNC CMP (TSF) 6.0	COMP.
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION  Elevation:	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	ON: See Boi O HAND PEN ( 2.0 PL 20	WC <b>X</b> 40	LL 60	UNCONF (TS) UNIT DE
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, very stiff to hard	7			26						*			
		\														
				7	10	66	20			36	15	21				
- 5 - 				7			30						*			
		7	LIMESTONE, tan, hard										- j			
		$\langle$		5			50/2"						*			
 -10-				2			50/0"						X:			
													1 :			
		1														
				7			50/0"						<b>X</b>			
15 			Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.													
	-															
	-															
	COMF		ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet 6/24				DEP <sup>-</sup> SEE	TH TPAG	TO ( E (ft.	GRC ): NO	DUN ONE E	ID W	VATER DUNTERED			

DATE: 8/16/24 intertek

DS

**BORING B-12** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

ОЕРТН, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	SOIL DESCRIPTION  Elevation:	MOISTURE CONTENT	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND F	PEN (TS	4.0 WC X 40	6.0 LL 60	MP (TSF	UNCONF. COMP (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT.
			LEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, brown, firm to very stiff	15	1	76	7			38	18		<b>X</b>						
			LIMESTONE, tan, hard	16			20						*						
- 5  		X V		7			50/6"						*						
		X V		4			50/0"						*						
-10							50/0												
-15		$\sqrt{}$	Boring terminated at approximately 15	7			50/0"						*						
			feet.															,	
-20-	COM	PLET	ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet				DEP	TH T	го	GRO	DUN	D W	/ATER						

DATE: 8/13/24

intertek.

**BORING B-13** 

		30	RING B-13	,-					-		LO	CATI	ON: See Borir	ng Location	Plan	
ОЕРТН, FT.	SYMBOL SAMPLES	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE CONTENT	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	ASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	ON: See Borin	6F) ● UNC 0 4.0 6. U U WC LL	MP (TSF) O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	(TSF) NIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
			Elevation:		l %	% F				=	П	-	20	40 60	)  5	
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) with GRAVEL, brown, medium dense	8	19		26			32	20	12	* -			
			LIMESTONE, tan, hard	4			50/6"						*			
- 5 -  				4			50/6"						*			
				5			50/5"						*			
-10 				8			50/4"						*			
				12			50/0"						*			
-15			Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.  ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet										/ATER			

DATE: 8/13/24

intertek.

**BORING B-14** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		ВО	RING B-14								LO	CATI	ON: See Boring	Location و	Plan	
ОЕРТН, FT.	SYMBOL	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE	RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	ON: See Boring  HAND PEN (TSF  2.0 4  PL V  20 4	1.0 6.0	1P (TSF)	RY WT.
DEPT	NAS	.WA	Elevation:	MOIS	% RETA	% PASS	SPT TCF VAL	H %	%R	LIQUIE	PLASTI	PLAS IN	PL V <b>♣</b> 20 4	VC LL <b>X</b> • 40 60	UNCON	UNIT D
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) with GRAVEL, brown, dense	13	23	41	30			47	22	25	*	<b>│</b>		
		\														
			LIMESTONE, tan, hard													
				9			50/4"						*			
 -5-				9			50/0"									
				3			30/0						<b>*</b>			
				5			50/2"						j   <b>!</b>			
				4			50/0"						*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
—10— — — —																
				8			50/1"						<b>*</b>		(	
—15— — — —			Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.													
	-															
 20-																
	COMF DATE:		ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet 3/24				DEP <sup>-</sup> SEE	TH PAG	ΓΟ ( E (ft.	GRC ): NO	OUN NE E	ID W	VATER DUNTERED			

DATE: 8/13/24 intertek

DS

**BORING B-15** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		טכ	ININO D-13								LO	CATI	ON: See	Bouluc	Locati	on Pla	ın	
ОЕРТН, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	ON: See	PEN (TSF .0 4 L V	) • UNG	6.0 LL	ICONF. COMP.	(TSF) NIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
_			Elevation:	_	%	- % - B				_	П	"	2	0 4	10	60	5	
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) with GRAVEL, brown, dense	10	16		35			30	18	12	* •	•				
													1-14-1-1		1-1-1-1			
	1//		LIMESTONE too bord	-									- 11 - 1					
	一	V	LIMESTONE, tan, hard				50/08											
L	Н.	λl		6			50/6"						*					
	╨	4																
	H	$\downarrow$											111111					
<b>–</b> 5 –	世	V											11: 1	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>			
	Щ.	۸I		9			50/5"						<b>*</b>					
	Ш	_																
<b> </b>	Ш,	-																
	出	V		7			FO/4"						*   *					
	Ш	$\mathbb{N}$		′			50/1"											
	+	1											: : :   : : :					
	Н	-																
	+	VI		8			50/0"											
<b> </b>	$\Box$	$\mathbb{A}$					30/0						*					
-10-	$\square$	1											1				$\pm$	
	$\blacksquare$																	
	H																	
	$\blacksquare$																	
	$\blacksquare$														1			
<b> </b>	$\Box$																	
	$\blacksquare$														1 : : :			
	Ш	J																
		XI		9			50/0"						*					
45	$\vdash$	′∖																
-15- 			Boring terminated at approximately 15										: : :					
			feet.															
L	]																	
	1																	
L	1																	
	1																	
L																		
	1															. [		
L																		
-20-															<u> </u>	4		
	COM DATE		ION DEPTH: 15.0 Feet 3/24				DEP <sup>-</sup> SEE	TH PAG	TO (	GRC .): NO	OUN NE E	ID W	VATER	ED				

intertek

**BORING B-16** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		טט	ININO D-10								LO	CATI	ON: See	BOLIN	y Loca	auon Pla			
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE CONTENT	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND 2	0 L \	F) ● U 4.0 / // NC × 40	NC CMP (* 6.0  LL  60	rsf)	UNCONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
	771	<u> </u>	Elevation:		%	%					п		ļ <u>-</u>	<del></del>	<del>.</del>	<del>.  </del>		_	
		\	CLAYEY SAND (SC) with GRAVEL, brown, medium dense	9	32	34	18			60	24	36	*						
		7	LIMESTONE, tan, hard	7			50/6"						*						
- 5 -  		7		6			50/5"						*						
		7		7			50/5" 50/5"						*						
 -10 				5			50/5						*						
  -15-				8			50/4"						*						
-15-    20-	COMF	PLET	Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.  ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet				DEP	FH -	ΤΟ	GRO	DUN	D W	/ATER						
	DATE												UNTER						

intertek.

**BORING B-17** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

		טט	MINO D-17								LO	CATI	ON: See						
DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND 2 P	PEN (TSF .0 4	E) ● UN 1.0 	6.0	(TSF)	NF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
DEPT	SYN	WA	Elevation:	MOIS	% RET	% PASS	SPT TCI VAL	1%	1%	LIQUII	PLAST	PLAS	P <b>•</b> 2	PL V 	VC <b>X</b> 40 	LL - <b>4</b> 60		UNCON (T	UNIT E (LB/(
L		Л	CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense	1.0															
		\\		13	5	46	14			44	21	23	<b>*</b> '		<b>†</b>				
<b>-</b>		1																	
															1				
	H	Л	LIMESTONE, tan, hard				50/01												
<b>-</b>		$\langle  $		8			50/6"						*						
															1				
 - 5 -		J																	
	Н,	XI.		6			50/5"						<b>X</b>						
	Ħ												1.1		1				
	团	7																	
L	囯	XΙ		5			50/4"						*						
	$\square'$	1											. <u></u>		1				
	$\exists$	7											11:						
		XΙ		9			50/0"						*						
-10-	H	1													<del>                                     </del>				
<b>-</b>																			
	Н														1				
	H																		
<b>-</b>																			
	Ш														1				
		7																	
	۲	XΙ		7			50/5"						*						
<del>-</del> 15-		1	Boring terminated at approximately 15												1::	:   :	: :		
	_		feet.																
															1				
	1														1				
<b></b> -																			
<u>–20</u> –			ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet					 			) I I N	ID 14	/ATCC	<del>                                     </del>	<del>L:::</del>	<del>===</del>	<del>: :  </del>		
	DATE						SEE	ıп РАG	E (ft.	ن ۱۲ر ۱): NO	NE E	ENCC	VATER DUNTER	NED					

intertek

**BORING B-18** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	SOIL DESCRIPTION  Elevation:	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND PEN 2.0 PL 20	4.0 WC X 40	NC CMP (TSF) 6.0  LL  60	UNCONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT.
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, very stiff	11			16						*				
			LIMESTONE, tan, hard	16	11	61	15			46	21	25	*•				
- 5  			Elivico Torve, tari, riard	13			50/6"						*				
 				7			50/1"						*				
		X		5			50/1"						*			-	
 -15			Boring terminated at approximately 15	11			50/2"						*				
			feet.														
- — — - — —																•	

DATE: 8/13/24

intertek

**BORING B-19** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

						l .		1								
SOIL DESCRIPTION	MOISTURE CONTENT	RETAINED #4	PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	IQUID LIMIT	LASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND PE	V	.0 .0 /C	6.0 LL	(TSF)	NCONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
		%	%					۵		20	4	.u 	<del></del>	, . ,	5	$\vec{\square}$
CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense	14	21	38	18			43	23	20	<b>*</b> •		•				
LIMESTONE, tan, hard	<u> </u>									1 1 1						1
	8			50/0"						*						
SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard	6	4	67	38 50			49	19	30	* +						
LIMESTONE, tan, hard	7			50/1"						*						
LEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, tan, very stiff	16	0	71	27			42	20	22	*•						
Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.  ETION DEPTH: 15.0 Feet				DEP	TH -	TO	GRO	DUN	D W	/ATER						
	Elevation:  CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  ELEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, tan, very stiff  Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.	LIMESTONE, tan, hard  SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard  6  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  7  LEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, tan, very stiff  Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.	Elevation:  CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard  6  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  7  LEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, tan, very stiff  16 0  Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.	LIMESTONE, tan, hard  SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard  ELEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, tan, very stiff  LEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, tan, very stiff  Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.	CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard  SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard  CLAYEY SAND, tan, hard  SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard  The same series of	ELEVation:  CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense  14 21 38 18  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), tan, hard  6 38  9 4 67 50  LIMESTONE, tan, hard  7 50/1"  LEAN CLAY (CL) with SAND, tan, very stiff  16 0 71 27  Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.	CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense	CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense	S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S	CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense  14 21 38 18 43 23 20	CLAYEY SAND (SC), brown, medium dense					

intertek

**BORING B-20** 

LOCATION: See Boring Location Plan

DEPTH, FT.	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	WATER	SOIL DESCRIPTION  Elevation:	MOISTURE	% RETAINED #4	% PASSING #200	SPT (N) & TCP (T) VALUES	% REC	%RQD	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	O HAND PEN  2.0  PL  20	4.0 WC 40	UNC CMP (TSF) 6.0 LL	UNCONF. COMP. (TSF)	UNIT DRY WT. (LB/CU FT)
				SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, hard	5		8	36						*				
			_	LIMECTONE for hard	5	5	68	37			30	16	14	* •	•			
- 5 -  				LIMESTONE, tan, hard	4			50/1"						*				
					6			50/1"						*				
 -10- 					8			50/2"						*				
  15-			-	Davis a davagain da da da agrana signada la 45	8			50/0"						*				
				Boring terminated at approximately 15 feet.														
		15:		ON DEPTH: 15.0 Feet										/ATER				

intertek.



# KEY TO TERMS AND SYMBOLS USED ON LOGS

### **ROCK CLASSIFICATION**

### **RECOVERY**

DESCRIPTION OF RECOVERY	% CORE RECOVERY
Incompetent	< 40
Competent	40 TO 70
Fairly Continuous	70 TO 90
Continuous	90 TO 100

### **ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION (RQD)**

DESCRIPTION OF ROCK QUALITY	RQD
Very Poor (VPo)	0 TO 25
Poor (Po)	25 TO 50
Fair (F)	50 TO 75
Good (Gd)	75 TO 90
Excellent (ExInt)	90 TO 100

#### **CONSISTENCY OF COHESIVE SOILS**

CONSISTENCY N-VALU (Blows/Fo		SHEAR STRENGTH (tsf)	HAND PEN VALUE (tsf)	
Very Soft 0 TO 2		0 TO 0.125	0 TO 0.25	
Soft	2 TO 4	0.125 TO 0.25	0.25 TO 0.5	
Firm	4 TO 8	0.25 TO 0.5	0.5 TO 1.0	
Stiff	8 TO 15	0.5 TO 1.0	1.0 TO 2.0	
Very Stiff	15 TO 30	1.0 TO 2.0	2.0 TO 4.0	
Hard	>30	>2.0 OR 2.0+	>4.0 OR 4.0+	

#### **SOIL DENSITY OR CONSISTENCY**

DENSITY (GRANULAR)	CONSISTENCY (COHESIVE)	THD (BLOWS/FT)	FIELD IDENTIFICATION	
Very Loose (VLo) Very Soft (VSo)		0 TO 8	Core (height twice diameter) sags under own weight	
Loose (Lo)	Soft (So)	8 TO 20	Core can be pinched or imprinted easily with finger	
Slightly Compact (SICmpt) Stiff (St)		20 TO 40	Core can be imprinted with considerable pressure	
Compact (Cmpt)	Very Stiff (VSt)	40 TO 80	Core can only be imprinted slightly with fingers	
Dense (De) Hard (H)		80 TO 5"/100	Core cannot be imprinted with fingers but can be penetrated with pencil	
Very Dense (VDe)	Very Hard (VH)	5"/100 to 0"/100	Core cannot be penetrated with pencil	

#### **DEGREE OF PLASTICITY OF COHESIVE SOILS**

DEGREE OF PLASTICITY	PLASTICITY INDEX (PI)	SWELL POTENTIAL
None or Slight	0 to 4	None
Low	4 to 20	Low
Medium	20 to 30	Medium
High	30 to 40	High
Very High	>40	Very High

#### **BEDROCK HARDNESS**

5.5 to 10 Rock will scratch knife Sandstone, Chert, Schist, Granite, (VH)  3 to 5.5 Rock can be scratched Siltstone, Shale, Iron Deposits, most Hard (H)	0" to
3 to 5 5 Hard (H)	2"/100
with knife blade Limestone	1" to 5"/100
1 to 3 Rock can be scratched with fingernail Gypsum, Calcite, Evaporites, Chalk, some Shale Soft (So)	4" to 6"/100

#### **MOISTURE CONDITION OF COHESIVE SOILS**

DESCRIPTION	CONDITION
Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to touch	DRY
Damp but no visible water	MOIST
Visible free water	WET

#### **RELATIVE DENSITY FOR GRANULAR SOILS**

APPARENT DESNITY	SPT (BLOWS/FT)	CALIFORNIA SAMPLER (BLOWS/FT)	MODIFIED CA. SMAPLER (BLOWS/FT)	RELATIVE DENSITY (%)
Very Loose	0 to 4	0 to 5	5 0 to 4 0 to 1	
Loose	4 to 10	5 to 15	5 to 12	15 to 35
Medium Dense 10 to 30		15 to 40	12 to 35	35 to 65
Dense	30 to 50	40 to 70	35 to 60	65 to 85
Very Dense >50		>70	>60	85 to 100

## **SAMPLER TYPES**

**TXDOT** 

CONE

SAMPLE

0

NO

**SHELBY** 

TUBE

SPLIT

SPOON


**SOIL TYPES** 


**ABBREVIATIONS** 

PL - Plastic Limit Q<sub>P</sub> - Hand Penetrometer

LL – Liquid Limit Q<sub>U</sub> - Unconfined Compression Test WC - Percent Moisture UU - Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial

Note: Plot Indicates Shear Strength as Obtained By Above Tests **V** WATER SEEPAGE





CLAY (CL)





CLAY (CH)



CONCRETE CHALK

SILT

SANDSTONE

■ WATER LEVEL AT END OF DRILLING

U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZE(S)

# **CLASSIFICATION OF GRANULAR SOILS**

	6"	3" 3/4	1"	4 10	4	0	200		
BOULDERS	COBBLES	GR/	AVEL	SAND		SU T OD CLAY	CLAY		
		COARSE	FINE	COARSE	MEDIUM	FINE	SILT OR CLAY	CLAY	



# A COMPLETE BUILDING SOLUTION

Everything you need from start to finish - Assurance, Testing, Inspection, and Certification



# Environmental Consulting & Geotechnical Services

Assuring site and subsurface conditions meet the criteria for purchase, development and construction.

# Building Systems Consulting Industry professionals provide

a variety of acoustic, fire, AV, roofing system and enclosure consulting services to ensure proper design and installation of a building's critical systems.

# **Building Product & Construction Materials**

Testing
Providing testing for virtually all types of building products, construction materials, and systems for safety, retail, code, and performance purposes.

# Decommissioning

& Due Diligence
Supporting the redevelopment and transfer of property assets via environmental and property assessments and engineering services.

### Property Management Support Services

Providing a variety of building systems testing, inspection, and consulting services to optimize the value and life of the property asset.





# **Product Certification** & Code Evaluation The ETL and Warnock

Hersey Marks show a product or system's conformance to code and ensures the on-going verification of compliance.

# Mock-Up & Field Testing On-site (air infiltration, water

leakage, and structural performance for fenestration) or in lab validation of a curtain wall's design, workmanship, and material selection to ensure its performance.





#### Field Labeling

Providing on-site services of opening systems that need to be re-labeled or making recommendations for upgraded materials.

## **Building Enclosure** Commissioning

Design and construction professionals provide solutions to reduce the potential for premature building failure, increase a building's energy efficiency, and expected life cycle.

# Industrial Hygiene Services Assessing a building or facility for

a variety of sources (air, asbestos, lead, mold) to minimize the risk of factors adverse to human health.





The ever increasing challenges of designing, constructing, and maintaining a building can be difficult for any organization to navigate. From compliance to local and national codes, to ensuring an efficient design, to property management, Intertek-PSI's team of architects, engineers, scientists, and technicians understand firsthand the complexities of successfully constructing a commercial building. Our full suite of services give us unique insight into all phases of a project. Regardless of the project size or complexity, Intertek-PSI delivers engineering, consulting, and testing services to support site selection, design, construction, and property management.

As a leader in providing comprehensive solutions to industries around the globe, Intertek-PSI prides itself on bringing the expertise and services necessary for our clients to meet all of their needs across their entire operation. **Our Assurance, Testing, Inspection, and Certification (A.T.I.C.)** suite of services ensures that whatever your needs may be – assurance, testing, inspection, certification, or all of the above, that those needs will be met by Intertek-PSI.



800.WORLD.LAB



icenter@intertek.com



intertek.com/building







A diverse range of services from geotechnical investigations, due diligence, industrial hygiene, and site surveys, for your building environment.



### **Design Phase**

Our expertise offers engineering, consulting, evaluation, and peer review to ensure a well designed project.



### **Building Product & Construction Materials**

The most comprehensive suite of testing and certification services for construction materials and building products.



#### **Construction Project**

Vital services throughout the construction process including inspection, testing, monitoring, mock-ups, and consulting.



### **Building Maintenance**

Evaluation of a building's condition through inspection and testing, investigation, and remediation plan development.



### **Decommissioning & Transfer**

Services that expedite and ensure compliance of the transfer or decommissioning of property or building.